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## Serbian Free Legal Aid Fiscal Impact Analysis <br> Executive Summary

A major element in the design of a legal aid system is its fiscal viability. This requires that important decisions be made regarding the scale and scope of public access to legal aid. That is, critical decisions include the definition of the population eligible for legal aid and the scope of legal services to which they will be entitled. Beyond this, consideration must be given to alternative vehicles for delivering such services, such as direct state provision of legal representation, reimbursement for costs of representation, support for access provided through NGO's, Law School Clinics and/or pro bono provision by members of the Serbian Bar association. Effective legal aid systems often use a combination of these vehicles.

This report estimates the combined costs of providing primary and secondary free legal aid (FLA) services in Serbia. Most estimates are for the combined costs of what has traditionally been considered secondary legal aid services, that is, for the total costs of legal advice, petition and representation for cases that reach the court docket. Separate aggregate estimates are also made for volume and costs for the traditional form of primary legal aid, as well as for its expanded definition (inclusive of drafting legal documents and motions). Still, the body of these cost estimates focus on the combined cost of primary (in its expanded form) and secondary legal aid, minus the cost of initial consultation and screening (the previous definition of primary legal aid). Due to the constitutional assignment of legal aid functions to Municipal Governments, to the degree possible, this report attempts to estimate legal aid case demands and case costs down to the municipal level as well as court levels. However, aggregate estimates are likely to be more accurate than individual municipal estimates.

The eligible population is defined as households / individuals currently receiving social benefits. The scope of services costed include all primary and secondary legal aid (as specified by the Serbian Constitution and draft Law on Legal Aid) related to Basic Court and Higher Court case demand. The report estimates case volume based on the historic patterns of case activity at municipal levels and uses those patterns to allocate current court caseloads back to municipalities. Cases considered include criminal (investigative and fault), civil litigation, uncontested civil cases, and execution/enforcement cases. The number of cases of each type expected to emerge from the demand of the target population (social benefit eligible population) is then estimated. These estimates are based on statistical models, which estimate the relationship between municipal economic and demographic factors, including aid eligible population, on annual case volumes.

The final step is to estimate the costs of these cases based on alternative modes of delivering legal aid services. Costs are estimated for each case type at the municipal level and aggregated up to each basic and Higher Court and to the nation as a whole. The expected costs for different payment and delivery vehicles are also included.

## Case Volume and Costs

## Advocate Time and Compensation Tariff Filings

Information on advocate time requirements and costs were collected through expert interviews and based on official court tariff schedules. In addition, 257 court files were collected at random from 27 Basic Courts and 22 Higher Courts to identify advocate fee sheets submitted as compensation claims with court decisions. Initial costs estimates were based on an identification of cost elements in these fee sheets.

Appendix tables CP1 and CP2 provide detailed tables of case costs (as identified by fee sheets) by case type and legal basis of dispute. These tables separate costs by type of court (Basic and High) and by components including (i) court fees and (ii) eleven categories of advocate representational costs. Table 1 to the right provides a summary of these representational costs.

In Basic Courts, on average, criminal proceedings incur advocate tariff fees of 118,772 RSD and civil proceedings incur fees averaging 75,329 RSD. As expected, representational costs are substantially higher in the higher courts, given the scope, complexity and severity of cases heard in these units. On average, criminal cases (fault and investigation cases) incur 189,772 RSD in tariffbased fee requests from advocates. Civil cases, given the resources at stake in Higher Courts, are

| TABLE 1: <br> Average Representation Costs by Case Type - Based on Advocate Tariff Filings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Case | Basic Court | Higher Court |
| Criminal |  |  |
| Family violence | 36,220 RSD | 65,015 RSD |
| Family - Evasion of Spousal Support | 26,605 RSD | 112,956 RSD |
| Unauthorized possession of narcotics |  | 170,125 RSD |
| Damage compensation | 585,519 RSD |  |
| Damage compensation (traffic accident) | 39,153 RSD | 34,805 RSD |
| Purchase and sale relations | 55,950 RSD |  |
| Threat to safety | 71,235 RSD | 105,733 RSD |
| Theft \& Robbery | 83,652 RSD | 134,244 RSD |
| Embezzlement \& Fraud | 487,334 RSD | 522,645 RSD |
| Abuse of official position | 344,099 RSD | 263,750 RSD |
| Violence / violent behavior |  | 143,183 RSD |
| Murder |  | 328,493 RSD |
| Others | 83,109 RSD | 77,476 RSD |
| Others without explanation | 118,479 RSD | 123,643 RSD |
| Civil |  |  |
| Family violence | 78,114 RSD |  |
| Family - Evasion of Spousal Support | 33,000 RSD | 112,956 RSD |
| Establishing Paternity |  | 124,888 RSD |
| Labour relations disputes | 87,921 RSD | 122,000 RSD |
| Collection of claim | 37,660 RSD | 229,938 RSD |
| Damage compensation | 51,976 RSD | 431,364 RSD |
| Damage compensation (traffic accident) | 307,377 RSD | 64,154 RSD |
| Property legal relations | 90,989 RSD | 1,047,375 RSD |
| Purchase and sale relations | 73,625 RSD | 657,750 RSD |
| Others | 54,126 RSD | 165,773 RSD |
| Others without explanation | 54,000 RSD | 124,516 RSD |
| Uncontested |  |  |
| Expropriated property | 68,000 RSD |  |
| Average of Averages | 130,370 RSD | 234,672 RSD |
| Weighted Average | 93,895 RSD | 210,330 RSD |
| Average of Criminal Averages | 175,578 RSD | 173,506 RSD |
| Criminal Weighted Average | 118,772 RSD | 189,772 RSD |
| Averages of Civil Averages | 86,879 RSD | 308,071 RSD |
| Civil Weight Average | 75,329 RSD | 273,472 RSD | substantially more costly, averaging 273,472 RSD ${ }^{1}$.

[^0]What becomes quite apparent, as demonstrated below and in the report body, is that the tariff cost estimates inflate the representational costs beyond what might be reasonably expected in legal aid representation.

## Target Beneficiary Population Demand and Legal Aid Program Case Costs (Basic \& Higher Courts)

Gross case volume estimates suggest a possible maximum legal aid annual Basic Court case volume of 140,000 cases. However, individual case type estimates suggest approximately $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ of that amount at 46,173 cases. The result is significant case volume that is not initially attributable to specific case types. This provides a range of extremes in case volume estimates. To estimate costs, individual case type estimates are proportionally adjusted for this range in expected volume estimates.

The table below adjusts case types for this overall expected volume, providing an expected range of demand and program costs associated with the social beneficiary population. These volume estimates are divided into direct case type estimates and additional distributed estimates. The estimates here reflect instances of combined primary and secondary legal aid and the total distributed direct case volume estimated for Basic Courts is 46,000 (comprising 14,000 investigative and fault cases, 7,000 litigations cases, 14,000 uncontested cases and 11,000 execution cases). If we distribute $50 \%$ of the additional case volume to each case type category (based on that case type's estimated portion of direct case volume), a high of 93,000 instances of legal aid can be expected.

| TABLE 2: <br> Summary of Annual Case Volume and Cost Estimates Attributed to Target Beneficiary Population Based on Full Tariff* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total New Cases | Investigation \& Fault | Litigation | Uncontested | Execution | Undistribute d | Total Distributed |
| Case Volume | 140,000 | 14,004 | 6,686 | 14,308 | 11,175 | 93,827 | 46,173 |
| Case costs |  | 1,663,267,391 | 503,670,680 | 86,020,165 | 167,582,301 |  | 2,420,540,537 |
| Distributed Volume (50\%) | 93,087 | 28,514 | 12,448 | 28,896 | 23,228 | 46,913 | 93,086 |
| Distributed Cost (50\%) |  | 3,386,661,956 | 937,717,788 | 173,719,630 | 348,326,536 |  | 4,846,425,910 |
| Higher Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total New Cases | Criminal (fault \& investigation) | Litigation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Civil } \\ \text { (uncontested) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Undistribute d | Total Distributed |
| Case Volume | 17,563 | 11,316 | 2,193 | 318 |  | 3736 | 13827 |
| Case costs |  | 2,147,551,018 | 599,661,306 | 6,947,509 |  |  | 2,754,159,833 |
| Distributed Volume (50\%) | 15,695 | 12,693 | 2,607 | 395 |  | 3,736 | 15695 |
| Distributed Cost (50\%) |  | 2,408,788,628 | 713,051,965 | 8,617,777 |  |  | 3,130,458,370 |

*Note: The full tariff costs schedule results in a level of cost that is multiple times that which is generally considered the necessary rate of compensation for advocates participating in legal aid representation and, while it reflect the official fee schedule, is much more than the actual rate of compensation paid. It would likely be desirable to formulate a more realistic set of tariffs for legal aid representation.

These estimates imply that the cost of the Basic Court elements of the legal aid system would range from between 2.4 and 4.8 billion RSD, if the tariff rate of reimbursement were used. More than $2 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ of these costs are attributable to investigation and fault cases due to their high relative per case costs.

Individual municipal costs estimates are included in annex tables. This total cost is clearly excessive and reflects the unrealistic nature of the official court tariff schedule's reimbursement rates.

The same type of cost estimates can be made for Higher Courts, applying the higher representational costs associated with cases that come before these courts. Estimates of annual case volume suggest a possible Higher Court case volume of 17,563 legal aid cases. The sum of estimates for individual case types are approximately $80 \%$ of that amount at 13,827 cases. As for Basic Courts, individual case type estimates are proportionately adjusted for overall expected volume, providing an expected range of demand and annual program costs. Case volume and cost estimates are summarized in Table 2 above. As for Basic Courts, the table provides estimates of expected total case volumes and costs; divided into direct case type estimates and additional distributed estimates for instances of what has previously been considered secondary legal aid. The total annual distributed direct case volume estimated for Higher Courts includes 11,300 criminal cases (comprising investigative and fault cases), 2,000 litigations cases, and 318 uncontested civil cases. (Higher Courts do not hear general execution and enforcement cases.) Total distributed case volume suggests that we can expect a maximum of 15,695 instances of all legal aid. Of course, the level of demand actually experienced may vary. The degree to which volume demand matches estimates will depend on how proactive the eligible population pursues FLA options.

## Alternative Cost Profiles and Alternative Legal Aid Service Delivery Modes

Table 3 below contains estimates of advocate time requirements for representation during typical cases. These estimates are based on expert opinion interviews conducted with members of the Legal Aid

| TABLE 3: <br> Advocate Time Requirements for Typical Case Representation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Basic Courts |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Supreme } \\ \text { Ct. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Cost |
| Case Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premeditated Serious (3-10 years)/Grave Crimes (10+ years) |  | 7 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 |  | 31 | 14,291 RSD |
| Premeditated Minor (3 year max)/Less Serious Crimes (3-6 years) |  | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  | 23 | 10,603 RSD |
| Criminal Negligence / Misdemeanors (non-custodial) |  | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 19 | 8,759 RSD |
| Representation of Crime Victims and Civil Plaintiffs | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 16 | 7,376 RSD |
| Private Prosecution | 4 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 10 | 4,610 RSD |
| Reexamination of case or reopening criminal proceeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 1,844 RSD |
| Execution issues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 922 RSD |

Note: Advocate compensation cost is estimated at 461 RSD per hour, based on October 2013 monthly gross income of the legal and accounting profession of 48,110 RSD averaged with average professional legal aid staff monthly salaries paid by municipal legal aid centers of 59,399 RSD The result is an estimated monthly salary of 53,755 RSD This salary was annualized and converted to an hourly amount based on a 50 week year and 40 hour work week. The resulting hourly rate ( 323 RSD) was then multiplied by 1.4285 ( 461 RSD) to reflect overhead and time for business recruitment (assuming that $30 \%$ of work time is spent not directly focused on specific client work). Source of October gross income: Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia.

Working Group who represent clients in these cases. The expected costs reflected in this table are significantly less than the costs submitted in the advocate payment statements filed with the courts.

Thankfully, this suggests that the cost of providing legal aid would be substantially below than estimated above. The estimate for serious / grave crimes of 14,291 RSD is only $12 \%$ of the representation costs reflected in the case files for the average criminal case in Basic Courts and $19 \%$ of the average civil cost statements.

Applying these costs estimates to the Summary of Case Volume and Cost Estimates from Table 2 above yield substantially reduced FLA program costs. Table 4 below recalibrates the legal aid compensation rates to apply the total serious / grave crime estimate ( 31 hours) to all investigative/fault cases and the total minor / less serious crimes cost estimates (19 hours) to civil litigations cases. For uncontested cases, 4 hours of legal representation is assumed and for execution/enforcement 2 hours is assumed.

| TABLE 4: <br> Summary of Annual Case Volume \& Cost Estimates Attributed to Target Beneficiary Population—Hourly Compensation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total New Cases | Investigation \& Fault | Litigation | Uncontested | Execution | Undistributed | Total Distributed |
| Case Volume | 140,000 | 14,004 | 6,686 | 14,308 | 11,175 | 93,827 | 46,173 |
| Case costs |  | 200,131,164 | 70,891,658 | 26,383,952 | 10,303,350 |  | 307,710,124 |
| Distributed Volume (50\%) | 93,087 | 28,514 | 12,448 | 28,896 | 23,228 | 46,913 | 93,086 |
| Distributed Cost (50\%) |  | 407,493,574 | 131,986,144 | 53,284,224 | 21,416,216 |  | 614,180,158 |
| Higher Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total New Cases | Criminal (fault \& investigation) | Litigation | Civil (uncontested) |  | Undistributed | Total Distributed |
| Case Volume | 17,563 | 11,316 | 2,193 | 318 |  | 3736 | 13827 |
| Case costs |  | 161,716,956 | 23,252,379 | 586,392 |  |  | 185,555,727 |
| Distributed Volume (50\%) | 15,695 | 12,693 | 2,607 | 395 |  | 3,736 | 15695 |
| Distributed Cost (50\%) |  | 181,395,663 | 27,642,021 | 728,380 |  |  | 209,766,064 |

Again, these time estimates are based on expert interviews with members of the FLA Working Group. Recalibration reduces estimated program costs in Basic Courts by $87 \%$ and by more than $90 \%$ in Higher Courts. Although pleasing, this seems to be an unrealistic outcome. However, even if hours or hourly compensation rates were doubled to create a more conservative estimate, this would still reduce costs by more than $70 \%$. This is obviously a dramatic (if still optimistic) estimate of cost savings. That said, if these opinions are even remotely accurate, it suggests that significant savings would be incurred by moving away from the fixed tariff compensation to hourly compensation. Indications are that these results are much closer to what might be expected from a well-administered FLA program.

To test this, we assumed that the average wage rate required for FLA advocates was triple the average wage rate for persons in the legal or accounting profession, based on the official estimates of the Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia. On that assumption, the cost differences remain enormous. Estimated Basic Court costs are lowered by 73\% and Higher Court costs are reduced by $86 \%$. Again, this suggests that there is significant potential benefit in exploring more completely the actual time required and market level of compensation needed to entice advocates to participate in FLA representation.

The table below provides a conservative estimate of cost savings that hourly compensation provides over that of tariff-based compensation. These savings are of such a significant magnitude that even if increases to wage rates were accounted for, significant

| TABLE 5: <br> Advocate Time Requirements for Typical Case Representation-Hourly Compensation Tripled (968 RSD / hr.) | Cost |
| :---: | :---: |
| Case Type |  |
| Premeditated Serious (3-10 years)/Grave Crimes (10+ years) | 30,008 RSD |
| Premeditated Minor (3 year max)/Less Serious Crimes (3-6 years) | 22,264 RSD |
| Criminal Negligence / Misdemeanors (non-custodial) | 18,392 RSD |
| Representation of Crime Victims and Civil Plaintiffs | 15,488 RSD |
| Private Prosecution | 9,680 RSD |
| Reexamination of case or reopening criminal proceeding | 3,872 RSD |
| Execution issues | 1,936 RSD | savings could be realized.


| TABLE 6: <br> Estimate of Cost Savings Provided by Hourly Rate Over Tariff Compensation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Courts |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Investigation \& Fault | Litigation | Uncontested | Execution | Total Distributed |
| Hourly Compensation Direct Case costs | 200,131,164 | 70,891,658 | 26,383,952 | 10,303,350 | 307,710,124 |
| Tariff Based Case costs | 1,663,267,391 | 503,670,680 | 86,020,165 | 167,582,301 | 2,420,540,537 |
| Cost Savings from Hourly Compensation | 1,463,136,227 | 432,779,022 | 59,636,213 | 157,278,951 | 2,112,830,413 |
| Hourly Compensation Distributed Cost (50\%) | 407,493,574 | 131,986,144 | 53,284,224 | 21,416,216 | 614,180,158 |
| Tariff Based Distributed Cost (50\%) | 3,386,661,956 | 937,717,788 | 173,719,630 | 348,326,536 | 4,846,425,910 |
| Cost Savings from Hourly Compensation Distributed Cost (50\%) | 2,979,168,382 | 805,731,644 | 120,435,406 | 326,910,320 | 4,232,245,752 |
| Higher Courts |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Criminal (fault \& investigation) | Litigation | Civil (unconteste d) |  | Total Distributed |
| Hourly Compensation Direct Case costs | 161,716,956 | 23,252,379 | 586,392 |  | 185,555,727 |
| Tariff Based Case costs | 2,147,551,018 | 599,661,306 | 6,947,509 |  | 2,754,159,833 |
| Cost Savings from Hourly Compensation | 1,985,834,062 | 576,408,927 | 6,361,117 |  | 2,568,604,106 |
| Hourly Compensation Distributed Cost (50\%) | 181,395,663 | 27,642,021 | 728,380 |  | 209,766,064 |
| Tariff Based Distributed Cost (50\%) | 2,408,788,628 | 713,051,965 | 8,617,777 |  | 3,130,458,370 |
| Cost Savings from Hourly Compensation Distributed Cost (50\%) | 2,227,392,965 | 685,409,944 | 7,889,397 |  | 2,920,692,306 |

Members of the FLA Working Group have also suggested anecdotally that tariff rates are beyond the level necessary to attract advocates to FLA service. If the hourly compensation rates suggested above provide any indication, this is an accurate assertion, and consideration should be given to alternative options to compensate advocates for their time spent working for the FLA program.

## Alternatives: Reduced Tariffs, Law Clinic, Mediation and Pro bono Requirements

One option offered is that, for legal aid services, tariff rates be applied at $50 \%$ of their official level. This would reduce program costs for representation by 50\%. Compared to hourly compensation, 50\% tariff rates are still five times higher than hourly compensation. This reinforces the need to establish a market based compensation schedule based on a survey of actual time and compensation requirements for representation.

Mediation services also provide significant potential savings in the FLA program costs. Not all cases are appropriate for mediation, and not all cases that are mediated are likely to be successfully resolved. However, those which are resolved via mediation are likely to achieve resolution in a more timely fashion and at a significantly reduced cost. Estimates by members of the FLA Working Group suggest that mediation costs would be less than $25 \%$ of typical costs of taking a case through the courts. The most applicable case categories for mediation would be in place of civil litigation, both large and small. The risk of mediation is that the attempt to mediate the dispute may fail and additional costs may be incurred as both the cost of mediation and subsequent court actions accrue. However, appropriate case selection can increase the chances of success, and the capacity of mediators could be developed to improve their skills and success rates. In terms of costs, it would thus be appropriate to consider encouraging mediation in cases that lend themselves to it.

A further suggestion is that advocates would provide a pro bono time commitment to the FLA program. This is a common vehicle for providing legal aid to indigent clients in many systems. The proposal appearing to receive the most consideration is a Bar Association requirement that each advocate deliver 50 hours of uncompensated legal aid services per year. Based upon the time estimates of the Working Group, this should be enough to provide pro bono services to at least two FLA clients per year. Representatives of the Serbian Bar Association indicate that there are approximately 7,000 eligible advocates in Serbia. ${ }^{2}$ If participation rate were as high as $50 \%$ of that amount, this would allow for at a minimum 8,000s instances of pro bono services per year. This would reduce considerably the costs of the legal aid program.

## Potential Cost Reducing Effects

Table 7 below provides a range of possible FLA program costs. The first two rows of the table show the results based on the existing tariff structure and the average case costs as reflected in the cost statements submitted by advocates to the court. These first two rows sum the estimates for both the Basic and Higher Courts under the scenario that only the directly projected case demand actually emerges and under the scenario that $50 \%$ of the high-end total volume actually emerges. This results in total program cost estimates of between 5.2 and 8.0 billion RSD for full implementation of the legal aid program. As state above, these costs are quite excessive and assumed to be beyond the willingness to pay of the Serbian Government for FLA program delivery.

[^1]As the first cost saving adjustment would be to halve to $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ the tariff compensation rate for advocates. The obvious effect of this is a $50 \%$ reduction in representation costs. The effects of this are also obviously dramatic, reducing costs to from between 2.6 to 4.0 billion RSD per year. However, compared to actual average compensation rates of the legal profession, these estimates remain very high.

Expected costs are then adjusted for the hourly compensation scenario. The next two rows of Table 7 below show the reduction in costs by case type and total for the lower and higher volume estimates compared to the $50 \%$ tariff. Using hourly compensation of 461 RSD results in a total FLA cost estimate of 493 million RSD for the lower volume projection and 823 million RSD for the higher case volume projections. These reflect nearly a $90 \%$ reduction in costs over the full tariff estimates.

Next considered is role of Law School Clinics in further reducing costs beyond the hourly reductions. It is assumed that Law School Clinics could handle 500 Basic Court cases per year. The assumed composition of these cases is 75 of the less serious criminal cases, 150 civil litigation cases, 200 uncontested civil cases and 200 execution/enforcement cases. Based on consultations with the FLA Working Group, we assume that the cost for Law School Clinic services is $25 \%$ of advocate services. We assess it likely that the cost would be even less than $25 \%$ of advocate services, but we use this conservative estimate as a general guide. On this estimate, the cost reduction associated with the use of these clinics to deliver FLA services would total 2.4 million RSD per year. The largest share of these savings comes from savings to Basic Court civil litigation cases.

Next is the role of mediation services. Mediation is assumed to result in resolution of 2,000 legal aid cases as an alternative to court action. Mediation capacity could, in fact, be much greater. Under this conservative estimate and assuming that mediation is, again, $1 / 4$ of the cost of litigation, the total potential savings from incorporating this delivery mode is 12.7 million RSD. This assumes that 300 of the mediation cases involved Basic Court fault/investigative cases, and 1200 are substituted for civil litigation ( 1000 Basic Court cases and 300 Higher Court cases). The majority of the mediation savings would then come from litigation costs that would be avoided. It is assumed that mediation would not be appropriate for uncontested or enforcement cases.

The pro bono commitment model has the greatest cost reducing capability. If we assume that approximately 4,000 advocates across Serbia donate 50 hours of their time per year to the FLA program and that these 50 hours are sufficient to provide services to 2 or more clients, we have the potential to reduce representation costs to effectively zero for 8,000 cases. This implies the possibility to save as much as 92 million RSD in program costs, depending on how cases serviced are distributed. For the estimates below, it was assumed that 4,500 pro bono cases would involve criminal prosecution ( 3,500 in the Basic Courts and 1,000 in higher courts), and that 2,500 cases would involve civil litigation ( 2,000 in Basic Courts and 5,000 in Higher Courts). The remaining 1,000 cases were equally split between uncontested civil ( 400 basic and 100 high) and enforcement/execution (all 500 in Basic Courts). In this case, the bulk of the savings would be from criminal cases. Total savings from pro bono work would be from $1 / 5^{\text {th }}$ to $1 / 9^{\text {th }}$ of FLA program costs.

It should be recognized that FLA program costs will phase-in over time with case duration and the eligible target population's experience with program benefits. Table 7 below estimates the possible cost reduction effects of the alternative deliver modes discussed above. These cost savings are based on
loose estimates of potential case servicing capacities of the alternatives and would be increased or decreased proportionately if capacity is greater or less than assumed, or if capacity grows over time.

| TABLE 7: <br> Possible Impact of Cost Reduction Strategies—Multi modal Legal Aid Service Delivery (Basic and Higher Court Combined) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Investigation \& Fault | Litigation | Uncontested | Execution | Total Distributed | Cumulative Effect on Cost Range |  |
| Full Tariff - Direct Case costs (low) | 3,810,818,409 | 1,103,331,986 | 92,967,674 | 167,582,301 | 5,174,700,370 |  | High |
| Full Tariff - Distributed Cost - 50\% (high) | 5,795,450,584 1 | 1,650,769,753 | 182,337,407 | 348,326,536 | 7,976,884,280 | Estimate) | Estimate) |
| 50\% Tariff Reduction - Low | -1,905,409,205 | -551,665,993 | $-46,483,837$ | -83,791,151 | -2,587,350,185 | 2,587,350,185 |  |
| 50\% Tariff Reduction - High | -2,897,725,292 | -825,384,877 | -91,168,704 | $-174,163,268$ | -3,988,442,140 |  | 3,988,442,140 |
| Hourly Compensation (461 RSD) - Low | -1,543,561,085 | -457,521,956 | $-19,513,493$ | -73,487,801 | -2,094,084,334 | 493,265,851 |  |
| Hourly Compensation (461 RSD) - High | -2,308,836,055 | $-665,756,712$ | -37,156,100 | $-152,747,052$ | -3,164,495,918 |  | 823,946,222 |
| Law Clinic (500 Basic Court cases) | -803,869 | -1,192,838 | -276,600 | -138,300 | -2,411,606 | 490,854,245 | 821,534,616 |
| Mediation (1500 Basic Ct., 500 High Ct.) | -3,215,475 | -9,542,700 |  |  | -12,758,175 | 478,096,070 | 808,776,441 |
| Pro bono (8000 cases) | -64,309,500 | -26,507,500 | -922,000 | -461,000 | -92,200,000 | 385,896,070 | 716,576,441 |
| Total Reduction from 50\% Tariff - low | -1,611,889,928 | -494,764,994 | -20,712,093 | -74,087,101 | -2,201,454,115 | -2,201,454,115 |  |
| Total Reduction form 50\% Tariff - high | -2,377,164,899 | -702,999,749 | -38,354,700 | -153,346,352 | -3,271,865,699 |  | -3,271,865,699 |
| Minimum Estimated FLA Cost |  |  |  |  |  | 385,896,070 | 716,576,441 |

Together these measures offer significant potential for cost savings to the FLA program. The final two columns of the table above identify the cumulative effect of each of these alternative delivery modes on both the high and low program costs estimates. If all are implemented as indicated, the total affect would be to bring program costs down (compared to the $50 \%$ tariff level) by between 2.2 and 3.3 billion RSD; a substantial savings. Using an hourly compensation schedule, combined with these alternative service delivery modes, could reduce costs to a fraction of what the tariff schedule suggests. The minimum estimate would then be between 386 million RSD and 717 million RSD per year.

Reimbursement rates need to be sufficient to attract competent, willing representation. The hourly rates used reflect the actual wage and salary schedules and actual legal aid office compensation rates (including provision of time for non-case related activities). As a result, it seems to be within the range of reasonableness to entice FLA providers. Even doubling these compensation rates would still produce costings far below that implied by the tariff schedules. Table 8 below recasts the hourly compensation schedules for the original compensation rate of 461 RSDs to 922 RSDs. We can treat 922 RSD as an outer bound (as maximum) and 461 as a lower (minimum) Bar for annual program costs.

Including the cost reducing potential of the alternative service delivery modes, we have a range of between 386 million RSD to 1.43 billion RSD per year. The enhanced compensation level seems to be significantly beyond current experience and the basic compensation rate appears consistent with this experience. If time commitment estimates are reasonable, then the range for basic hourly compensation appears reasonable; suggesting an optimist expected cost range of between 386 million to 717 million RSD for legal aid services which actually result in the filing of cases on the court docket. The analysis suggests that the distributed case volume estimates reflect a more likely long-term demand scenario. Under these estimates, beneficiary population case loads of 93,087 Basic Court cases and 15,695 Higher Court cases reflect $9.6 \%$ of total combined Basic and Higher Court case volume. With this, in mind the most likely annual long-term cost is 717 million RSD for FLA service delivery.

It should be recognized that additional legal aid activity occurs in providing initial legal advice and filing motions that do not ultimately result in court cases. These additional costs are not considered in detail here, given the difficulty of estimating that which does not enter the system. We assume, however, that providing initial legal information and supporting clients in their decision not to pursue a court case is ultimately less labor intensive than mounting a court case. Further, given that estimates provided here are generally conservative, it is possible to consider that the costs of these services are absorbed within the existing estimates.

| Hourly Compensation Estimates—Low and High Compensation Scenarios (Basic and Higher Courts Combined) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Cost Phase-In Period Based on Case Duration

It should also be recognized that these costs reflect full system costs once the FLA program is in full operation. These costs reflect annual amounts at full operation, but total annual cost will not be incurred until cases fully move through the courts.

In reality, program costs will phase-in over time. Case duration was used to estimate the rate at which these costs would accrue. Of cases disposed of in 2012, $63 \%$ had spent one-year or less in the Basic Courts and $83 \%$ were resolved within one year in the higher courts. If cases were expected to be received at the same rate over the course of a year, it would mean that only $1 / 2$ of these volumes of resolved cases would have been expected to occur in the first year of operation. Within 6 years, however, it could be expected that the range of FLA-funded cases have moved through the courts and comprises a mix of cases which are commencing, underway and drawing to their conclusion.

It could also be expected that uptake of FLA is slow initially, due to the time it takes for beneficiaries to become aware of their entitlement and to bring cases to FLA providers for resolution. This 'buffer' has not been fully calculated in the analysis, but costs could be expected to be lower due to low demand in early years.

Also, these estimates do not account for the possibility of increasing demand for legal services and FLA once the program comes fully implemented and if the population recognizes increased valued and social benefit from availing themselves of court services, as part of a broader increased demand for access to justice. The FLA program would be unlikely to cause such a general increase in demand though until the medium term and is not quantifiable at this stage.

| TABLE 9: <br> Expected Cost Phase in of Costs-Basic Hourly Compensation Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year 1* | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 10 |
| Basic Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected percentage of costs realized | 31.6\% | 70.5\% | 81.0\% | 84.3\% | 87.7\% | 91.3\% | 93.9\% |
| Expected Cost Realized | 193,988,275 | 432,817,209 | 497,225,396 | 517,594,519 | 538,798,075 | 560,870,246 | 576,415,030 |
| Higher Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected percentage of costs realized | 41.2\% | 87.0\% | 93.9\% | 96.4\% | 99.0\% | 99.8\% | 99.94\% |
| Expected Cost Realized | 86,500,204 | 182,454,653 | 197,035,432 | 202,298,914 | 207,703,000 | 209,283,602 | 209,649,711 |
| Total (Basic and Higher Courts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 280,488,479 | 615,271,862 | 694,260,828 | 719,893,432 | 746,501,075 | 770,153,848 | 786,064,741 |
| *Assuming a January 1 start. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Direct Operating Costs

The analysis also outlines the costs for the likely operation of MLACs, MLSP offices and the oversight mechanism, totaling approximately 340 million RSD per year.

As agreed with the MOJPA, this fiscal impact analysis focuses on direct costs of implementing the FLA system as contemplated at this stage by the Draft Law. The analysis does not address the likely indirect and knock-on impacts of the FLA system to the justice system as a whole - for example the increased cost to the courts from the inflow of cases to the court system. It is assumed that the existing system is able to absorb the incoming FLA case flow in the short term. Once the FLA law is passed and all regulations and mechanisms have been defined, it would be possible to more fully identify all of the indirect knock-on effects of FLA provision within the justice system.

## Conclusions

Many elements of a legal aid program significantly affect program access, scope and costs. Critical decisions are required regarding the composition of the eligible population and the scope of services that will be available to them. Beyond this, significant thought must go into the delivery vehicles chosen to provide services to eligible populations. Standard delivery modes are likely to be prohibitively expensive and the Government needs to invest in either a complete re-working of the tariff structure or, preferably, movement to a market basis of compensation based on time and wage and salary studies. This coupled with a multi-mode delivery model will likely produce both the most effective levels of service and the greatest affordability. The Government should explore in more detail all of the alternative delivery vehicles at its disposal in offering legal aid services to Serbian beneficiary populations in need.

The body of the report also includes costing distributions between municipal legal aid centers (MLACs) and MLSP center that will need to be established, estimates of staffing levels for the quality control commission, estimates of staffing requirements for the expanded cases loads of MLACs, and estimates of the distribution of primary and secondary legal aid costs. Important decisions still must be made regarding fiscal responsibility for FLA services between municipalities and the Government.

## Serbian Free Legal Aid Cost Analysis

## Introduction

The provision of legal aid is an important feature of any modern justice system. Legal aid is made available in order to assure a minimum of equity in access to and participation in the court/legal system. The assurance of access to justice requires that those without means have available to them the services of trained legal professionals both with respect to early access to legal information and legal advice regarding individual rights or obligations under the law, as well as with respect to professional legal representation when necessary for a party to initiate or respond to criminal, civil or administrative proceedings.

A major consideration in designing a legal aid policy is its fiscal viability. Important decisions must be made regarding the range of services that will be available to the beneficiary population via legal aid, the threshold of economic capacity / need that will qualify an individual or family for such services, and the types of providers eligible to deliver legal aid. There are several alternatives for supplying legal service to eligible recipients, such as direct state provision of legal representation, reimbursement for costs of representation, or support for access provided through NGO's. Structuring a legal aid system requires critical decisions regarding access and delivery vehicles. These decisions are essential to both structuring the legal aid program and fiscal viability.

Over the past several months, the Serbian Government has convened an FLA Working Group (WG) with the support of the MDTF-JSS. Having deliberated extensively over each of these decision points, the WG has finalized a draft FLA Law that endeavors to deliver access to primary and secondary FLA in a quality manner, at an affordable cost to the State budget, as well as local self-Government budgets. The draft law is currently undergoing public debate.

This fiscal impact analysis is intended to support the process by providing cost estimates for scope of likely service demand by the population eligible for free legal aid services. The fiscal analysis considers immediate and longer term operating costs (for the State and for other providers), on projected demand for FLA services within individual municipalities and across the country. The analysis does not cover the costs of initial start-up and roll-out of the FLA program, which will be funded separately, including the provision of technical assistance via the MDTF-JSS to support the roll-out.

## Key Considerations in the Draft FLA Law

In recent months, the Government of Serbia's FLA WG made a series of legislative decisions in the draft FLA law that will impact the delivery of FLA in Serbia. In order to provide context for the fiscal analysis to follow, this section provides a brief overview of each of the key decision points most likely to affect cost of FLA delivery in Serbia such as:
a. eligible providers;
b. range of services to be provided;
c. eligible beneficiaries;
d. administrative structure;
e. quality control.

To the extent that the draft FLA Law leaves some aspects of these questions unanswered, this section will identify those gaps to provide context for interpreting this fiscal impact analysis and to inform potential areas of further discussion regarding the draft FLA Law and subsidiary regulations.

## Eligible FLA providers for primary and secondary FLA:

The Government has decided to recognize a variety of eligible legal aid providers in order to reduce the costs of legal aid delivery. Past Governmental policy regarding eligible legal aid providers was quite restrictive, and essentially provided an exclusive right of representation (secondary FLA) to Bar members (ie. advocates) in almost all civil and criminal legal aid cases. However, a July 2012 Constitutional Court decision struck down Article 85 of the Civil Procedure Code (2011) which had contained the highly restrictive 'Bar only' provider language. ${ }^{3}$ The Government's response in this current draft FLA law has been to acknowledge the eligibility of non-advocate lawyers ${ }^{4}$ to not only provide primary FLA, but also to represent clients before the courts or administrative bodies (ie. secondary FLA) in many cases. This opens FLA provision to alternative providers, which would significantly reduce program costs.

The analysis below assumes that the intent of the law remains to provide access to needy populations, and the bulk of this analysis is an effort to accurately allocate projected costs for primary and secondary aid to the target population of those who, in general parlance, are poor. The cost estimates provided are for combined primary and secondary legal aid with eligibility constrained to the target (social benefit) population. The report does not estimate costs for a definition that would include universal eligibility for an expanded definition of primary legal aid or liberalized eligibility of for secondary legal aid. These costs would, however, be substantial. ${ }^{5}$

Less expensive FLA options may arise from expansion of legal representation beyond advocates to encompass LAC lawyers, MLSP Lawyers, and under certain circumstances, lawyers at NGO's, and Law School Clinics ${ }^{6}$. In order to explore the most cost effective options for providing legal aid, a structured

[^2]analysis of the relative costs of varying options is desirable, along with an understanding of the potential volume of such services. Presently, there is insufficient information or clarity in how to effectively separate primary and secondary costs. As more information becomes available, a more thorough analysis of this specific facet of the analysis can be provided. It should be noted that despite this limitation, this analysis remains relevant as it captures all costs (primary and secondary) for the target population and illustrates potential cost savings of alternative service providers. As the analysis below bears out, the cost to the State and Municipal Governments will decrease as fewer cases are determined to need the services of a private lawyer.

## Range of Services to be provided

The current version of the draft FLA law places few limitations on the range of FLA services to be provided by the State or municipalities

Although Article 6 ("Types and Forms of FLA") defines primary and secondary FLA, it does not place any limitations on the types of cases covered. Similarly, though Article 7 places substantial limitations on the types of secondaryFLA cases that will be paid by the State budget, it is silent on limiting the services that people of Serbia are entitled to under the draft FLA law. Article 11 does rule out the use of the FLA system for commercial cases involving corporations.

Article 26.1 does identify the Centre for Social Welfare in each municipality as the body responsible for "establish[ing] whether the Applicant's right or freedom is related to the legal matter for which FLA service is requested", however, it does not place subject matter limitations on FLA applications. Article 3 also contains no subject matter restrictions. ${ }^{7}$

## Eligible Beneficiaries

The draft FLA law is vague on general economic need requirements for FLA. It can be read to allow universal access to an expended definition of primary legal aid. Limitations on access to secondary legal

[^3]aid are addressed for persons seeking State provision of services requiring a notary, a mediator, or an attorney at law. ${ }^{8}$

With respect to the State-funded FLA cases, Article $7^{9}$ of the draft FLA law effectively limits state-funded secondary FLA eligibility to those individuals or families currently receiving, or currently eligible to receive MLSP assistance. Limiting eligibility to the population receiving social benefits is consistent with the general intent of FLA programs and significantly limits and targets program costs. Pegging FLA eligibility to social benefit eligibility avoids the traps experienced by other countries in expending significant time and resources in identifying the eligibility of particular individuals to FLA, which is often also vulnerable to discretion, delay and opportunities for misuse. This decision reduces costs of Serbia's FLA system to the State both with respect to the process costs of determining eligibility, and the actual percentage of people in Serbia who will successfully be granted access to State-funded legal aid. The costs estimates contained in this report, likewise, assume that eligibility is constrained to the recipients of social benefits and does so for all aspect for FLA, whether to the legal aid be provided by municipalities or directed through the MLSP.

## Administrative Structure

For the past 2 years, the Government has been caught between the constitutional requirement ${ }^{10}$ that all 138 municipalities in Serbia deliver FLA and the reality that only $1 / 3$ or so actually do so, whether this be a matter of available funding, capacity or political will. Rather than for poor municipalities to immediately shoulder this obligation, or alternatively pay for LAC's in the roughly 96 municipalities that lack them, the State appears to have decided in the Draft Law to house a substantial portion of FLA delivery services inside the MLSP. ${ }^{11}$ In municipalities that already have MLACS up and running, the MLSP role will be limited to receiving, processing and deciding upon beneficiary applications, and referring cases to LACs and other local providers. In municipalities lacking MLAC capacity, the MLSP will be responsible for providing primary FLA services of a limited nature and direct eligible applicants to alternative service providers. ${ }^{12}$ Although the draft Law is less specific about what providers will replace

[^4]the delivery of FLA services of a missing MLAC, Article 35 of the draft FLA Law indicates that "public funds" will be made available through CSOs to cover this access gap.

The MLSP solution appears to draw upon existing State social welfare delivery, without unduly infringing upon municipal FLA obligations. In terms of cost, this MLSP-led FLA delivery solution will create limited human resource costs, such as the hiring of qualified legal staff (for MLSP Welfare Centers), but the State-alternative to build, heat and staff the missing LACs in approximately 100 municipalities is far less appealing in the short run.

## Quality Control

Article 40-50 establishes a quality control commission to perform oversight functions and assure the efficacy of FLA services of providers. It will be responsible for reviewing services provided based on beneficiary complaint, based on reports of the Ministry of Justice and Public Administration's (MOJPA) inspection services and based on its own initiative and review of records and FLA reports. The quality control commission will be composed of 7 members (and necessary staff) and will be independent of the MOJPA or MLSP. It is, in general, responsible for auditing of FLA activities, adjudicating disputes, imposing sanctions and remedies. The sale of its operations and staffing will depend on the projected cases loads. It will be housed within the existing ministerial office space and incremental funding will be limited to additional salary costs.

## General Objective and Analytical Framework

The objective of this work is to evaluate the cost implications of various policy options for the provision of legal aid in Serbia. As such, this analysis will focus on an assessment of the most cost effective means of delivering a legal aid policy objective rather than an economic analysis of its social costs and benefits. ${ }^{13}$ This analysis assumes that the social value of the legal aid objectives are positive (and given) and assesses alternatives directed at achieving those social values based on their relative cost effectiveness. It is intended to also inform the viability of those objectives by employing sensitivity analysis around elements of service access and scale.

A prerequisite for this analysis was a clear articulation of policy scenarios/options to be considered. Whilst not clearly defined, and the analysis was structured along two principal dimensions (i) legal access and scale (aid eligible proceedings and eligible client base); and (ii) service delivery modes.

[^5]TABLE 10: Framework for Cost Assessment of Legal Access and Service Delivery Modes


## Beneficiaries - Defining the Conditions of Legal Access and Scale

The starting point for this analysis is a clear articulation of desired access to services beyond what is already required by law. In order to perform this analysis, the level of services/proceedings for which an entitlement is to be established must be strictly defined. The threshold economic capacity for entitlement to and termination of subsidized access must be equally certain. More precisely, this requires determinations of the following:

- Aid Eligible Proceedings:
- Criminal Proceedings. What types of proceedings? What type of cases?
- Levels of Access - Will subsidized access be available for representation (pretrial, trial, appeals etc.)? Will it be available during investigation? During enforcement?
- Civil Proceedings. What types of cases will be covered and how many hours of service are typically required to address such cases? Will subsidized access be provided for initiating proceedings? Will aid be provided for both plaintiffs and respondents at all stages of the cases life cycle through to enforcement?
- Eligible Client Base (Eligibility Threshold):
- Primary legal aid: Will all Serbian citizens be entitled to primary legal aid such as legal information and advice?
- Threshold for Qualifying for secondary legal aid; what are the criteria (income / assets / circumstances) qualifying an individual for subsidized access to services? Will subsidies be $100 \%$ or will recipient be required to contribute a share of service costs? Will access be universal at the point of qualification or will it be tiered? If tiered, what levels of service will be available at what thresholds of qualification? What levels of shared copayments will be required at each level of access. Will co-payments be the same for each type of access (proceeding, etc.)?
- Determination of Eligibility: Will the state expend resources supporting applicant's to complete forms, or will this service be delegated to the Bar? How complex is the application review process in terms of data to verify (i.e. income not more than $2 x$ the
social protection act threshold, property ownership, occasional income) and to what extent can such verification take place efficiently through electronic interface with other Government institutions (i.e. tax authority and MLSP. Here, the labor intensity of the eligibility determination process can quickly lead to high costs, particularly if MLSP staff ${ }^{14}$ and potential ${ }^{15}$ multiple legal aid boards will have to be paid to manually review and deliberate over each application. An alternative (Dutch model) would combine technological innovation, practical approaches for income determination (such as basing wealth on prior year incomes), and placing some level of trust in the applicant's lawyer and the primary (municipal) triage provider to weed out unworthy applicants.


## Legal Aid Providers - Defining Service Delivery Modes

Secondly, viable options for legal aid delivery modes must be specified. The range of options includes full public provision, public financing/private provision, collaborative arrangements with NGOs, etc.

## Primary Legal Aid

Primary Legal Aid is envisaged to be delivered through public providers with support from NGO's and Law School Clinics. Two possible options were originally being considered:

- Replicating MLACs across the country. This would entail the creation of 168 LAC (provided each municipality would need to have a legal aid office); or
- Legal Aid Centers situated near Basic Courts. This would entail 34 LACs with jurisdictions mirroring Basic Court jurisdictions. Thus, each LAC would service a number of surrounding municipalities, with each municipality required to provide basic office space and equipment along with a designated full or part time staff to man the municipal branch offices. Under this scenario, more experienced or specialized primary legal aid providers would be responsible for traveling periodically to municipal branch offices for scheduled, one-on-one, 1 hour consultations.

In both scenarios, core staff could be made up mostly of non-Bar legal professionals (i.e. law degree holders with or without Bar exam) with specialized experience and training for triaging legal aid cases. Cost saving measures could also involve the use of pro bono advocate members, and the development of law student internships in partnership with law schools.

In the most recent draft, however, the MOJPA appears to have settled on the MLAC approach, while using the MSW, as a surrogate LAC responsible for providing primary legal aid in the majority of municipalities (approximately 98), which have not yet established their own functioning LAC.

[^6]
## Secondary Legal Aid

Pending the Government's ultimate interpretation of Article 67 of the constitution, a variety of options remain possible:

Provision through the Bar: This would entail restricting the provision of legal aid services, particularly over secondary legal aid provision to the Bar. The mode of payment will be crucial and current options include expanding the current (criminal legal aid) mode of payment based upon a fee schedule for court appearances (i.e. 100 euro per appearance); ${ }^{16}$ or moving to a point system (Dutch model) involving the setting of an hourly rate and assigning a case-based multiplier (i.e. 5 pts to 12 pts depending upon the case type). While an hourly rate applied in the Netherlands of 50 euro may be quite unrealistic for Serbia, the hourly rate employed by Lithuania of 10 to 14 euro, may be sufficient enough to entice advocates to build their legal practices via representation of FLA recipients. Of course, if the Serbian Bar Association (SBA) were to pursue a policy of requiring a number (i.e. $50 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) of pro bono hours of each of its 7000 members, this would have a considerable impact upon the secondary legal aid delivery.

Provision through the LAC: This would entail Government recognition of non-Bar legal professionals working in LACs as having legal authority to represent FLA beneficiaries in administrative, civil, and even in criminal cases. ${ }^{17}$

Provision through NGOs and associations: This would entail Government certification of non-Bar legal professionals within NGOs and law schools to represent beneficiaries in a set of specialized cases (i.e. idp, refugee, discrimination, domestic violence) typically affecting indigent and vulnerable groups, in recognition of their current international-funded, or self-funded, activities in the delivery of this secondary legal aid. There is a general assumption that delivery of secondary legal aid through NGOs and Law School Clinics will be considerably more cost-effective given that it opens up the possibility of Government payment of lump sum grants to NGOs to cover specific types of cases, and Government payment of inexpensive overhead costs or honorarium (for professors or participating Bar members) to Law School Clinics whose students would receive academic credit for work performed.

The Constitutional Court's July 2012 decision to strike as unconstitutional the "must be represented by an attorney only" language from Article 85 of the Civil Procedure Code appears to have influenced the Government's position as the most recent draft law (Art. 8) expands the definition of eligible secondary FLA provider beyond the Bar, to include LACs, and even NGOs and associations in some cases so long as the provider possesses certain professional legal credentials, is registered in the MOJPA official provider registry, and subject to MOJPA's oversight authority.

[^7]
## Management and Oversight

The original legal aid working group of 2011-12 had tentatively agreed that an autonomous body outside of MOJPA should have the primary responsibility to avoid conflict of interest in LA cases (particularly those in which the gov't is a party). In line with the Government's FLA Strategy of 2010, this working group also considered the creation of 1 central and 4 devolved administrative bodies (aligned to Serbia's appellate jurisdiction) to oversee and monitor the legal aid system and to process all legal aid applications and appeals from rejected applications.

However, the Government's current working group has drafted an FLA law which abandons the concept of creating 4 new administrative bodies for FLA oversight in each appellate seat. Instead, the current draft law delegates and decentralizes the State's FLA application processing and FLA case assignment to the MLSP by housing the States FLA operations within municipally-based Centers of Social Welfare. Meanwhile, MOJPA has retained all oversight authority over the system, including the maintenance of an official registry of providers, the authority to approve provider payments, and quality control of FLA delivery.

Several quality control measures are being considered in the draft law including: mandatory surveys of legal aid beneficiaries and providers, an internal audit system to perform random sample audits on legal aid applications (to discourage corruption), and on case files of legal aid recipients (to ensure high quality legal representation). There has been some discussion of delegation of this provider oversight task to the private Bar. While this may appear to save the Government the cost of hiring legally trained auditors to perform this independent service, there is a considerable risk that the Bar - in its current stage of development - will be unable to deliver the level of high quality self-policing of its members. Accordingly, Article 40 of the current draft FLA creates a Quality Control Commission (QCC) within MOJPA with a compliment of Government and non-Government justice sector professionals including members of the Bar. Articles 42-50 of the draft law establish a complaint and disciplinary process, and grants QCC the authority over this process. The draft law identifies a seven person QCC but thus far does not mention any core staffing positions to support QCC audits or investigations.

## Costing Scenarios

Operationalizing the costs of the various service level options and service delivery modes is an essential element of this form of analysis and requires a detailed assessment of the factors which affect the population eligible for services, the services for which they are eligible, the inputs required in service provision and their unit costs by service delivery mode. This two dimensional assessment requires a simultaneous consideration of costs across the service level and delivery mode options. Informed assumptions will need to be made for each of the cost elements.

Several alternative methods can and should be employed to cost legal aid services. Any costing estimates must, however, be conditioned on a precise definition of the (i) scale of legal access (aide eligible proceedings and eligible client base); and (ii) alternative delivery modes. Ideally, models to estimate legal aid costs will be scalable. That is, estimates should be possible for a variety of scale options. Achieving this, however, requires that separate estimates be made for different case / proceeding types and for different scales of eligible populations. This also requires estimation of the
propensity of different populations to use legal services under conditions of subsidized access. The ideal model would estimate the following to achieve an overall cost envelope:

TABLE 11: Costing Framework by Eligible Case

| Total Cost <br> by eligible case | $=$ | Case Quantities |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | Case Costs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Client Base (Eligibility <br> Threshold) | Propensity to use <br> entitlement |  | Service scope <br> and Time <br> Requirements | Unit Cost <br> of Inputs |
|  | Service Levels |  |  | Delivery <br> Mode |  |  |

## Total Cost

Sum of Total Cost by eligible case
Ideally, costs will be estimated separately for each case type. Estimates should also be made regionally (based on the jurisdictional territories of individual courts), as the incidence of different case types and propensity to use the legal system will vary by the economic and demographic characteristics of different municipalities and cities. Applying this framework requires informed assumptions about four different elements for each eligible case type in each region:

Client Base - a function of legal aid policy establishing eligibility and the eligible population size based on the socio-economic structure of the population. Establishing the eligible client base requires information on income distribution, family composition, wealth, occupation, living environment, etc. If not available for court jurisdictions specifically, such data could be derived for cities and municipalities from census and/or household survey data and then aggregated to each court jurisdiction.

Propensity to use entitlement - is an estimate of the incidence of legal aid services use by the eligible population. It will vary by case and by court jurisdiction. Making this estimate is best performed through case level data and would require data from the case management system on all cases filed for all courts over at least a one year period. Each record should contain the case characteristic and any demographic information on case participants. The use of these records along with the regional demographics from the client base estimates will allow estimation of expected legal aid demand by court jurisdiction.

Service scope and Time Requirements - requires a determination of the range of legal services prescribed in the legal aid policy (i.e. representation at pre-trial hearings, investigations, etc.) and the time required to deliver the specified elements of service scope. The latter information would be determined by time studies (if available) or Delphi Surveys. Professional sources of input include the Serbian Bar Association, High Judicial Council, State Prosecutorial Council, University of Belgrade Faculty of Law, Novi Sad University Faculty of Law, non-profit legal aid providers. Court case management files can also be used to identify the average number of hearings and motions per case. Ideally service/time estimates would be made for each case type and for each element of these case types. Estimates from multiple sources will be averaged. Estimates from judges and prosecutors will also be necessary, as an implicit cost of legal aid includes time of the prosecutor's office and courts which are true costs to the Serbian budget, but not direct outlays for legal aid.

Unit Cost of Inputs - An initial assumption is made that service scope and time requirements are independent of delivery mode. That is, that inputs are the same across these modes. Under this assumption, all that is required to estimate final case and aggregate cost is the unit cost of inputs from different delivery vehicles. For this estimate, methods similar to that for service scope and time are used. The key factor is differentials in rates of compensation across modes, which requires information on wages and salaries of legal professionals operating via these different service delivery models. This information will be sourced from compensation studies (if available) and professional expert opinion. As above, professional sources of input include the Serbian Bar Association, High Judicial Council, State Prosecutorial Council, University of Belgrade Faculty of Law, Novi Sad University Faculty of Law, nonprofit legal aid providers. Court case management files can also be used to identify the time requirements of court staff to estimate direct costs of legal aid on the court system apparatus. Ideally unit cost estimates would be made for each case type and for each element of these case types. ${ }^{18}$ Estimates from judges and prosecutors regarding staff time are also necessary to estimate the implicit cost of legal aid on courts and prosecutor's offices; however this should not vary greatly from one delivery mode to another. The assumption of uniform service scope and time requirements will also be relaxed if it is determined that a delivery mode has efficiency or efficacy benefits over others.

## Costing element \#1: Client Base (Eligibility Threshold)

The building blocks for costing legal aid must begin with the eligible population and geographically the focus is on beneficiary populations in municipalities. The scope of eligible population is driven by the chosen eligibility criteria. The working criterion for FLA eligibility is social services eligibility. Alternative criterion suggested has been poverty level population. Using social services eligibility provides a level of administrative savings, as the MLSP would determine eligibility for secondary legal services at the same time determination is made for other social benefits. However, it may underestimate the population as some may seek legal aid that have not previously sought social services benefits. The table below provides a national estimate of the eligible populations based on several definitions of income. ${ }^{19}$ It then uses the national incidence of legal proceedings to make a simple (and naive) estimate of the expected number of new cases emerging from the provision of free legal aid. It does so under two assumptions: 1) the average national incidence for judicial proceeding is the same for the in-need populations as it is for the general population; 2) all in-need population take advantage of the legal services benefits afforded them. ${ }^{20}$ It should be recognized that these two assumptions are problematic. Different socio-

[^8]economic strata of the population are likely to have different demands for court services and demands are also likely to vary considerably across different regions of the country. Still, these naïve national incidence figures can be used to make very rough estimates of the demand for FLA services in individual municipalities. It becomes apparent, however, that these estimates leave much to be desired regarding accuracy as the assumption of complete uniformity of demand across geographic areas and populations is erroneous. Still, this presentation is used as an illustration. In later sections of this analysis (below), we directly estimate variations in demand (incidence) across regions and adjust expected demands by the demographic characteristics of the population in each municipality, specifically focusing on the social benefit eligible population.

As stated, the table below provides naïve estimates of the number of person that would be eligible for free legal aid in Serbia as a whole (based on poverty levels and social services eligible populations), simply assuming that the incidence of legal action is the same for the eligible population as it is for the population of the nation as a whole. The eligible population ranges from approximately 463,000 (if an eligibility criteria of $40 \%$ of median income is used) to 1.8 million (if $70 \%$ of median income is used). Using the absolute poverty line results in 655,000 eligible individuals and using the population eligible for social services results in $585,000,273,000$ of which are adults. This table also identifies the number of court cases expected from this population through simple extrapolation and, thus, the number of legal aid services requests expected, by selected broad category of court cases. Using the population eligible for social services as the FLA eligibility standard, 85,000 annual requests for secondary legal aid would be expected.

TABLE 12: Naïve Estimates of Eligible Population and Potential Total Cases, Serbia as a Whole

|  | Total Population | EU Population At Risk Poverty Level (60\% of median) | EU Population At Risk Poverty Level (40\% of median) | EU Population At Risk Poverty Level (70\% of median) | Serbia <br> Absolute Poverty Line (\% of Population at or below) | Serbia Population Eligible for MLSP Services (2011) | Incidence of Court Procedures / Cases per Individual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individuals | 7120666 | 18.30\% | 6.50\% | 25.30\% | 9.20\% | 8.21\% |  |
|  |  | 1,303,082 | 462,843 | 1,801,528 | 655,101 | 584828 |  |
| Total Court Cases | 1,040,997 | 190,502 | 67,665 | 263,372 | 95,772 | 85,498 | 0.1462 |
| Civil proceedings | 40,096 | 7,338 | 2,606 | 10,144 | 3,689 | 3,293 | 0.0056 |
| Criminal proceedings | 184,070 | 33,685 | 11,965 | 46,570 | 16,934 | 15,118 | 0.0259 |
| Inheritance proceedings | 73,753 | 13,497 | 4,794 | 18,660 | 6,785 | 6,057 | 0.0104 |
| Lawsuit proceedings | 141,343 | 25,866 | 9,187 | 35,760 | 13,004 | 11,609 | 0.0198 |

Table P1 (appendix) extends this naïve extrapolation analysis to the municipal level. Using the social services eligible population within each municipality and applying the overall national incidence of legal action to this population, the number of cases originating from each municipality is estimated. Of course, the incidence of legal action will vary by municipality significantly. These case totals should be adjusted based on local incidence. Sections below estimate the actual incidence and numbers of cases across municipal populations, based on an econometric exploration of demand and the demographic characteristics of local populations.
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## Estimating Case Level Demand Using Population, Demographic and Socio-Economic Attributes of Municipal Populations

Such gross estimates based on national incidence are subject to significant error. Differing demographic and economic characteristics of populations and municipal jurisdictions alter the expected utilization of the court network. To adjust estimates for local conditions, court's caseloads for municipal areas have been paired with population, economic and demographic indicators to estimate the relationship between population and economic characteristics and the incidence of court utilization. Data were collected on caseloads and municipal indicators and statistical models were estimated.

The focus of these estimates was the effect of population characteristics on the demand for court access. The breakdown of the population receiving social benefits was used as the eligible population and models were constructed to estimate the number of court proceedings that could be expected. Expected demand was established based on the past relationship between population characteristics and court proceedings in municipalities throughout Serbia over a three-year period. The results of these models provide demand estimates, based on past experiences, which can be used to estimate current and future caseloads eligible for FLA. There are three caveats to this analysis. First, time has elapsed between the historical observations for municipal court case loads used for these estimates and current court activity. Any systematic changes in the relationship between population, economic and demographic characteristics and court demand will introduce error. However, the population characteristics and socio-economic factors are expected to be relatively stable over the short-to medium-term regarding demand for access to the legal system and propensity of past years can be reasonably used to adjust current year estimates. Second, these estimates reflect the expected longterm average, based on relationships between communities and court activity across the nation as a whole. As such, there may be significant variations from year to year and their long-term accuracy can be expected to be far superior to their accuracy for any court or any municipality within a single year. Third, the availability of FLA may be expected to alter demand. That is, the actual existence of aid might entice more people from the eligible population to seek access to the court system. ${ }^{21}$ It is difficult to estimate this effect with current data available and the estimates achieved may be conservative. ${ }^{22}$ Still, this provides a useful starting point in estimating the demand and the resulting costs associated with a free legal aid program.

## New and Resolved Cases as the Indicator of Demand

The most important elements of caseloads relating to the demand for and cost of FLA services involve resolved cases (reflecting the final payment period for covered cases) and newly filed cases (reflecting

[^10]the beginning of a FLA cost stream). ${ }^{23}$ Demand is estimated for annual caseloads for the following case categories: i) resolved cases (total resolved cases, resolved investigative cases, resolved fault cases, resolved litigated cases, resolved uncontested cases, and resolved execution cases); and 2) new cases (total new cases, new investigative cases, new fault cases, new litigated cases, new uncontested cases, and new execution cases).

## Population as the Point of Departure

The beginning point for any estimate (as suggested above) is population. Absent any additional information, the per capita incidence of court activity would provide the first cut in estimating caseloads. Court demand is obviously a function of population; the larger the population of the jurisdiction served by a court the greater the number of cases.

If we estimate a municipal court caseload demand model using only population, we find that population alone accounts for $71 \%$ of the variation in resolved caseloads across municipalities (see table DM1a) and $72 \%$ of the annual volume of new cases (table DM1b). ${ }^{24}$ On average, a five person increase in population increases both the number of resolved and new cases by 1 (see table below). ${ }^{25}$

| TABLE 13: Total Resolved and New Cases Accounted for across Municipalities based only on Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Resolved <br> Case Type | Accounted <br> for <br> Variance | Population <br> Coefficient | Residents <br> per Case | New Case <br> Type | Accounted <br> for <br> Variance | Population <br> Coefficient | Residents <br> per Case |
| Total | 0.71 | 0.21 | 4.71 | Total | 0.72 | 0.213 | 4.69 |
| Investigative | 0.87 | 0.01 | 120.48 | Investigative | 0.86 | 0.008 | 118.48 |
| Fault | 0.55 | 0.02 | 55.96 | Fault | 0.55 | 0.018 | 55.37 |
| Litigated | 0.84 | 0.03 | 29.40 | Litigated | 0.84 | 0.032 | 30.91 |
| Uncontested | 0.31 | 0.06 | 16.81 | Uncontested | 0.31 | 0.061 | 16.42 |
| Execution | 0.57 | 0.07 | 13.72 | Execution | 0.61 | 0.078 | 12.84 |

Population alone is best at predicting the number of resolved investigative cases, accounting for $87 \%$ of the variation between communities and least successful at predicting the number of resolved uncontested cases, at $31 \%$. Resolved uncontested cases on average increase by 1 for each 17 residents and resolved investigative cases increase by 1 for every 120 residents. ${ }^{26}$ For new cases, results are very

[^11]similar (see table DM1b). Population alone provides the most accurate predictor for new investigative cases (at $86 \%$ ) and the least accurate prediction for new uncontested cases (31\%). On average one new investigative case is filed for each 118 residents and one new uncontested case is filed for each 16 residents. The symmetry of these estimates is quite interesting. Resolved cases rather precisely track new cases. These results are not surprising. It is expected that caseloads will strongly track aggregate population.

## Testing for a Non-linear Function - Population Polynomials and Density

Demand for public services and the outputs of public institutions is, however, often not a direct linear function of scale. Per capita demand for courts may proportionately increase or decrease as population or population density increases or decreases. More concentrated, more urbanized populations may seek access to courts at a rate different than those living in less urbanized settings. To test for this general relationship, models were also estimated with population's first and second order polynomials included. This allows estimates to track increasing or decreasing per capita demands as population and or density increases. ${ }^{27}$

The results of the polynomial/density models (tables DM2a and DM2b) indicate that the relationship between population and caseload, while not purely linear for smaller populations centers, is quite linear overall (graphical depictions of this relationship are available in the appendix). Adding the population polynomials and population density increases by $7 \%$ the level of variance in total resolved caseload accounted for by the model and increases by 6\% the variance accounted for regarding total new case volume. The relationship to population is nearly perfectly linear for investigative cases and the addition of polynomials offer little additional predictive power. The relationship is less linear for fault, , litigated, uncontested and execution cases (resolved and new). On the other hand, execution case volume is less linear in its relationship to population and the polynomials and density parameters increase explained variance to 81 and $85 \%$ in resolved execution and new cases, respectively.

TABLE 14: Comparison of Case Load Variance Accounted for by Population Only Models

| Resolved Cases - Variance Accounted For | Total <br> Resolved <br> Cases | Investigative <br> Cases <br> Resolved | Fault Cases <br> Resolved | Litigated <br> cases <br> resolved | Uncontested <br> Cases <br> Resolved | Execution <br> Cases <br> Resolved |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model: Population Only | 0.71 | 0.87 | 0.55 | 0.84 | 0.31 | 0.57 |
| Model: Population Polynomials \& Density | 0.77 | 0.88 | 0.57 | 0.87 | 0.35 | 0.81 |
| New Cases - Variance Accounted For | Total New <br> Cases | New <br> Investigative <br> Cases | New Fault <br> Cases | New Litigated <br> cases | New <br> Uncontested <br> Cases | New <br> Execution <br> Cases |
| Model: Population Only | 0.72 | 0.86 | 0.55 | 0.84 | 0.31 | 0.61 |
| Model: Population Polynomials \& Density | 0.78 | 0.87 | 0.58 | 0.86 | 0.35 | 0.85 |
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## Variations in Per capita Caseloads and Eligible Population

Irrespective of the strong linear relationship between population and caseloads, the coefficient of variation in cases per 1000 populations is rather large. Total resolved and new caseloads, on average, vary by more than $65 \%$ across municipal jurisdictions and uncontested cases vary by more than $155 \%$. Variation between jurisdictions in resolved and new execution cases is also high at 82 and 63\%. Differences in the incidence of fault cases between municipalities is $51 \%$ and both investigative and litigated cases vary by $36 \%$. This suggests that municipality specific factors may be critical determinants of the demand for court access.

TABLE 15:
Resolved and New Cases Per 1000 Population Annually Across Municipalities: Mean and Coefficient of Variation

| Resolved Case Type | Mean | CV | New Case Type | Mean | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 174.86 | 68.12 | Total | 183.85 | 65.94 |
| Investigative | 7.17 | 36.26 | Investigative | 7.36 | 36.94 |
| Fault | 21.75 | 51.98 | Fault | 22.15 | 51.47 |
| Litigated | 30.15 | 36.21 | Litigated | 29.48 | 36.94 |
| Uncontested | 68.18 | 157.45 | Uncontested | 69.39 | 155.24 |
| Execution | 43.57 | 82.22 | Execution | 52.40 | 63.46 |

Variation in the proportion of the population which might be eligible for FLA is also critical as a determinant of differences in demand and program expenditures across municipalities and courts. Across municipalities, on average, $9.4 \%$ of the population was eligible for social benefits. Of this population, adults age 30-64 accounted for nearly half (at 4.28\%). The next largest category of recipients were children under 15 (at $2.51 \%$ ), followed by those over 64 and then youth 15 to 29. It is likely that adult and youth populations would drive FLA demand, so the composition of the benefit population between these age groups is expected to be an important factor. Likewise, the relative magnitude of this population within a given municipality is also expected to be important.

Community population, demographic and economic factors are likely to also be important to the demand for legal services. A finer resolution is provided if we focus attention toward per capita variations in demand for legal access across populations linked to the scale of the potential beneficiary population. The results of the above models suggest that there is a strong per capita relationship, but it is the differences between population groups and locations that is important in understanding variations in this demand and need for access.

| TABLE 16: <br> Components of the FLA Eligible Population as Portion of Total Population Across <br> Municipalities: Mean and Coefficient of Variation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eligible Population Category | Mean | CV |  |
| Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 4.28 | 54.50 |  |
| Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of <br> Pop) | 2.51 | 62.91 |  |
| Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.92 | 77.77 |  |
| Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 1.64 | 76.70 |  |

Like caseloads, there is considerable variation in the portion of municipal populations that comprise these benefit eligibility age groups. On average the portion of municipal populations falling into the adult social benefit group varies by more than $50 \%$ and ranges from approximately $1 \%$ of the municipal population to more than $18 \%$. Variation in the proportion of the population found in the other benefit eligible age groups is even more pronounced. The average variation in the benefit eligible proportion of children under 15 is $63 \%$ (ranging from $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ of a\% to $9.5 \%$ ), for youth it is $78 \%$ (ranging from $1 / 10^{\text {th }} \%$ to $4.76 \%$ ), and it is $77 \%$ for
the elderly (from $1 / 5^{\text {th }} \%$ to $10.4 \%$ ). In absolute terms this variation can be substantial and will likely significantly affect the fiscal scale of the FLA program.

## Fine-Tuning the Estimates

To fine tune demand estimates, models were re-specified in per capita terms. That is, the dependent measures of new and resolved cases were recast as cases per 1000 residents of the municipality. The per capita (per 1000 residents) measures absorb and reflect the strong caseload relationship to population. Population distribution and economic and social indicators were then used to identify the relationship between these factors and caseloads. The most important measures for our interest are those characterizing the aid eligible population. Controlling for the conditions of the population, and social and economic characteristic of the municipality, we estimate the resolved case and new case demand associated with this target (aid eligible) population. This is done to understand how demand (caseloads) are likely to change based on the magnitude and changes in the level (or proportion) of the benefit population relative to the population of the municipality as a whole and its composition by age group and benefit eligible status. The table below identifies the indicators we have used in our estimating models.

| TABLE 17: Variables Used in Estimated Models |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resolved Caseload (Dependent) Measures |  | New Caseload (Dependent) Measures |  |
| Name | Description | Name | Description |
| TOTRES | Total cases resolved Per 1000 population | TOTNEW | Total new cases 1000 population |
| INVRES | Investigative cases resolved per 1000 population | INVNEW | New investigative cases 1000 population |
| FAULTRES | Fault cases resolved 1000 Population | FAULTNEW | Fault cases resolved 1000 population |
| LITRES | Litigated cases resolved 1000 Population | LITNEW | Litigated cases resolved 1000 population |
| VANRES | Uncontested cases resolved 1000 population | VANNEW | Uncontested cases resolved 1000 population |
| EXRES | Execution cases resolved 1000 population | EXNEW | Execution cases resolved 1000 population |
|  | Benefit Eligible Population |  | Benefit In-Eligible Population |
| Name | Description | Name | Description |
| Adults_BEN | Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | ADULT_NB | Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits |
| Child_BEN | Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | CHILD_NB | Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) |
| Youth_BEN | Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | YOUTH_NB | Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) |
| Old_BEN | Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | OLD_NB | Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) |
|  | Scale and Structure Measures |  | Economic Performance Indicators |
| POP_2 | Population Squared | ECPN11 | Population Employed In Jurisdiction (as a \% of Resident Pop) |
| PDEN11 | Population Density - Per Sq Km | UNEMP_RT | Unemployment Rate |
| HSLD_SZ | Average Household Size | NEW_HOUSE | New Housing Construction Per 1000 (2010+2011) |
| Socio-Economic Status (Anchoring High / Low) |  |  | Relative Development Measures |
| HIGHER_ED | Population w Higher Education | DPTI11 | Doctors Per 1000 Inhabitants |
| TILLIT11 | Illiterate Population | NWVDV11 | Women Victims of Domestic Violence (\% of Pop) |

We have estimated models for all of the dependent variables, regressing on them beneficiary population age distributions, non-beneficiary population age distributions, municipal scale and structure indicators,
socio-economic status measures, economic performance indicators, and social development measures (see tables DM3a and DM3b). ${ }^{28}$ While the coefficients of these economic and social variables are inherently interesting, our interest is in statistically holding these factors constant and estimating the effects on caseloads of variations in the composition of the benefit eligible population. The age distribution measures (i.e. percentage of the population in each age / benefit category) sum to 100, given that they fully represent $100 \%$ of the population. We have, therefore, included a restriction in the model forcing the sum of the coefficients on these measures to equal zero. This implicitly means that the estimated parameter (coefficients) for the relationship between any sub-group of the population can be interpreted as reflecting the degree to which a percentage point change in that sub-group results in a change in caseload greater or less than the average per capita effect across all components of the population age distribution. A negative coefficient for an age/benefit group implies that this segment of the population places less demand on the court network for a particular type of case activity.

## Estimation Results

The table below summarizes the results of the resolved case models. It displays the parameter estimates (coefficients) for only the age segments. The full results of the model can be found in table DM3a. The coefficients marked with an "*" indicate that there is less than a $10 \%$ probability that the relationship they indicate is random (i.e., indicating that the relationship is relatively consistent across municipalities and that effect of a given segment of the population on court caseloads is not zero and is, therefore, different from the general per capita average). For those marked with "**" the random probability is less than $5 \%{ }^{29}$

| TABLE 18: <br> Estimated Annual Court Case Incidence Per 1000 PopulationControlling for Population, Demographics and Economic Characteristics (see table DM3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Description | Total Resolved Cases | Investigative Cases Resolved | Fault <br> Cases <br> Resolved | Litigated Cases Resolved | Uncontested Cases Resolved | Execution <br> Cases <br> Resolved |
|  |  | Estimate | Estimate | Estimate | Estimate | Estimate | Estimate |
| Adults_BEN | Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 8.08 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 11.36** | -0.10 |
| Child_BEN | Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 18.43** | 0.70** | 0.51 | -1.47* | 13.20** | 1.49 |
| Youth_BEN | Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -28.58** | -1.02** | -2.54** | 2.14 | -24.80** | -0.41 |
| Old_BEN | Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -8.36 | -0.03 | 0.33 | -1.21* | -8.95* | -1.07 |
| CHILD_NB | Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 8.23* | 0.27** | 0.09 | -1.09* | 10.15** | -0.94 |
| YOUTH_NB | Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -3.20 | -0.22* | 0.20 | 0.15 | -4.85 | -0.47 |
| ADULT_NB | Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 2.20 | 0.12** | 0.04 | 0.08 | 2.90 | 0.55 |
| OLD_NB | Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 3.20* | 0.03 | 0.88** | 0.79** | 0.99 | 0.95* |
| R-Sq |  | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.20 |
| Note: "*" P-value < .1, "**" P-value < . 05. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Resolved cases

Resolved cases per 1000 population are responsive to the age and benefit eligibility distribution of the municipal population. The models improve on the linear per capita result by accounting for from 16\% (for uncontested cases) to $41 \%$ (for fault/criminal cases) of the remaining per capita variance in caseloads across municipalities.

A higher portion of adults in the population is associated with a higher incidence of resolved court cases across the board. However, possibly due to the range of the population comprised by this group, it is only strongly systematically different from the average population age group effect for the non-benefit eligible portion of the population for investigative cases and for the social benefit receiving population for uncontested cases. The effect for uncontested cases is quite large, with an increase of 11 cases per 1000 population with a 1 percentage point increase in the portion of the total population comprised of this social benefit eligible adult age group. A $1 \%$ change in the non-benefit group is associated with an additional 2 resolved cases per 1000 population.

Possibly unexpectedly, a higher portion of children (likely reflective of a more youthful overall population in the working age group, as well) is also generally associated with increased resolved court cases. This is so for all case categories except litigation, where children are linked to a reduced incidence. In addition, the relationship between children and court cases is quite consistent across municipalities. The effect is strongest for total resolved cases and uncontested cases and is greater for a $1 \%$ point increase in the portion of children receiving social benefits than for a similar change in the portion of non-beneficiary children. The youth social beneficiary population also shows a strong and systematic relationship to court case activity. For this population sub-group the relationship is generally negative. That is, the higher the portion of youth in the population (all else equal) the lower the court case activity. This relationship is much weaker and less systematic for youth not receiving social benefits. Youth are associated with a reduction of 29 resolved cases per 1000 population for each percentage point increase in that age group receiving social benefits and for a 25 case reduction in uncontested cases. Aged populations are somewhat less strongly and less systematically related to changes in resolved cases. However, old age populations receiving social benefits are generally linked to a reduction in resolved cases, and old age populations not receiving social benefits are associated with increases in resolved case loads.

For total resolved cases, the largest and most systematic effects are for beneficiary populations (children, positive and youth, negative); however the effective range of difference across municipalities and, thus, the magnitude of the effects are reduced due to the small segment of the population in these categories. Investigative cases are strongly and systematically linked to five of the eight population groups, with positive effects for children and adults and negative for youth. Fault cases are suppressed with a higher portion of youth receiving social benefits and increase with a higher portion of non-benefit recipient old aged population. Resolved litigation cases show a systematic relationship with four of the population groups. Reductions in litigation are associated with children (benefit or non-benefit recipients) and with aged benefit recipients. Higher litigations cases are associated with non-benefit recipient aged populations. Uncontested case resolutions are linked to five of the population groups, including all four of the beneficiary sub-groups. Adult and child beneficiaries (and child non-
beneficiaries) are associated with significant increases in uncontested cases (possibly due to lack of resources to contest) and youthful and older beneficiaries are linked to fewer uncontested cases. Execution cases appear unrelated to the population age distribution and beneficiary status, with the exception that non-beneficiary aged populations are linked with increased resolved execution cases.

## Newly Filed Cases

The results for newly filed cases are quite similar to those for resolved cases. Inclusion of population age groups and beneficiary categories, structure, socio-economic status, economic performance and development indicator improve upon the simple population relationship. These models account from 15 to $41 \%$ of the variance remaining after cases are converted to incidence per 1000 population. Coefficients and significance level are quite comparable. Adult age populations (beneficiary or nonbeneficiary) are linked to a higher incidence of new court cases, though the difference is not sufficiently consistent across municipalities to conclude that these effects are beyond the average effect, except for new uncontested cases and new investigative cases. Child age population is generally linked to a higher volume of new case filings (except for new execution cases), and the relationship is strong and systematic. Youthful populations tend to be associated with reduced new case filings, particularly for youth populations receiving social benefits and the effect is most substantial for total new cases and new uncontested cases. Old age populations receiving social benefits are linked to fewer new case filings and old age population that are not benefit recipients are associated with more new case filings.

Total new case filings are most positively affected by increases in child social beneficiaries and the nonbeneficiary aged. Reductions in new cases filings are associated youth population (beneficiary or nonbeneficiary) and older age social beneficiaries. New investigative cases are driven upward as the portion of children and non- benefit receiving adults in the population increase. The relationship between new fault cases and these population segments is less systematic. The associations that do exist suggest that increases in new fault case filings are linked to non-benefit recipient old age populations and reductions are linked to youth social beneficiaries. New litigation case filings per 1000 population increase when a larger portion of the population is of advanced age, but not receiving social benefits, and decrease as old age beneficiary populations increase and as the non-benefit receiving child population increases. As for resolved cases, new uncontested case filings are reinforced by a higher portion of children in the population (beneficiary or non-beneficiary) and decline as youth and old age beneficiary populations increase. New execution filings decline with child beneficiary population increases and increase as the population of older non-benefit recipients increase.

| TABLE 19: <br> Estimated Court Case Incidence Per 1000 Population - New Cases <br> Controlling for Population, Demographics and Economic Characteristics (see table DM3b) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Description | Total New Cases | New Investigativ e Cases | New Fault Cases | New Litigated Cases | New Uncontest ed Cases | New Execution Cases |
|  |  | Estimate | Estimate | Estimate | Estimate | Estimate | Estimate |
| Adults_BEN | Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 7.53 | 0.08 | 0.55 | 0.44 | 11.11** | -0.14 |
| Child_BEN | Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 16.10** | 0.74** | 0.67 | -0.99 | 12.86** | -1.04 |
| Youth_BEN | Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -23.04* | -0.96** | -2.77** | 1.92 | -24.03** | 3.79 |
| Old_BEN | Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -10.11* | -0.07 | 0.32 | -1.13* | -9.14* | -2.04 |


| CHILD_NB | Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 6.58 | 0.33** | 0.26 | -1.07* | 10.11** | -3.25** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YOUTH_NB | Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -1.79 | -0.26** | 0.09 | 0.06 | -4.69 | 1.41 |
| ADULT_NB | Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 1.62 | 0.11* | 0.02 | 0.07 | 2.79 | 0.21 |
| OLD_NB | Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 3.11* | 0.02 | 0.86** | 0.71** | 0.99 | 1.06* |
| R-Sq |  | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.24 |

## Establishing Demand Weights and Projecting Benefit Population's Demand for FLA across Municipalities and Courts

The Constitution identifies the initial point of legal aid responsibility to fall with municipalities. Given this constitutional requirement and the current operation of legal aid services within at least 51 municipalities, it is useful and necessary to continue to estimate the demand for these services at the municipal level. This is particularly the case given that the regionally restructured Basic Court network maintains satellite courts within municipalities as direct service delivering units. It is also potentially useful to understand the distribution of demand that might be initially shouldered by MLACs and that which would be covered by the MLSP. However, because data are provided on the Basic Court level, actual municipal court workloads must be estimated based on previous patterns. The estimates produced above can be paired with municipal demographic, economic and population characteristics to estimate the allocation of cases from Basic Courts to municipalities, to adjust expected population per capita multipliers and to estimate the portion and numbers of the eligible population that seek FLA. These estimates will provide expected cost projections for individual municipalities and originating cases and Basic Court (and Higher Court) caseloads. These municipal estimates allow projection of aggregate and per capita demand and projection of service utilization by eligible populations.

## Basic Court Case Distributions between Municipalities

Table P2 provides an estimation of each Basic Court's expected distribution of cases between municipalities. The past distributions of workloads at the municipal level were used to estimate the distribution of current case volumes to individual municipalities. That is, data collected from 2006 2008 on municipal case distributions were used to estimate the proportion of total Basic Court case loads that originate from the population of each municipality within a Basic Court's territory. ${ }^{30}$ The past incidence of cases within a municipality across case types show consistent magnitudes, but also demonstrate considerable variability across cases types. For example, the municipality of Arandelovac, within the territory of the Kragujevac Basic Court, historically accounts for $22 \%$ of total new case volume, but only $11 \%$ of litigated cases and almost $27 \%$ of fault cases. If cases were distributed to municipalities only based on population and national incidence, the estimates across municipalities

[^14]would contain substantial errors. While there is potential for substantial errors to remain in these estimates, the use of three-year averages should limit the effect of annual fluctuations and these estimates should better adjust for the differential socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of different municipalities that affect caseloads. The proportions (percentages) in table P2 can be used to allocate case distributions in the current Basic Court structure (where data are compiled for the aggregate of municipalities in the Basic Court territory) back to individual municipalities (based on total Basic Court case volumes).

Table P3 estimates the distribution of 2011 Basic Court caseloads to individual municipalities using the proportions (percentages) developed in table P2. Total cases volumes in each Basic Court were multiplied by the ratios (percentages) in table 2 to allocate case volume in total and by cases type to each municipality. For example, total new case volume for the Second Basic Court in Belgrade is 54,508. Of this, 2,048 of these cases are expected to originate from Barajevo. This figure is estimated by multiplying 54,508 by . 03757 (from table P2, but rounded to $4.0 \%$ ). Barajevo is also expected to account for $5.9 \%$ of new litigation cases. Total litigation cases in the Second Belgrade Basic Court for 2011 are, result in an estimated 456 cases originating from Barajevo. Likewise, total new investigative/fault case volume in Krusevac Basic Court is 4,458. Aleksandrovac is expected to be $6.594 \%$ (rounded to $7 \%$ in table P2) of the Krusevac investigative/fault cases total, resulting in a projected Aleksandrovac case volume for 2011 of 294.

## Estimated Target/Benefit Population Case Volume for Each Basic Court, Per Case, Per Municipality

Table P4 uses the municipal case volumes estimated in table P3 to calculate expected annual cases involving citizens within the legal aid target population (that is, the population actually receiving social benefits). These estimates are based on the per capita regression models from above (and table BM3b) which estimated the per capita (per 1000 resident population) demand of persons within the target population for court/legal services. The results of this model are used to estimate the portion of each case type attributable to the legal aid eligible population in each municipality. The model was estimated with an age breakdown of the target (social beneficiary population) and non-beneficiary population, controlling for municipal demographic and economic characteristics that would be expected to affect general demand for court access. This allowed estimation of the average effect of the scale and composition of the target (beneficiary) population on court services demand across the country. Because the model controls for the average per capita (per 1000) effect of this target population distribution and also municipal characteristics (including the non-target population), it allows for an estimation of caseload specifically attributable to the target population. The general character of the municipal population affects the propensity to seek legal services and theses general characteristics are also expected to affect the propensity to seek services by the target population. The estimates here assume that this effect is proportionately the same across all populations in the municipality. Therefore the estimates achieved allow the actual target population portions and totals to vary not only based on the composition and size of the target population, but also based on the differing tendencies to use legal
services across the individual municipalities. The target/beneficiary population case proportions are estimated for each municipality individually in table $\mathrm{P} 4 .{ }^{31}$

The target population case proportions in table P4 are then multiplied by actual caseloads attributed to each municipality in table P3 to provide an estimate of the target population's actual case demand for 2011. This result is shown in table P5. It should be recognized that these target population's case demand estimates lack precision, but should reflect the expected magnitude differences between municipal populations in demand for legal services which are eligible for free legal aid. They are also obviously affected by the definition of eligible service recipients and by the degree to which the eligible population is accurately identified across municipalities. Any variation or inconsistency in the identification of eligible recipients across locations might significantly alter actual demand experienced. It should also be noted that the mere existence of a legal aid option might significantly change the demand for legal services within this population. That is, given that the cost of court access is generally prohibitive to populations on the economic margin, access to free legal services reduces this cost substantially (and potentially to zero). This would be expected to increase the demand for access substantially. Therefore, a true measure of the cost of legal aid services is not possible until after the service has been offered and annual cycles have been observed.

It is not surprising that the Belgrade courts are expected to have the highest demand for legal aid services across all case types. The distribution between municipalities is also not surprising. Larger municipalities will have higher demand, but the demand is not precisely a function of population, it is a function of thee characteristic of that population and the economic/demographic environment of the individual municipalities. These estimates provide an indication of what can be expected regarding the target population. They are useful to consider within the context of the services currently being provided by legal aid offices within municipalities.

## Higher Court Case Distributions between Municipalities

A process very similar to that for Basic Courts was used to estimate the demand for legal aid services in Higher Courts. As in Basic Courts, this was a three step process. First, the distribution of cases within the territory of Higher Courts (across municipalities and Basic Courts) was assumed to reflect the

[^15]relative distribution of Basic Court cases within the territory for a particular cases type (uncontested civil, litigious civil, and criminal/fault). That is, since Aleksandrovac is expected to account for $6.594 \%$ of the investigative/fault cases in the Basic Court, it is therefore expected to account for the same proportion in the Higher Court. ${ }^{32}$ It was then assumed that the target population would account for the same portion of those higher court cases emerging from a municipal territory as they did for the same type of Basic Court case. These proportions were applied to the distributed cases for each of the three case types to generate an estimate of the target population cases demand. Table P6 distributes total new Higher Court cases across municipalities and table P7 allocated Higher Court cases to the target population.

## Current Municipal Legal Aid Services

Sixty-one (61) Serbian Municipalities responded to a survey during September of 2013 on the extent of legal aid services being provided. Collectively, these 61 municipalities serve a population equal to nearly $1 / 2(3,362,986)$ of the total population of Serbia. Of these municipalities, 51 reported providing legal aid services in a significant to substantial amount. Fortytwo indicated that they are currently providing legal aid services via an established free legal aid office and an additional 9 are doing so without formal office facilities. Ten of these 61 municipalities are providing no legal aid

| TABLE 20: Simple Estimate of National Demand for Legal Aid Services |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| --Based on Experience 61 | Municipalities- services.

Legal aid service providers in the 51 municipalities providing services recorded providing 62,565 instances of legal aid in 2011 and 73,373 instances in 2012. The vast majority of this aid was for advisory services, consistent with primary legal aid; however written submissions on behalf of the client occurred in nearly 14,000 and 17,000 instances during these two years. ${ }^{33}$ Legal aid services also brought in revenue of 4 million and 5.8 million RSD. Revenue, is not an expected outcome of legal aid services, however, it may be a potential funding mechanism for services to free service eligible populations if primary legal aid results in additional services to individual whom are not eligible for free services and elect to pay for services. This may consume scarce resources of legal aid offices and distort activities to

[^16]TABLE 21:
Novi Sad Free Legal Aid Bureau - Number of Advisory Services Provided

|  | Number of activities | Percent of Total | National Case Load Extrapolation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oral legal advice | 4,197 | 63.3\% | 170,148 |
| Claim in the area of family relations | 660 | 9.9\% | 26,757 |
| Claim in the area of labor relations | 72 | 1.1\% | 2,919 |
| Claim in the area of property relations | 99 | 1.5\% | 4,013 |
| Collection related claim | 84 | 1.3\% | 3,405 |
| Initiation of administrative dispute | 88 | 1.3\% | 3,568 |
| Other claims, warnings before the filing of claims, replies to claims | 243 | 3.7\% | 9,851 |
| Appeals | 230 | 3.5\% | 9,324 |
| Briefs | 288 | 4.3\% | 11,676 |
| Enforcement proposal | 218 | 3.3\% | 8,838 |
| Proposal to initiate non-litigious proceedings | 109 | 1.6\% | 4,419 |
| Other written documents | 195 | 2.9\% | 7,905 |
| Criminal report of the victim as a physical person | 72 | 1.1\% | 2,919 |
| Private claim | 1 | 0.0\% | 41 |
| Indictment by victim as prosecutor | 1 | 0.0\% | 41 |
| Defendants appeal of verdict, decision to perform investigation and decisions of misdemeanor bodies | 4 | 0.1\% | 162 |
| Hearings | 74 | 1.1\% | 3,000 |
| TOTAL | 6635 | 100.0\% | 268,985 |

paying clients and should be approached with caution to assure that paying clients do not detract from the ability to provide FLA.

National totals FLA services, based on the assumption that these services are presently provided to poverty populations (and considering the difference in poverty rates between urban and rural locations), suggests that national caseloads for FLA services would reach 270,000 instances of assistance. However, these are predominantly instances of primary legal aid and most are not likely to result in actual court (or dispute resolution) adjudication. Still this very rough estimate suggests

62,000 written submissions and 105,000 assisted submissions in total. As seen below, these numbers vary considerably from what might be expected from a national rollout of FLA.

The highest level of free legal aid services across these municipalities occurred in KraguJevac $(11,951)$ and Novi Sad (6635) in 2012. The distribution of service provided by Novi Sad is illustrative and is consistent in magnitude to the national extrapolation from above. The bulk (63\%) of the services provided is oral legal advice (or primary legal aid). Beyond that, family relations issues account's for $10 \%$, drafting of briefs is $4.3 \%$, claims and replies to claims $3.7 \%$ and appeals $3.7 \%$. If these incidences were extrapolated to the nation as a whole, they would result in 170,000 instances of primary legal aid, 27,000 instances of assistance in family relations, 12,000 briefs and 9,000 appeals.

## Aggregate Demand Estimates of Basic Courts and Higher Courts, Per Case, Per Municipality

The aggregate estimates from our demand models suggest total target / beneficiary population case volumes of 140,000 for Basic Courts (table P5) and 17,563 for Higher Courts (table P7). The resulting total for both courts is 157,563 . These estimates differ from those above in that they reflect activities which actually result in the addition of cases to court dockets, resulting in the need for legal representation beyond primary legal aid advice and requiring the drafting of legal submissions and court time to adjudicate. This requires the expenditure of resources for both the time of advocates, or nonadvocate legal professionals, and court resources. Mediation is an alternative to court adjudication that may be more appealing, more timely, more efficient and less costly. Though we do not directly consider the cost of mediation services, any case which is mediated presents possible savings in court and advocate time.

## Costing Free Legal Aid Services

The estimated cases volumes must be paired with estimates of the average cost in advocate time and/or compensation and court fees to adjudicate a case. Multiplying case volume by these average costs results in a projection for total program costs. It should be noted that total program costs will not occur immediately upon initial program implementation. Court actions require time to proceed through the docket and cases entered into during the initial year of program operation will incur only a portion of total costs during the course of that year. A policy decision is needed on the exact timing of compensation for legal aid advocates. Will they be paid as a case progresses through the court system, providing much more timely compensation and an incentive to participate in the legal aid program, or will they be paid based on final cases disposition. It would appear untenable to provide payment only on final dispositions, as the duration between the start of a case and its disposition would create a disincentive for advocates to provide legal aid services and the additional duration associated with an appeal would limit an advocate's willingness to entertain appeal proceedings.

## Advocate Time and Compensation Tariff Filings

Information on advocate time requirements and costs were collected through expert interviews and based on official court tariff schedules. In addition, 257 court files were collected from 27 Basic Courts and 22 Higher Courts to identify advocate fee sheet submitted as compensation claims with court decisions. Our primary costs estimates are based on an identification of cost elements in fee sheets.

| TABLE 22: Average Cost by Type of Dispute |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 드으응 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \text { \# } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{y} \\ & \text { ひ } \\ & \text { \# } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{y} \\ & \text { U } \\ & \# \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{u} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \# \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Family violence | 41,019 RSD | 6 | 78,114 RSD | 1 |  |  |  |  | 46,318 RSD | 7 |
| Family - Evasion of Spousal Support | 26,605 RSD | 9 | 88,837 RSD | 3 |  |  |  |  | 42,163 RSD | 12 |
| Establishing Paternity |  |  | 128,910 RSD | 7 |  |  |  |  | 128,910 RSD | 7 |
| Unauthorized possession of narcotics | 170,125 RSD | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170,125 RSD | 39 |
| Labour relations disputes |  |  | 130,650 RSD | 9 |  |  |  |  | 130,650 RSD | 9 |
| Collection of claim |  |  | 100,854 RSD | 26 |  |  |  |  | 100,854 RSD | 26 |
| Damage compensation | 117,104 RSD | 5 | 132,471 RSD | 28 |  |  |  |  | 130,142 RSD | 33 |
| Damage compensation (traffic accident) | 44,053 RSD | 2 | 76,804 RSD | 7 |  |  |  |  | 69,526 RSD | 9 |
| Property legal relations |  |  | 214,178 RSD | 8 |  |  |  |  | 214,178 RSD | 8 |
| Purchase and sale relations | 55,950 RSD | 1 | 523,643 RSD | 5 |  |  |  |  | 445,694 RSD | 6 |
| Threat to safety | 74,371 RSD | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74,371 RSD | 11 |
| Theft \& Robbery | 102,049 RSD | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 102,049 RSD | 11 |
| Embezzlement \& Fraud | 378,644 RSD | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 378,644 RSD | 8 |
| Abuse of official position | 309,664 RSD | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 309,664 RSD | 7 |
| Violence / violent behavior | 143,183 RSD | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143,183 RSD | 10 |
| Murder | 328,493 RSD | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 328,493 RSD | 8 |
| Others | 87,850 RSD | 12 | 138,790 RSD | 3 | 68,000 RSD | 1 | 81,250 RSD | 1 | 95,284 RSD | 17 |
| Others without explanation | 120,836 RSD | 17 | 110,768 RSD | 10 |  |  | 7,675 RSD | 2 | 109,560 RSD | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 151,751 RSD | 146 | 141,566 RSD | 107 | 68,000 RSD | 1 | 32,200 RSD | 3 | 145,789 RSD | 247 |

The table above identifies average total costs by legal basis and type of dispute for both basic and higher courts combined. The case records sampled focused on criminal proceedings and litigations cases, given the rather high resource intensity of those cases. The costing study from the JSPEIR provides a comparison of relative costs to resolve these types of cases compared to other case type. This relative cost profile can be used to extrapolate costs to all five case type categories. ${ }^{34}$ Tables in the cost projection annex provide detail of the composition of these costs separately for Basic and Higher Courts.

In Basic Courts (table CP1), the average total representation cost (weighted average) for all cases sampled is 93,895 RSD $^{35}$ On average, criminal proceedings incur advocate fees of 118,772 RSD and civil proceedings incur fees averaging 75,329 RSD Given that the draft Legal Aid Law eliminates court fees for legal aid cases, the cost projections below consider only representation costs. However, court fees are in fact a substantial component of legal costs in civil cases (averaging 22,625 RSD). What becomes quite apparent, as demonstrated below, is that the tariff cost estimates inflate the representational costs beyond what might be reasonable expect in legal aid representation. Alternative costs estimates are provided below.

## Estimating Target Beneficiary Population and Legal Aid Program Case Costs (Basic \& Higher Courts)

Table CP3 provides annual costs estimates for Basic Court activities down to the municipal level. It does so by multiplying the expected target population case-loads (contained in table P5) by the average cost of criminal and civil cases from table CP2. These costs can be considered annual even though case representation cost are incurred across years, however, it will require a few years before full annual costs are realized. ${ }^{36}$ Data were not available on the specific costs of uncontested or executed/enforcement cases. However the 2011 Serbia: Justice Sector Public Expenditure and Institutional Review established relative costs estimates for these cases (on the judicial system) compared to fault, investigative and litigation cases. These relative cost estimates were used to estimate the per case costs of execution and uncontested cases. Based on this, uncontested cases are expected to require approximately $10 \%$ of the representational costs of a litigated case and execution cases are expected require $1 / 5^{\text {th }}$ that cost ( 6,012 RSD and 14,996 din, respectively).

Table CP3 results indicate that while gross estimates suggest a possible legal aid Basic Court case volume of 140,000 cases, estimates for individual case types are approximately $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ of that amount at 46,173 , resulting in significant case volume that is not initially attributable to specific case types. ${ }^{37}$ Individual

[^17]case type estimates are then proportionately adjusted for this overall expected volume, providing an expected range of demand and program costs. ${ }^{38}$ Models for individual case type estimates show more accuracy based on statistical diagnostics and errors in total estimations produce more significant swings in magnitudes of cases, still, it is prudent to bound the overall cost estimates within the range of possible outcomes. Annual case volume and cost results are summarized in the table below. It provides estimates of expected total case volumes and costs. These volume estimates are divided into direct case type estimates and additional distributed estimates based on the difference between the total estimated case volume attributable to the target beneficiary population within a jurisdiction and the sum of the estimates for individual case types. Several of these direct estimates are quite consistent with the level of activity reported by some of the more active municipal legal aid offices described above. The estimates here reflect only instances of secondary legal aid and the total distributed direct case volume estimated for Basic Courts is 46,000 ( 14,000 investigative and fault cases, 7,000 litigations cases, 14,000 uncontested cases and 11,000 execution cases). If we distribute $50 \%$ of the additional case volume as estimated through the total case volume model to each case type category (based on that case type's estimated portion of direct case volume), a high of 93,000 instances of secondary legal aid might be expected. The degree to which volume demand matches estimates will depend on how proactive the eligible population is in pursuing legal aid options.

These estimates also suggest that cost of the Basic Court elements of the legal aid system would range from between 2.4 and 4.8 billion RSD, if the tariff rate of reimbursement is used. More than $2 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ of these costs are attributable to investigation and fault cases due to their high relative per case costs. Anything that can function to bring these per case costs down would potentially have a significant effect on overall program costs.

Table CP4 provides the same type of cost estimates for Higher Courts. It combines the target population case volume estimates of table P7 with the Higher Court litigation costs estimates in table CP3. Cost estates of Higher Court cases vary from that of Basic Courts. Representational costs are substantially higher in Higher Courts, as would be expected given the scope and severity of cases heard in these units. On average, criminal (fault and investigation cases) incur 189,772 RSD in tariff based fee requests from advocates. Civil cases, given the resources at stake in Higher Courts, are substantially more costly, averaging 273,472 RSD.

[^18]As for the Basic Courts, the tariff rate of compensation for Higher Court cases seem excessive.

| TABLE 23: <br> Summary of Annual Case Volume and Cost Estimates Attributed to Target Beneficiary Population Based on Full Tariff* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total New Cases | Investigation \& Fault | Litigation | Uncontested | Execution | Undistribute d | Total Distributed |
| Case Volume | 140,000 | 14,004 | 6,686 | 14,308 | 11,175 | 93,827 | 46,173 |
| Case costs (RSD) |  | 1,663,267,391 | 503,670,680 | 86,020,165 | 167,582,301 |  | 2,420,540,537 |
| Distributed Volume (50\%) | 93,087 | 28,514 | 12,448 | 28,896 | 23,228 | 46,913 | 93,086 |
| Distributed Cost (50\%) |  | 3,386,661,956 | 937,717,788 | 173,719,630 | 348,326,536 |  | 4,846,425,910 |
| Higher Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total New Cases | Criminal (fault \& investigation) | Litigation | Civil (uncontested) |  | Undistribute d | Total Distributed |
| Case Volume | 17,563 | 11,316 | 2,193 | 318 |  | 3736 | 13827 |
| Case costs (RSD) |  | 2,147,551,018 | 599,661,306 | 6,947,509 |  |  | 2,754,159,833 |
| Distributed Volume (50\%) | 15,695 | 12,693 | 2,607 | 395 |  | 3,736 | 15695 |
| Distributed Cost (50\%) |  | 2,408,788,628 | 713,051,965 | 8,617,777 |  |  | 3,130,458,370 |

*Note: The full tariff costs schedule results in a level of cost that is multiple times that which is generally considered the necessary rate of compensation for advocates participating in legal aid representation and, while it reflect the official fee schedule, is much more than the actual rate of compensation paid. It would likely be desirable to formulate a more realistic set of tariffs for legal aid representation

Higher Court legal aid costs are also driven predominantly by criminal case activity. This is due to the magnitude of these cases within Higher Court's case portfolio rather than due to their relative cost. Criminal cases account for slightly more than $3 / 4$ of expected costs. The Higher Court costs of the legal aid system are expected to be between 2.8 and 3.1 billion RSD. While case volumes within the Higher Court are expected to be much lower, the average costs for these cases is significantly higher.

Due to the unrealistic nature of the tariff fee schedules using full tariff values result in a cost estimate beyond reasonable expectations for the FLA program. Thus, the tariff schedule is inadequate as a vehicle to determine compensation for the FLA program. The modified costs estimates, in the following sections, are much more reflective of legal aid remuneration requirements.

## Alternative Cost Profiles and Alternative Legal Aid Service Delivery Modes

Table 24 below contains estimates of advocate time requirements for representation during typical cases. It is based on expert opinion interviews conducted with members of the Legal Aid Working Group. The expected costs reflected in this matrix are significantly less than those submitted in the advocate payment statements filed with the courts. It is estimated that time requirements range from 31 hours of advocate time for serious crimes to 2 hours for execution and enforcement issues. Municipalities responding to the survey of legal aid offices indicate that the average salary rate for legal aid providers (typically law school graduates that have passed the Bar exam) is 59,399 RSD per month
and the gross monthly salary for the combined legal and accounting profession for is $48,110 .{ }^{39}$ These figures suggest a legal aid cost substantially below that estimated above. The estimates even for

| TABLE 24: <br> Advocate Time Requirements for Typical Case Representation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Basic Courts |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Supreme } \\ \text { Ct. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Cost |
| Case Type |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2 Defense in Supreme Court |  | $$ |  |
| Premeditated Serious (3-10 years)/Grave Crimes (10+ years) |  | 7 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 |  | 31 | 14,291 RSD |
| Premeditated Minor (3 year max)/Less Serious Crimes (3-6 years) |  | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  | 23 | 10,603 RSD |
| Criminal Negligence / Misdemeanors (non-custodial) |  | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 19 | 8,759 RSD |
| Representation of Crime Victims and Civil Plaintiffs | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 16 | 7,376 RSD |
| Private Prosecution | 4 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 10 | 4,610 RSD |
| Reexamination of case or reopening criminal proceeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 1,844 RSD |
| Execution issues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 922 RSD |

Note: Advocate compensation cost is estimated at 461 RSD per hour, based on October 2013 monthly gross income of the legal and accounting profession of 48,110 RSD averaged with average professional legal aid staff monthly salaries paid by municipal legal aid centers of 59,399 RSD The result is an estimated monthly salary of 53,755 RSD This salary was annualized and converted to an hourly amount based on 50 week year and 40 hour work week. The resulting hourly rate ( 323 RSD) was then multiplied by 1.4285 ( 461 RSD) to reflect overhead and time for business recruitment (assuming that $30 \%$ of work time is spent not directly focused on specific client work). Source of October gross income: Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia.
serious / grave crimes of 14,291 RSD is only $12 \%$ of the representation costs reflected in the case files for the average criminal case in Basic Courts and 19\% of the average civil cost statements. ${ }^{40}$

Applying these costs estimates to the Summary of Case Volume and Cost Estimates from the table above yield substantially reduced program costs, even if we apply all costs of the most expensive cases categories to the basic and Higher Court expected case volume all the way through final appeal at the level of superior and supreme court. The table below recalibrates the legal aid compensation rates to apply the serious / grave crime estimate (31 hours) to all investigative/fault cases and the minor / less serious crimes cost estimates (19 hours) to civil litigations cases. For uncontested cases, four hours of legal representation is assumed and for execution/enforcement 2 hours is assumed. This reduces estimated program costs in Basic Courts by $87 \%$ and by more than $90 \%$ in Higher Courts. This seems to

[^19]be a quite unrealistic outcome, however, even if hours or hourly compensation rates were doubled, it would still reduce costs by more than $70 \%$. This is obviously a dramatic (if potentially excessively

| TABLE 25: <br> Summary of Annual Case Volume \& Cost Estimates Attributed to Target Beneficiary Population-Hourly Compensation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total New Cases | Investigation \& Fault | Litigation | Uncontested | Execution | Undistributed | Total Distributed |
| Case Volume | 140,000 | 14,004 | 6,686 | 14,308 | 11,175 | 93,827 | 46,173 |
| Case costs (RSD) |  | 200,131,164 | 70,891,658 | 26,383,952 | 10,303,350 |  | 307,710,124 |
| Distributed Volume (50\%) | 93,087 | 28,514 | 12,448 | 28,896 | 23,228 | 46,913 | 93,086 |
| Distributed Cost (50\%) |  | 407,493,574 | 131,986,144 | 53,284,224 | 21,416,216 |  | 614,180,158 |
| Higher Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total New Cases | Criminal (fault \& investigation) | Litigation | Civil (uncontested) |  | Undistributed | Total Distributed |
| Case Volume | 17,563 | 11,316 | 2,193 | 318 |  | 3736 | 13827 |
| Case costs (RSD) |  | 161,716,956 | 23,252,379 | 586,392 |  |  | 185,555,727 |
| Distributed Volume (50\%) | 15,695 | 12,693 | 2,607 | 395 |  | 3,736 | 15695 |
| Distributed Cost (50\%) |  | 181,395,663 | 27,642,021 | 728,380 |  |  | 209,766,064 |

optimistic) estimate of cost savings, but $f$ these opinions are even remotely accurate, it suggests that
substantial savings may be incurred by moving away from the fixed tariff to hourly compensation. Appendix tables CP3c and CP4c apply these costs to basic Higher Courts at the court and municipal level to provide a geographically disaggregated estimate of FLA program costs.

If it were assumed that the average wage rate was triple the estimates of the Statistical office of the Republic of Serbia for persons in the accounting and legal profession, the cost differences remain enormous. Estimated Basic Court costs are lowered by $73 \%$ and Higher Court costs are reduced by $86 \%$. This again, suggest the potential benefit of exploring more completely the actual time required and market level of compensation need to entice advocates to participate in free legal aid representation.

| TABLE 26: <br> Advocate Time Requirements for Typical Case Representation-Hourly Compensation Tripled (968 RSD / hr.) | Cost |
| :---: | :---: |
| Case Type |  |
| Premeditated Serious (3-10 years)/Grave Crimes (10+ years) | 30,008 RSD |
| Premeditated Minor (3 year max)/Less Serious Crimes (3-6 years) | 22,264 RSD |
| Criminal Negligence / Misdemeanors (non-custodial) | 18,392 RSD |
| Representation of Crime Victims and Civil Plaintiffs | 15,488 RSD |
| Private Prosecution | 9,680 RSD |
| Reexamination of case or reopening criminal proceeding | 3,872 RSD |
| Execution issues | 1,936 RSD |

The table below provides a conservative estimate of cost savings that hourly compensation provides over that of tariff compensation. These savings are of such a significant magnitude that even if increases to wage rates were accounted for, significant savings could be realized.

Members of the Legal Aid Working group have also expressed the opinion that the tariff rates are far beyond the level necessary to attract advocates to legal aid service. If the hourly compensation rates above provide any form of indicator, the anecdotal evidence is accurate.

| TABLE 27: <br> Estimate of Cost Savings Provided by Hourly Rate Over Tariff Compensation (RSD) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Courts |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Investigation \& Fault | Litigation | Uncontested | Execution | Total Distributed |
| Hourly Compensation Direct Case costs | 200,131,164 | 70,891,658 | 26,383,952 | 10,303,350 | 307,710,124 |
| Tariff Based Case costs | 1,663,267,391 | 503,670,680 | 86,020,165 | 167,582,301 | 2,420,540,537 |
| Cost Savings from Hourly Compensation | 1,463,136,227 | 432,779,022 | 59,636,213 | 157,278,951 | 2,112,830,413 |
| Hourly Compensation Distributed Cost (50\%) | 407,493,574 | 131,986,144 | 53,284,224 | 21,416,216 | 614,180,158 |
| Tariff Based Distributed Cost (50\%) | 3,386,661,956 | 937,717,788 | 173,719,630 | 348,326,536 | 4,846,425,910 |
| Cost Savings from Hourly Compensation Distributed Cost (50\%) | 2,979,168,382 | 805,731,644 | 120,435,406 | 326,910,320 | 4,232,245,752 |
| Higher Courts |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Criminal (fault \& investigation) | Litigation | Civil (unconteste d) |  | Total Distributed |
| Hourly Compensation Direct Case costs | 161,716,956 | 23,252,379 | 586,392 |  | 185,555,727 |
| Tariff Based Case costs | 2,147,551,018 | 599,661,306 | 6,947,509 |  | 2,754,159,833 |
| Cost Savings from Hourly Compensation | 1,985,834,062 | 576,408,927 | 6,361,117 |  | 2,568,604,106 |
| Hourly Compensation Distributed Cost (50\%) | 181,395,663 | 27,642,021 | 728,380 |  | 209,766,064 |
| Tariff Based Distributed Cost (50\%) | 2,408,788,628 | 713,051,965 | 8,617,777 |  | 3,130,458,370 |
| Cost Savings from Hourly Compensation Distributed Cost (50\%) | 2,227,392,965 | 685,409,944 | 7,889,397 |  | 2,920,692,306 |

## Alternatives: Reduced Tariffs, Law Clinic, Mediation and Pro bono Requirements

One option that has been suggested for compensating advocates for FLA service is that tariff rates be applied at $50 \%$ of their official level. This would reduce program costs by $50 \%$, however, an assessment would be needed to assure that such reduced tariffs were sufficient to stimulate quality representation. On face value, however, compared to hourly compensation, fifty-percent tariff rates are still five times higher. This again reinforces the need to establish a market based compensation schedule based on a survey of actual time and compensation requirements for representation. The current tariff schedule is inadequate as a vehicle to determine compensation. Appendix tables CP3b and CP4b provide estimates of FLA program costs for basic and Higher Courts disaggregated to the municipal level to provide an understanding of the geographic cost distribution.

A second suggestion has been to use Law School Clinics to provide legal aid as a practicum offering for advanced students. It is clear that such an option would not be viable for all (especially serious penalty cases), however, staffing from Law School Clinics has the capacity to aid in service delivery and reduce direct outlay costs. Over time, it may also have the indirect saving of improving the productivity of recent graduates working throughout the legal sector in Serbia. The University of Belgrade, for example has a law clinic that includes family law, contract law, and criminal law. While capacity is likely limited, clinic provision of legal aid services would likely fall within the range of approximately $1 / 4$ of the cost of services by practicing advocates.

Mediation services are also a potential avenue for cost savings in a legal aid program. While not all cases are likely to be successfully mediated, those which are are likely to achieve resolution in a far more timely fashion that through the courts and at a significantly reduced cost. Estimates by members of the working group suggest that mediation costs may be less than $25 \%$ of typical costs of taking a case through the courts. This is particularly so given the range of court fees, however, court fees are not
assessed for legal aid cases. The most applicable cases categories for mediation would be in place of civil litigation. Litigation savings for complex, high valued claims can be substantial. The risk of mediation is that it may fail. In these cases, additional costs may be incurred as both the cost of mediation and subsequent court actions accrue. However, the track record of mediation is quite good and appropriate case selection can increase the chances of success. It may be appropriate to encourage mediation in cases that lend themselves to this form of resolution.

A final alternative to direct payment of advocates through the court tariff system is pro bono commitment of time by the legal profession to legal aid. This is a common vehicle for providing legal aid to indigent clients in many systems. The Working Group has thoroughly discussed this possibility. The proposal appearing to receive the most consideration is a Bar association requirement that each advocate provide 50 hours of uncompensated legal aid services per year. Based upon the time estimates suggested by the Working Group, this should be enough to provide services to at least two clients. Estimates suggested by representative of the Serbian Bar Association indicate that there are approximately 7,000 eligible advocates in Serbia. ${ }^{41}$ If participation rate were as high as $50 \%$, this would allow for at a minimum of approximately $8,000 \mathrm{~s}$ instances of pro bono services. This would reduce considerably the costs of the legal aid program.

## Potential Cost Reducing Effects

The table below offers a range of possible program costs. The first two rows show the results based on the existing tariff structure and the average case costs as reflected in the cost statements submitted by advocates to the court. These first two lines sum the estimates for both the basic and Higher Courts under the scenario that only the directly projected cases demand emerges and under the scenario that $50 \%$ of the high-end total volume emerges. This results in a total program cost estimate of between 5.2 and 8.0 billion RSD for full implementation of the legal aid program. As state above, these costs seem quite excessive.

As the first cost saving adjustment, we assume that legal aid tariff rates are cut in half, to $50 \%$ of normal compensation. The obvious effect of this is a $50 \%$ reduction in representation costs. The effects of this are also obviously dramatic, reducing costs to from between 2.6 to 4.0 billion RSD, depending on whether the low or high estimate is realized. Given the estimated time requirements provided by member of the legal aid working group and actual average compensation rates of the legal profession, these estimates remain quite high.

For this illustration, mediation is assumed to be available for 2000 legal aid cases as an alternative to court action. Mediation capacity may, in fact, be much greater. Under this conservative estimate and assuming that mediation is, again $1 / 4$ of the cost of litigation, the total potential savings from incorporating this delivery mode is 12.7 million RSD. ${ }^{42}$ This assumes that 300 of the mediation cases involved Basic Court fault/investigative cases, and 1200 are substituted for civil litigation (1000 Basic

[^20]Court cases and 300 Higher Court cases). The majority of the mediation savings would then come from litigation costs that would be avoided. It is assumed that mediation would not be appropriate for uncontested or enforcement cases.

The pro bono model has the greatest cost reducing capability of the alternative modes considered. If we assume that approximately 4,000 advocates across Serbia donate 50 hours per year and that these 50 hours are sufficient to provide services to $2+$ clients, we have the potential to reduce representation costs to effectively zero for 8,000 cases. This implies the possibility to save as much as 92905 million RSD in program costs, depending on how cases service is distributed. ${ }^{43}$ For the estimates below, it was assumed that 4500 pro bono cases would involve criminal prosecution ( 3500 in the Basic Courts and 1000 in higher courts), and that 2500 cases would involve civil litigation (2000 in Basic Courts and 5000 in Higher Courts). The remaining 1000 cases were equally split between uncontested civil ( 400 basic and 100 high) and enforcement/execution (all 500 in Basic Courts). In this case, the bulk of the savings would be from criminal cases. Total savings from pro bono work would be from $1 / 5^{\text {th }}$ to $1 / 9^{\text {th }}$ of program costs.

It should be recognized that these costs will phase-in over time (as shown below) with case duration and the eligible target population's experience with program benefits. The lines below estimate the possible cost reduction effects of the alternative deliver modes discussed above. These cost savings are based on loose estimates of potential case servicing capacities of the alternatives and would be increased or decreased proportionately if capacity is greater or less than assumed.

| TABLE 28: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Possible Impact of Cost Reduction Strategies-Multi modal Legal Aid Service Delivery (Basic and Higher Court Combined, RSD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Investigation \& Fault | Litigation | Uncontested | Execution | Total Distributed | Cumulative Effect on Cost Range |  |
| Full Tariff - Direct Case costs (low) | 3,810,818,409 | 1,103,331,986 | 92,967,674 | 167,582,301 | 5,174,700,370 |  |  |
| Full Tariff - Distributed Cost - 50\% (high) | 5,795,450,584 | 1,650,769,753 | 182,337,407 | 348,326,536 | 7,976,884,280 |  |  |
| 50\% Tariff Reduction - Low | -1,905,409,205 | -551,665,993 | $-46,483,837$ | -83,791,151 | -2,587,350,185 | 2,587,350,185 |  |
| 50\% Tariff Reduction - High | -2,897,725,292 | -825,384,877 | -91,168,704 | $-174,163,268$ | -3,988,442,140 |  | 3,988,442,140 |
| Hourly Compensation (461 RSD) - Low | -1,543,561,085 | $-457,521,956$ | $-19,513,493$ | -73,487,801- | -2,094,084,334 | 493,265,851 |  |
| Hourly Compensation (461 RSD) - High | -2,308,836,055 | $-665,756,712$ | -37,156,100 | $-152,747,052$ | -3,164,495,918 |  | 823,946,222 |
| Law Clinic (500 Basic Court cases) | -803,869 | -1,192,838 | -276,600 | -138,300 | $-2,411,606$ | 490,854,245 | 821,534,616 |
| Mediation (1500 Basic Ct., 500 High Ct.) | -3,215,475 | -9,542,700 |  |  | -12,758,175 | 478,096,070 | 808,776,441 |
| Pro bono (8000 cases) | -64,309,500 | -26,507,500 | -922,000 | -461,000 | -92,200,000 | 385,896,070 | 716,576,441 |
| Total Reduction from 50\% Tariff - low | -1,611,889,928 | -494,764,994 | -20,712,093 | -74,087,101 | -2,201,454,115 | $-2,201,454,115$ |  |
| Total Reduction form 50\% Tariff - high | -2,377,164,899 | -702,999,749 | -38,354,700 | $-153,346,352$ | -3,271,865,699 |  | -3,271,865,699 |
| Minimum Estimated FLA Cost |  |  |  |  |  | 385,896,070 | 716,576,441 |

Together these measures offer the potential for significant program cost savings. The final two columns of the table above identify the cumulative effect of each of these alternative delivery modes on both the high and low program costs estimates. If all are implemented as indicated, the total affect would be to bring program costs down (compared to the 50\% tariff level) by between 2.2 and 3.3 billion RSD; a substantial savings. Using an hourly compensation schedule combined with these alternative service

[^21]delivery modes could reduce costs to a fraction of what the tariff schedule suggests. The optimistic minimum estimate is between 386 million RSD and 717 million RSD.

Reimbursement rates need to be sufficient to attract competent, willing representation. Given that the hourly rate used reflects the wage and salary schedules and actual legal aid office compensation rates (including provision of time for non-case related activities), it seem to be within the range of reasonable ness. However If we were to simply double this compensation rate (allowing for an underestimate of hourly fees or of the time required to service a client) the results are still far below that implied by the tariff schedules. The table below recasts the hourly compensation schedules for the original compensation rate of 461 RSDs to 922 RSDs. The obvious effect is that program costs are doubled. We can treat 922 RSD as an outer bound (as maximum) and 461 as a lower (minimum) Bar for annual program costs.

If we include the cost reducing potential of the alternative service delivery modes, the result is that we have a range reflecting the most optimistic cost estimate of 386 million RSD to the least optimist estimate of 1.43 billion RSD. This range is affect by assumptions we make regarding the hourly reimbursement rates, time required to service a client (and case) and case volume (direct estimate by cases type [low] and distributed estimate [high]). The degree of reasonableness of compensations estimates is the major factor in this outcome. The enhanced compensation level seems to be significantly beyond current experience and the basic compensation rate appears consistent with this experience. If time commitment estimates (from the table above) are reasonable representative of the actual time costs of representation, then the range for basic hourly compensation appears reasonable. This, again, suggest an optimist expected cost range of between 386 million to 717 million RSD. This range is for legal aid services which actually result in the filing of cases on the court docket. The analysis suggests that the distributed case volume estimates reflect a more likely long-term demand scenario. Under these estimates, beneficiary population case loads of 93,087 Basic Court cases and 15,695 Higher Court cases reflect 9.6\% of total combined basic and Higher Court case volume. With this, in mind the most likely annual long-term cost is 717 million RSD for FLA.

| Hourly Compensation Estimates-Low and High Compensation Scenarios (Basic and Higher Courts Combined, RSD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

It should be recognized that additional legal aid activity (though far less labor intensive) occurs in providing initial legal advice and filing motions that do not ultimately result in court cases. These additional costs are not considered here. It should also be recognized that these costs reflect full system costs, and will phase-in over time due to the time it takes cases to reach resolution. These costs reflect annual amounts of full operation, but total annual cost will not be incurred until cases fully move
through the courts. Also, these estimates do not account for the possible increased demand for legal access and FLA once the program comes fully on-line and is recognized by the population as a valued social benefit.

## Cost Phase-In Period Based on Case Duration

Table 30 shows the duration of resolved of cases for 2012. It is relevant for FLA costing given its implications for the actual accrual of program costs. The estimates provided above are for the annual cost of program operation of a mature FLA program. Mature, in this context, is a program that has been operating sufficiently long to have reached a steady state with regard to

| TABLE 30: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baration of Resolved Cases for 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Total <br> Cases | $1-365$ <br> days | up to 2 <br> years | up to 5 <br> years | up to 10 <br> years | over 10 <br> years |  |
| Percentages | $1,155,091$ | 729,669 | 168,664 | 147,874 | 44,672 | 64,212 |  |
| Cumulative\% |  | $63.17 \%$ | $14.60 \%$ | $12.80 \%$ | $3.87 \%$ | $5.56 \%$ |  |
| Higher Court 2012 | Total <br> Cases | $1-365$ <br> days | up to 2 <br> years | up to 5 <br> years | up to 10 <br> years | over 10 <br> years |  |
| Total | 109,340 | 90,176 | 9,856 | 9,001 | 268 | 39 |  |
| Percentages |  | $82.47 \%$ | $9.01 \%$ | $8.23 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ |  |
| Cumulative\% |  | $82.47 \%$ | $91.49 \%$ | $99.72 \%$ | $99.96 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |  | volume of reimbursable activity. Because court cases duration can be lengthy, beyond a single year, program costs will build in early months and years before they reach the full estimated cost. With legal aid provided to new case activity, it would require one year before the volume of new cases would have been expected to be initiated (notwithstanding a pent-up demand that brings a larger initial work load). Then it would require the passage of a period (reflected in the duration table below) for each case to mature to its full program costs. This might require several years. The table to the right provides an indication of the time required to reach full program costs. Of cases disposed of in $2012,63 \%$ had spent one-year or less in the Basic Courts and $83 \%$ were resolved within one year in the higher courts. If cases were expected to be received at the same rate over the course of a year, it would mean that only $1 / 2$ of these volumes of resolved cases would have been expected to occur in the first year of operation. This would suggest that costs would accrue at a similar rate; that only $31.6 \%$ of expected steady state Basic Court costs would accrue within the first year of program operations while $41.2 \%$ of Higher Court costs would be expected. The average is used here, but duration and the phase-in of costs would vary with the differing variation and cost of different case types. ${ }^{44}$ Still this provides a reasonable indicator of the temporal nature of the cost profile and may overestimate initial costs, ass more costly cases require a longer period before resolution.

The table below estimates program costs for years 1 through 6 and then for year ten, assuming a January 1 program start date. ${ }^{45}$ As can be seen, program costs build gradually. Still, by year four, $84 \%$ of costs are realized in the Basic Courts and $96 \%$ are realized in the Higher Courts. This cost profile provides some opportunity to build capacity and assemble resources.

[^22]| TABLE 31: <br> Expected Phase in of Costs-Basic Hourly Compensation Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year 1* | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 10 |
| Basic Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected percentage of costs realized | 31.6\% | 70.5\% | 81.0\% | 84.3\% | 87.7\% | 91.3\% | 93.9\% |
| Expected Cost Realized | 193,988,275 | 432,817,209 | 497,225,396 | 517,594,519 | 538,798,075 | 560,870,246 | 576,415,030 |
| Higher Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected percentage of costs realized | 41.2\% | 87.0\% | 93.9\% | 96.4\% | 99.0\% | 99.8\% | 99.94\% |
| Expected Cost Realized | 86,500,204 | 182,454,653 | 197,035,432 | 202,298,914 | 207,703,000 | 209,283,602 | 209,649,711 |
| Total (Basic and Higher Courts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 280,488,479 | 615,271,862 | 694,260,828 | 719,893,432 | 746,501,075 | 770,153,848 | 786,064,741 |
| *Assuming a January 1 start. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Estimating Costs by Primary v. Secondary Legal Aid, MLSP v. Municipalities, LAC Staffing and the Quality Control Commission

Within the framework of the aggregate cost estimates above for case reaching the court docket, it is also useful to consider how costs might be translated into staffing and possible additional operating overhead, how costs might be borne by different Governmental entities and how differing definitions of primary and secondary costs might affect the distribution of fiscal responsibility.

## Primary and Secondary FLA

The cost estimates above do not distinguish between primary and secondary FLA. This is because the analysis focuses on the total cost of court activity for the beneficiary population covered under the FLA program and assumes both primary and secondary costs under the total cost projections. If the same beneficiary is entitled to both forms of FLA, it does not matter how primary and secondary costs are defined or distributed - it is the total cost that matters.

Primary FLA services have traditionally been defined as 1 hour of consultation services and assistance with filling simple paper work. As such, it has limited cost implications for a fully functioning legal aid office. This definition has also been used as a vehicle for distinguishing between initial municipal responsibilities and possible responsibilities of the national Government. With the possible expansion of the definition of primary legal aid to include "drafting legal documents and motions" the implications for cost distributions would be altered. ${ }^{46}$

The table below provides a breakdown of program costs (assuming total costs based on the basic hourly compensation model, absent the cost reducing effects of alternative delivery modes) between primary and secondary legal aid. It does so under two scenarios. The first scenario estimates only initial pre-trial costs as a component of primary legal aid. As such it closely approximates the traditional definition of primary legal aid. The second scenario includes all document preparation and filing of motions as

[^23]primary legal aid and all in-court and hearing related compensation as secondary legal aid. It attempts to approximate the definition contained within the current version of the draft law. ${ }^{47}$

| TABLE 32:Basic Court—Breakdown of Total Primary and Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Investigation | Litigated | Uncontested | Executed |
| Scenario 1 | Total Costs | 614,183,988 | 407,493,231 | 131,989,297 | 53,285,084 | 21,416,377 |
|  | Primary | 28,818,940 | 8,149,865 | 13,198,930 | 5,328,508 | 2,141,638 |
|  | Secondary | 585,365,048 | 399,343,366 | 118,790,367 | 47,956,576 | 19,274,739 |
|  | Percent primary | 4.7\% | 2.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% |
| Scenario 2 | Total Costs | 614,183,989 | 407,493,231 | 131,989,296 | 63,285,085 | 21,416,376 |
|  | Primary | 104,529,044 | 61,123,985 | 27,717,752 | 11,189,868 | 4,497,439 |
|  | Secondary | 509,654,945 | 346,369,246 | 104,271,544 | 52,095,217 | 16,918,937 |
|  | Percent Primary | 17.0\% | 15.0\% | 21.0\% | 17.7\% | 21.0\% |

It becomes quite clear that the bulk of program costs occur because of secondary legal aid, irrespective of its definition. It is also clear that the definition matters. For Basic Courts the traditional definition reflects approximately $5 \%$ of case costs. The expanded definition reflects $17 \%$. Primary legal aid as a\% of total case costs is greatest for litigated and executed/enforcement cases. If primary legal aid costs are to be assigned to municipalities, the implication of the change in definition is that it will shift a greater portion of FLA costs to municipalities. Appendix table IG1 provides an estimate of primary and secondary legal aid break downs at the municipal level.

The table to the right provides a similar breakdown for Higher Courts and suggests similar results. The expanded definition of primary legal aid shifts more costs to that category and potentially shifts costs to municipalities. Annex table IG2 provides a Higher Court breakdown by municipality. These tables suggest that some direct consideration needs to be given to how the cost for differing aspects of FLA are going to be distributed across levels of Government.

| TABLE 33: <br> Higher Court—Breakdown of Total Primary and Secondary Legal Aid Costs (under alternative definitions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Total | Criminal (fault \& investigation) | itigation | Civil (unconteste <br> d) |
| Scenario 1 | Total Costs | 209,771,035 | 181,396,614 | 27,646,304 | 728,117 |
|  | Primary | 12,586,262 | 10,883,797 | 1,658,778 | 43,687 |
|  | Secondary | 197,184,773 | 170,512,817 | 25,987,526 | 684,430 |
|  | Percent Primary | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% | 6.0\% |
| Scenario 2 | Total Costs | 209,771,035 | 181,396,614 | 27,646,304 | 728,117 |
|  | Primary | 33,279,621 | 29,023,458 | 4,146,946 | 109,218 |
|  | Secondary | 176,491,414 | 152,373,156 | 23,499,358 | 618,900 |
|  | Percent Prim | 15.9\% | 16.0\% | 15.0\% | 15.0\% |

[^24]Further, if the beneficiary groups are different, the distinction between primary and secondary FLA does matter. For the purpose of this fiscal impact analysis, we have thus assumed that under Article 7 of the Draft Law it is only those who are entitled to social benefits that form the beneficiary population, and they would be entitled to both primary and secondary FLA. If however, the entire population is entitled to primary FLA and only people on social benefits are entitled to secondary FLA, the costs and their distributions would differ.

## Fiscal Responsibility: Municipal LACs v. MLSP Centers

The roll out of FLA intends to use the existing infrastructure of 42 MLACs and the offices of the MLSP in municipalities without MLACs to deliver FLA services to their populations. MLSP intends to use existing offices and facilities, limiting costs to personnel and supplies and intends to use alternative deliver modes to the extent possible. Decisions are still required on how the financing of FLA will be split between municipalities and MLSP. One suggestion has been for municipalities to bear significant responsibility and for the MLSP to pick-up program costs in non-LAC municipalities. Care should be taken to develop proper incentives for program delivery. Shifting of costs to municipalities could create a disincentive for the formation of municipal LAC, a quite undesirable outcome.

Table 34 below allocates costs between MLACs (LAC42) and MLSP. This allocation is based on a starting position that resources for FLA will flow through the MLACs and MLSP where MLACs do not exist.

| TABLE 34: <br> Cost Distributions Between Existing Legal Aid Center (in 42 Municipalities) and MLSP Operated Centers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Court-LAC42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Center | Total | Investigation | Litigated | Uncontested | Executed |
| Distributed Cost 50\% tariff | LAC42 | 1,012,536,217 | 706,212,181 | 195,798,101 | 29,211,735 | 81,314,200 |
|  | MLSP | 1,410,676,738 | 987,118,797 | 273,060,793 | 57,648,080 | 92,849,068 |
|  | Total | 2,423,212,955 | 1,693,330,978 | 468,858,894 | 86,859,815 | 174,163,268 |
| Basic Hourly Cost | LAC42 | 252,985,801 | 169,947,097 | 55,119,470 | 17,920,252 | 9,998,983 |
|  | MLSP | 361,198,187 | 237,546,134 | 76,869,827 | 35,364,833 | 11,417,393 |
|  | Total | 614,183,988 | 407,493,231 | 131,989,296 | 53,285,085 | 21,416,376 |
| Higher Court-LAC42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Center | Total | Criminal (fault \& investigation | Litigation | Civil (uncontested) |  |
| Distributed Cost 50\% tariff | LAC42 | 1,565,229,185 | 1,204,394,314 | 356,525,983 | 4,308,889 |  |
|  | MLSP | 959,359,356 | 731,981,812 | 224,110,464 | 3,267,080 |  |
|  | Total | 2,524,588,541 | 1,936,376,126 | 580,636,447 | 7,575,968 |  |
| Basic Hourly Cost | LAC42 | 81,595,160 | 71,151,140 | 10,267,974 | 176,045 |  |
|  | MLSP | 128,175,876 | 110,245,474 | 17,378,329 | 552,072 |  |
|  | Total | 209,771,035 | 181,396,614 | 27,646,304 | 728,117 |  |

The table provides allocations for the scenario in which a $50 \%$ tariff rate is the basis of costing and for the scenario using hourly compensation of 461 RSD. The $50 \%$ tariff rates are excessive and we assume that the allocation is based on hourly compensation for the distributed case volume. The municipalities with active FLA are larger, but constitute somewhat less than half of the population of Serbia. Aggregate resources allocations suggest that at full program activity, MLACs will be responsible for $41 \%$ of Basic Court program costs and $39 \%$ of Higher Court FLA costs. This, in turn, leaves MLSP with
approximately $60 \%$ of program costs. Appendix table IG3 and IG4 provides a breakdown of the MLAC and MLSP cost responsibilities at the municipal and court levels for basic and Higher Court activity. ${ }^{48}$

## LAC Center Staffing Complement and Costs

Staffing for legal aid centers will be critical in developing the capacity to meet the entitlement to FLA created by the Draft Law once it is enacted. Based on the municipal survey of LAC, the 51 municipalities providing legal aid of any form responded to 73,000 requests for assistance, offered advisory services 57,000 times, made 29,000 free submissions, 17,000 paid submissions and 17,000 written submissions. Requests for assistance equaled slightly more than $2 \%$ of persons in their collective populations. This was accomplished with a staff of 80.5 legal advice providers and 20 support staff. In total, there were 911 requests per provider, 565 requests for submissions and an estimate 379 actual court cases filings (per provider). ${ }^{49}$ To extrapolate these experiences to the potential staffing needs of a nationwide rollout of FLA, it is useful to refocus on the 42 units that have established LAC regularly staffed offices.

In 2012, each of the 42 municipalities with regularly staffed physical offices received on average 839 requests for legal aid (table below). These resulted in 620 legal submissions on behalf of clients and 415 case filings (actual docket cases) per legal aid provider. This suggests approximately two requests per additional new case. Based on projected cases volumes, legal aid offices across Serbia can expect approximately 220,000 requests for assistance. Estimated staffing levels for the system as a whole would entail a minimum of 262 full-time legal aid providers. Each legal aid office would also require the services of a clerk/typist and office administrator. Assuming that the office administrator is also a legal aid provider and assuming that $40 \%$ of his/her time is consumed with administration activities the staffing level would need to be increased to compensate. Also, these estimates are FTE and it is unlikely that FTE commitments will be scaled to assure that excess staffing capacity in some locations is completely avoided, suggesting that 262 staff is a low estimate. There are a number of municipalities for which the cases volume is likely to be insufficient to warrant a full-time FLA office. In these circumstances, it is likely appropriate for some form of part-time office, possibly having staff serve more than a single location to reach the appropriate client scale. The MLSP will assume initial responsibility for providing FLA services in municipalities without LACs. The MLSP has offices in only 114 of Serbia's municipalities, but has a catchment are that services the entire country (with some offices serving multiple municipal jurisdictions). The rough estimates of national staffing levels in the table below assume the staffing of 114 offices ( 42 MLACs and an additional 72 staffed by MLSP).

The workload averages from the table below can be applied to the cases demand in individual municipalities to estimated needed staffing levels. The bottom potion of the panel in the table below applies the ratio to Novi Sad's expected case volume to estimate its need for professional staff. The

[^25]level suggested is more than Novi Sad's present staffing which may be stressed with the enactment of the FLA entitlement and subsequent increased demand for service access.

| TABLE 35: <br> LAC Staffing Estimates based on 42 Municipal Offices |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Description |  | Calculation |  | Result |
| Requests per Staff | Total Instances of Legal Aid/ Total Legal Aid Staff |  | 59174 Requests / 70.5 LA Staff |  | 839.35 |
| Submissions per Request | Total Free Submissions/ Total Free Requests |  | 27211 Submissions / 36816.82 Requests |  | 0.74 |
| Submissions per Staff | Requests per Staff* Submissions: Request Ratio |  | 839.35*0.74 |  | 620.35 |
| Submissions: Case Ratio | Portion of submission which result in a case on court docket |  | Based on expert interview |  | 0.67 |
| Cases per Professional | Submissions: Staff Ratio * Submissions: Case Ratio |  | 620.35 * 0.67 |  | 415.64 |
| Total Legal Aid Professionals Required | Case Volume/ Cases per Professional |  | Case Volume / 415.64 cases per LA staff |  |  |
| Example |  |  |  |  |  |
| Example for Novi Sad's Basic and Higher Court | Novi Sad's Expected Case Volume/ Cases per Professional Legal Aid Staff | 4,523 expected cases / 415.64 cases per LA staff |  | 10.87 Legal Aid Professionals are required ( Not Including 1 support staff, 1 clerk, and 1 office administrator) |  |

The table below estimates aggregate staffing (personnel) costs for 114 LAC centers across Serbia. MLSP indicates that it will use existing facilities so additional rents and specifically attributable utility costs will not be incurred. However, the cost of supplies and travel are real expenditures. The total cost of this staffing is 339 million RSD. The staff complement is based on deployment of offices in all municipalities with MLSP offices (including the 42 municipalities with existing LACs). These estimates assume that each office is composed of one administrator (who also spends $60 \%$ of his/her time on legal services), one clerk/typist and a number of professional service providers necessary to cover expected cases demand. Nationally our distributed case demand is 108,782 resulting in the need for 262 professional service providers (194 legal professionals and 60\% of the time of the office administrator). Salaries of legal services providers are the same as those used for the hourly compensation estimates. They are based on the average of the compensation paid to professionals in the 42 MLACs and the average monthly compensation paid to legal and accounting professionals. Clerk and administrator salaries were based rates provided for application to the Quality Control Commission, below. Annex table IG5 provides staffing level and staffing cost estimates for each municipality in Serbia.

| TABLE 36: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal Aid Center Aggregate Estimated Costs - Nationwide System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Walk-ins | Projected Number of <br> Staff | Staff Type | Monthly Salary | Annual Salary | Total Annual <br> Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108,782 | 219,740 | $194^{*}$ | Legal professionals | 53,755 | 645,060 | $125,141,640$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 114 | Clerks | 42,400 | 508,800 | $58,003,200$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 114 | Administrators | 114,000 | $1,368,000$ | $155,952,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 422 |  | 210,155 | $2,521,860$ | $339,096,840$ |

*Estimated professional staff based on total national expected annual cases volume is 262. However, in this estimate, it is assumed that office administrators also provide legal aid services. If each administrator spends $40 \%$ of his/her time on administration and $60 \%$ on client services, they provide . 6 FTE to toward legal professional staff (or 68 FTE in total), reducing the dedicated professional staff complement to 194.

## Quality Control Unit Staffing Costs

The quality control commission performs oversight functions and assures the efficacy of FLA services. It will be responsible for reviewing services provided based on beneficiary complaint, reports of the

MOJPA's inspection services, and based on its own initiative and review of records and FLA reports. The commission will be composed of 7 members and staff and will be independent of the MOJPA and the MLSP. It is, in general, responsible for auditing of FLA activities, adjudicating disputes, and imposing sanctions and remedies. For costing purposes, a staff complement capable of auditing approximately 3\% of cases annually is assumed to be a desirable target. With a projected total court cases load of 109,000 annually and a potential service population (that does not translate into direct cases volume) of potentially 250,000 files for review, staff would be required sufficient to conduct 7,500 annual reviews.

With legal aid staff in existing LACs each currently processing over 900 legal aid requests, 565 submissions and covering 379 cases, it is expected that the quality control auditor would be capable of reviewing 600 cases per year. This would suggest the need for an FTE audit staff of 12.5. In addition to the audit staff, seven commissioners will adjudicate disputes and the office will be supported by 2 clerks. It is assumed that physical space will be provided out of existing capacity, so there will be no direct space rental costs. However, additional costs for materials and supplies will be required. The table below estimates total office staffing costs at 1.9 million RSD. The largest portion of these costs will go to compensating commissioners followed by auditors.

| TABLE 37: <br> Quality Control -Staffing Costs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Number of Staff | Ave. Monthly Salary | Annual Salary | Total Annual Cost |
| Commissioners | 7 | 114,000RSD | 1,368,000RSD | 798,000RSD |
| Audit staff | 12.5 | 79,250RSD | 951,000RSD | 990,625RSD |
| Clerks | 2 | 42,500RSD | 510,000RSD | 85,000RSD |
| Total | 21.5 | 78,583RSD | 943,000RSD | 1,873,625RSD |

## Conclusions

Standard delivery modes are likely to be prohibitively expensive for the delivery of the FLA system. The Government needs to invest in either a complete re-working of the tariff structure or, preferably, movement to a market basis of compensation based on time and wage and salary studies. This coupled with a multi-mode delivery model will likely produce both the most effective levels of service and the greatest affordability. The Government should thus explore the full potential of the alternative delivery vehicles at its disposal in delivering FLA services to Serbian beneficiary populations.

Following the passage of the Draft Law, many choices remain to be made for the implementation of the FLA system, via regulations and policy. This fiscal impact analysis provides information and analysis to inform those choices. This fiscal impact analysis can also be built on to advise at a more granular level the likely impacts of a range of those choices.

## Table Annex

## Demand Models



|  | Total New Cases |  | New Investigative Cases |  | New Fault Cases |  | New Litigated Cases |  | New Uncontested Cases |  | New Execution Cases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | -1572.53 | -3.28 | -43.64 | -3.56 | -41.12 | -0.67 | -135.88 | -2.64 | -170.59 | -0.52 | -1150.78 | -5.07 |
| Municipal Population 2011 | 0.21 | 30.61 | 0.01 | 47.48 | 0.02 | 20.41 | 0.03 | 43.27 | 0.06 | 12.88 | 0.08 | 23.63 |
| year== 2006.0000 | -154.11 | -0.31 | -26.14 | -2.03 | -414.72 | -6.48 | -64.90 | -1.20 | -328.99 | -0.96 | -343.27 | -1.44 |
| year $==2007.0000$ | 114.49 | 0.22 | 5.72 | 0.43 | 217.21 | 3.32 | 66.22 | 1.20 | 12.26 | 0.04 | 304.40 | 1.25 |
| year== 2008.0000 | 39.62 | 0.08 | 20.42 | 1.60 | 197.51 | 3.10 | -1.32 | -0.02 | 316.73 | 0.93 | 38.86 | 0.16 |
| R-Sq | 0.72 |  | 0.86 |  | 0.55 |  | 0.84 |  | 0.31 |  | 0.61 |  |

Table DM2a: Estimated Aggregate Court Resolved Case Incidence -- Population, Polynomials and Density as Regressors

|  | Total Resolved Cases |  | Investigative Cases Resolved |  | Fault Cases Resolved |  | Litigated Cases Resolved |  | Uncontested Cases Resolved |  | Execution Cases Resolved |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | 2424.45 | 3.16 | 1.22 | 0.06 | 325.87 | 3.09 | 452.06 | 5.31 | 1766.17 | 3.20 | -280.54 | -1.04 |
| Municipal Population 2011 | 0.03 | 0.91 | 0.01 | 6.27 | -1.46E-03 | -0.29 | -6.41E-04 | -0.16 | -0.05 | -1.87 | 0.07 | 5.52 |
| Population Squared | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.27 | 1.74E-08 | 1.98 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.47 | 3.20E-07 | 8.49 | 1.01E-06 | 4.12 | -4.92E-07 | -4.11 |
| Population to the 3rd Power | -1.25E-12 | -1.64 | -2.74E-14 | -1.39 | -3.08E-13 | -2.94 | -6.75E-13 | -7.97 | -2.14E-12 | -3.88 | 2.04E-12 | 7.60 |
| Population Density -- Per Sq Km | -0.28 | -1.44 | 0.02 | 3.43 | -0.01 | -0.39 | 0.06 | 2.93 | -0.06 | -0.41 | -0.26 | -3.78 |
| year== 2006.0000 | 112.20 | 0.25 | -16.51 | -1.40 | -412.77 | -6.57 | -0.25 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -301.08 | -0.91 | -97.39 | -0.60 |
| year== 2007.0000 | 30.29 | 0.06 | 1.12 | 0.09 | 215.33 | 3.34 | 24.16 | 0.46 | 20.02 | 0.06 | 99.21 | 0.60 |
| year $=2008.0000$ | -142.50 | -0.31 | 15.38 | 1.31 | 197.44 | 3.16 | -23.91 | -0.47 | 281.06 | 0.86 | -1.82 | -0.01 |
| R-Sq | 0.77 |  | 0.88 |  | 0.57 |  | 0.87 |  | 0.35 |  | 0.81 |  |
| N | 369 |  | 369 |  | 369 |  | 369 |  | 369 |  | 369 |  |

Table DM2b: Estimated Aggregate Court New Case Incidence - Population, Polynomials and Density as Regressors

|  | Total New Cases |  | New Investigative Cases |  | New Fault Cases |  | New Litigated cases |  | New Uncontested Cases |  | New Execution Cases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | 2237.08 | 2.95 | 11.80 | 0.56 | 339.93 | 3.24 | 438.66 | 5.28 | 1825.10 | 3.25 | -410.54 | -1.63 |
| Municipal Population 2011 | 0.05 | 1.36 | 0.01 | 5.15 | -2.13E-03 | -0.43 | -5.10E-04 | -0.13 | -0.05 | -2.02 | 0.09 | 7.25 |
| Population Squared | $9.76 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.90 | $2.97 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3.20 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.75 | 3.02E-07 | 8.20 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 4.39 | -6.23E-07 | -5.59 |
| Population to the 3rd Power | -9.77E-13 | -1.29 | -6.12E-14 | -2.93 | -3.40E-13 | -3.26 | -6.33E-13 | -7.65 | -2.35E-12 | -4.21 | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 9.52 |
| Population Density -- Per Sq Km | -0.31 | -1.59 | 0.02 | 4.02 | -0.01 | -0.45 | 0.05 | 2.15 | -0.04 | -0.32 | -0.29 | -4.54 |
| year== 2006.0000 | -83.14 | -0.18 | -27.91 | -2.23 | -413.52 | -6.62 | -70.02 | -1.41 | -334.49 | -1.00 | -264.51 | -1.76 |
| year== 2007.0000 | -57.03 | -0.12 | 8.59 | 0.67 | 211.35 | 3.30 | 70.68 | 1.39 | 4.18 | 0.01 | 146.61 | 0.95 |
| year== 2008.0000 | 140.17 | 0.31 | 19.32 | 1.55 | 202.17 | 3.25 | -0.66 | -0.01 | 330.32 | 0.99 | 117.90 | 0.79 |
| R-Sq | 0.78 |  | 0.87 |  | 0.58 |  | 0.86 |  | 0.35 |  | 0.85 |  |
| N | 369 |  | 369 |  | 369 |  | 369 |  | 369 |  | 369 |  |

## Table DM3a: Estimated Per Capita Court Resolved Case Incidence -- Population, Demographics and Economic Characteristics

|  |  | Total Resolved Cases |  | Investigative Cases Resolved |  | Fault Cases Resolved |  | Litigated Cases Resolved |  | Uncontested Cases Resolved |  | Execution Cases Resolved |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | $t$-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | Intercept | 109.53 | 0.65 | 6.14 | 1.45 | -9.63 | -0.64 | -3.01 | -0.16 | -2.39 | -0.02 | -21.02 | -0.42 |
| Adults_BEN | Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 8.08 | 1.51 | 0.14 | 1.05 | 0.50 | 1.05 | 0.60 | 0.99 | 11.36 | 2.64 | -0.10 | -0.06 |
| Child_BEN | Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 18.43 | 2.76 | 0.70 | 4.13 | 0.51 | 0.85 | -1.47 | -1.93 | 13.20 | 2.45 | 1.49 | 0.75 |
| Youth_BEN | Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -28.58 | -2.33 | -1.02 | -3.28 | -2.54 | -2.32 | 2.14 | 1.52 | -24.80 | -2.51 | -0.41 | -0.11 |
| Old_BEN | Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -8.36 | -1.39 | -0.03 | -0.21 | 0.33 | 0.61 | -1.21 | -1.76 | -8.95 | -1.85 | -1.07 | -0.59 |
| CHILD_NB | Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 8.23 | 1.61 | 0.27 | 2.11 | 0.09 | 0.20 | -1.09 | -1.86 | 10.15 | 2.46 | -0.94 | -0.61 |
| YOUTH_NB | Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -3.20 | -0.63 | -0.22 | -1.69 | 0.20 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 0.26 | -4.85 | -1.18 | -0.47 | -0.31 |
| ADULT_NB | Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 2.20 | 0.85 | 0.12 | 1.91 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 2.90 | 1.40 | 0.55 | 0.71 |
| OLD_NB | Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 3.20 | 1.73 | 0.03 | 0.67 | 0.88 | 5.34 | 0.79 | 3.73 | 0.99 | 0.67 | 0.95 | 1.71 |
| POP11 | Municipal Population 2011 | 6.42E-04 | 3.24 | 1.44E-05 | 2.87 | 1.02E-05 | 0.57 | 3.67E-05 | 1.62 | 9.36E-05 | 0.59 | 3.96E-04 | 6.67 |
| PDEN11 | Population Density -- Per Sq Km | 0.02 | 2.63 | 3.64E-04 | 2.23 | $8.60 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.50 | 5.62E-04 | 0.76 | 0.01 | 1.11 | 0.01 | 3.12 |
| HSLD_SZ | Average Household Size | -71.04 | -2.39 | -2.09 | -2.78 | 2.60 | 0.98 | 6.74 | 1.98 | -57.34 | -2.40 | 4.41 | 0.50 |
| NEW_HOUSE | New Housing Construction Per 1000 (2010+2011) | -0.26 | -0.19 | 0.05 | 1.52 | 0.01 | 0.09 | -0.26 | -1.64 | -0.53 | -0.48 | 0.67 | 1.62 |
| HIGHER_ED | Population w Higher Education (\% of Pop) | -15.12 | -3.79 | -0.25 | -2.44 | -0.69 | -1.93 | 0.04 | 0.09 | -5.43 | -1.69 | -6.22 | -5.21 |
| TILLIT11 | Illiterate Population (\% of Pop) | -16.05 | -3.85 | -0.36 | -3.45 | -0.02 | -0.04 | 0.83 | 1.74 | -9.65 | -2.87 | -3.05 | -2.45 |
| ECPN11 | Population Employed (\% of Pop) | 1.44 | 2.52 | 0.04 | 3.05 | 0.10 | 1.93 | 0.08 | 1.18 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.74 | 4.32 |
| UNEMP_RT | Unemployment Rate | 1.41 | 2.29 | 0.01 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.69 | 3.73 |
| DPTI11 | Doctors Per 1000 Inhabitants | 50.87 | 2.16 | 0.18 | 0.30 | -4.36 | -2.07 | 3.10 | 1.15 | 53.41 | 2.81 | 8.88 | 1.26 |
| NWVDV11 | Women Victims of Domestic Violence (\% of Pop) | -164.45 | -2.17 | -5.97 | -3.11 | -5.79 | -0.85 | -3.80 | -0.44 | -125.02 | -2.05 | -48.48 | -2.14 |
| YEARDUM3 | year== 2006.0000 | 2.42 | 0.36 | -0.44 | -2.54 | -8.02 | -13.14 | 0.84 | 1.07 | -8.54 | -1.55 | 0.17 | 0.08 |
| YEARDUM4 | year== 2007.0000 | -6.13 | -0.89 | -0.02 | -0.10 | 3.60 | 5.88 | -0.34 | -0.43 | -1.36 | -0.25 | -1.55 | -0.76 |
| YEARDUM5 | year $=2008.0000$ | 3.70 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 2.65 | 4.41 | 7.27 | -0.50 | -0.65 | 9.91 | 1.81 | 1.38 | 0.68 |
| R-Sq |  | 0.18 |  | 0.26 |  | 0.41 |  | 0.19 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.20 |  |
| N |  | 363.00 |  | 363.00 |  | 363.00 |  | 363.00 |  | 363.00 |  | 363.00 |  |

Table DM3b: Estimated Per Capita Court New Case Incidence -- Population, Demographics and Economic Characteristics

|  |  | Total New Cases |  | New Investigative Cases |  | New Fault Cases |  | New Litigated cases |  | New Uncontested Cases |  | New Execution Cases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | Intercept | 116.80 | 0.70 | 8.12 | 1.90 | -8.18 | -0.54 | -0.83 | -0.04 | -0.86 | -0.01 | -28.02 | -0.56 |
| Adults_BEN | Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 7.53 | 1.41 | 0.08 | 0.62 | 0.55 | 1.13 | 0.44 | 0.73 | 11.11 | 2.56 | -0.14 | -0.09 |
| Child_BEN | Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 16.10 | 2.41 | 0.74 | 4.38 | 0.67 | 1.11 | -0.99 | -1.30 | 12.86 | 2.37 | -1.04 | -0.52 |
| Youth_BEN | Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -23.04 | -1.88 | -0.96 | -3.09 | -2.77 | -2.49 | 1.92 | 1.37 | -24.03 | -2.41 | 3.79 | 1.04 |
| Old_BEN | Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -10.11 | -1.68 | -0.07 | -0.46 | 0.32 | 0.59 | -1.13 | -1.65 | -9.14 | -1.87 | -2.04 | -1.14 |
| CHILD_NB | Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 6.58 | 1.29 | 0.33 | 2.52 | 0.26 | 0.56 | -1.07 | -1.84 | 10.11 | 2.44 | -3.25 | -2.14 |
| YOUTH_NB | Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -1.79 | -0.35 | -0.26 | -1.96 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.10 | -4.69 | -1.13 | 1.41 | 0.93 |
| ADULT_NB | Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 1.62 | 0.63 | 0.11 | 1.68 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.24 | 2.79 | 1.33 | 0.21 | 0.27 |
| OLD_NB | Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 3.11 | 1.68 | 0.02 | 0.46 | 0.86 | 5.10 | 0.71 | 3.36 | 0.99 | 0.66 | 1.06 | 1.93 |
| POP11 | Municipal Population 2011 | 5.72E-04 | 2.88 | 1.17E-05 | 2.31 | 1.09E-05 | 0.61 | $3.44 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.52 | 7.60E-05 | 0.47 | 3.85E-04 | 6.53 |
| PDEN11 | Population Density -- Per Sq Km | 0.02 | 2.36 | 2.68E-04 | 1.64 | 9.14E-04 | 1.56 | 9.69E-04 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 0.89 | 0.01 | 3.12 |
| HSLD_SZ | Average Household Size | -61.46 | -2.07 | -2.40 | -3.18 | 2.75 | 1.02 | 7.73 | 2.28 | -57.12 | -2.37 | 13.29 | 1.51 |
| NEW_HOUSE | New Housing Construction Per 1000 (2010+2011) | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.87 | -0.03 | -0.27 | -0.19 | -1.20 | -0.60 | -0.53 | 0.79 | 1.91 |
| HIGHER_ED | Population w Higher Education (\% of Pop) | -14.08 | -3.53 | -0.16 | -1.57 | -0.73 | -2.02 | -0.17 | -0.38 | -4.71 | -1.45 | -6.26 | -5.28 |
| TILLIT11 | Illiterate Population (\% of Pop) | -15.21 | -3.64 | -0.39 | -3.70 | -0.06 | -0.15 | 0.40 | 0.84 | -9.60 | -2.83 | -1.62 | -1.31 |
| ECPN11 | Population Employed (\% of Pop) | 1.37 | 2.40 | 0.04 | 2.55 | 0.10 | 1.93 | 0.08 | 1.15 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.80 | 4.72 |
| UNEMP_RT | Unemployment Rate | 1.24 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 0.09 | -0.01 | -0.12 | -0.02 | -0.28 | 0.20 | 0.41 | 0.64 | 3.47 |
| DPTI11 | Doctors Per 1000 Inhabitants | 51.18 | 2.17 | 0.33 | 0.55 | -4.12 | -1.92 | 4.10 | 1.52 | 54.78 | 2.86 | 4.05 | 0.58 |
| NWVDV11 | Women Victims of Domestic Violence (\% of Pop) | -190.45 | -2.51 | -5.13 | -2.65 | -5.00 | -0.73 | -9.35 | -1.08 | -127.17 | -2.07 | -58.99 | -2.62 |
| YEARDUM3 | year== 2006.0000 | -3.30 | -0.48 | -0.67 | -3.84 | -8.17 | -13.17 | -0.96 | -1.23 | -9.17 | -1.65 | -4.11 | -2.03 |
| YEARDUM4 | year== 2007.0000 | -6.34 | -0.92 | 0.17 | 1.00 | 3.61 | 5.79 | 1.13 | 1.44 | -1.40 | -0.25 | -0.95 | -0.46 |
| YEARDUM5 | year $=2008.0000$ | 9.64 | 1.42 | 0.49 | 2.85 | 4.56 | 7.38 | -0.17 | -0.22 | 10.56 | 1.91 | 5.06 | 2.50 |
| R-Sq |  | 0.17 |  | 0.31 |  | 0.41 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.15 |  | 0.24 |  |
| N |  | 363.00 |  | 363.00 |  | 363.00 |  | 363.00 |  | 363.00 |  | 363.00 |  |

## Supplemental Demand Model Tables

| Estimated Aggregate Court Resolved Case Incidence by Population Age and Social Benefit Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Resolved Cases |  | Investigative Cases Resolved |  | Fault Cases Resolved |  | Litigated cases resolved |  | Uncontested Cases Resolved |  | Execution Cases Resolved |  |
| Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | 1219.54 | 2.43 | -14.17 | -0.92 | 52.20 | 0.71 | -95.62 | -1.37 | 474.92 | 1.28 | 746.63 | 3.15 |
| Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits | 2.48 | 4.04 | -0.03 | -1.65 | 0.23 | 2.60 | 0.23 | 2.68 | 1.85 | 4.08 | -0.06 | -0.19 |
| Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits | -3.54 | -4.50 | 0.12 | 5.09 | -0.56 | -4.85 | 0.21 | 1.95 | -3.61 | -6.19 | 0.95 | 2.56 |
| Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits | 6.63 | 3.35 | -0.02 | -0.34 | 1.09 | 3.75 | -0.58 | -2.12 | 7.63 | 5.20 | -2.26 | -2.41 |
| Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits | -4.14 | -3.80 | -0.09 | -2.57 | -0.48 | -2.98 | -0.40 | -2.67 | -4.40 | -5.46 | 1.57 | 3.07 |
| Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | -1.40 | -2.98 | -0.03 | -1.87 | -0.20 | -2.86 | -0.18 | -2.78 | -0.30 | -0.86 | -0.23 | -1.04 |
| Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits | 2.15 | 2.87 | -0.01 | -0.57 | 0.39 | 3.59 | 0.22 | 2.16 | 0.40 | 0.73 | 0.48 | 1.35 |
| Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | -0.61 | -2.44 | 0.03 | 4.08 | -0.12 | -3.41 | -0.03 | -0.98 | -0.12 | -0.67 | -0.26 | -2.19 |
| Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | 0.89 | 2.94 | -0.01 | -1.23 | 0.15 | 3.43 | 0.14 | 3.42 | 0.42 | 1.89 | 0.18 | 1.24 |
| Population Squared | 5.55E-07 | 11.42 | 5.40E-09 | 3.64 | 2.46E-08 | 3.45 | 3.24E-08 | 4.79 | 5.79E-08 | 1.61 | 4.14E-07 | 18.04 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population Density -- Per Sq } \\ & \text { Km } \end{aligned}$ | -0.03 | -0.22 | 0.01 | 2.96 | 0.02 | 0.95 | 0.07 | 3.23 | 0.01 | 0.08 | -0.16 | -2.14 |
| year $==2007.0000$ | 227.93 | 0.65 | -16.42 | -1.53 | -397.39 | -7.68 | 16.20 | 0.33 | -241.05 | -0.92 | -89.47 | -0.54 |
| year $==2008.0000$ | -106.30 | -0.29 | 1.50 | 0.14 | 196.93 | 3.71 | 4.50 | 0.09 | -36.81 | -0.14 | 80.59 | 0.47 |
| year $==2008.0000$ | -121.62 | -0.35 | 14.92 | 1.39 | 200.47 | 3.89 | -20.70 | -0.42 | 277.86 | 1.07 | 8.88 | 0.05 |
| R-Sq | 0.87 |  | 0.90 |  | $0.71$ |  | $0.88$ |  | $0.60$ |  | $0.80$ |  |
| N | 369 |  | 369 |  | 369 |  | $369$ |  | $369$ |  | $369$ |  |


| Estimated Aggregate Court New Case Incidence by Population Age and Social Benefit Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total New Cases |  | New Investigative Cases |  | New Fault Cases |  | New Litigated cases |  | New Uncontested Cases |  | New Execution Cases |  |
| Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | 1338.73 | 2.75 | -20.57 | -1.26 | 36.90 | 0.51 | -94.91 | -1.38 | 462.73 | 1.22 | 966.16 | 4.21 |
| Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits | 2.44 | 4.10 | -0.03 | -1.56 | 0.23 | 2.57 | 0.15 | 1.81 | 1.97 | 4.27 | 0.20 | 0.70 |
| Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits | -4.39 | -5.75 | 0.11 | 4.11 | -0.55 | -4.88 | 0.12 | 1.09 | -3.78 | -6.39 | 0.10 | 0.28 |
| Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits | 8.14 | 4.24 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 1.19 | 4.17 | -0.30 | -1.09 | 7.74 | 5.20 | -1.33 | -1.47 |
| Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits | -4.50 | -4.27 | -0.10 | -2.74 | -0.50 | -3.20 | -0.30 | -2.03 | -4.69 | -5.73 | 1.23 | 2.48 |
| Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | -1.30 | -2.86 | -0.02 | -1.63 | -0.20 | -2.98 | -0.20 | -3.05 | -0.25 | -0.70 | -0.22 | -1.04 |
| Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits | 2.29 | 3.17 | -0.02 | -0.88 | 0.41 | 3.82 | 0.30 | 2.92 | 0.30 | 0.53 | 0.66 | 1.95 |
| Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | -0.66 | -2.76 | 0.04 | 4.61 | -0.13 | -3.63 | -0.06 | -1.86 | -0.07 | -0.39 | -0.32 | -2.78 |
| Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | 0.91 | 3.10 | -0.02 | -1.59 | 0.16 | 3.58 | 0.16 | 3.80 | 0.39 | 1.72 | 0.16 | 1.12 |
| Population Squared | 5.32E-07 | 11.31 | 2.83E-09 | 1.79 | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3.08 | 3.04E-08 | 4.56 | $4.55 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.25 | 4.26E-07 | 19.23 |
| Population Density -- Per Sq Km | -0.05 | -0.33 | 0.02 | 3.13 | 0.02 | 1.02 | 0.05 | 2.58 | 0.01 | 0.08 | -0.16 | -2.23 |
| year== 2007.0000 | 22.53 | 0.07 | -28.06 | -2.45 | -396.83 | -7.83 | -54.31 | -1.12 | -275.86 | -1.04 | -258.33 | -1.61 |
| year $=2008.0000$ | -181.27 | -0.52 | 9.39 | 0.80 | 191.41 | 3.68 | 51.72 | 1.04 | -50.01 | -0.18 | 127.73 | 0.77 |
| year $=2008.0000$ | 158.74 | 0.47 | 18.67 | 1.64 | 205.42 | 4.07 | 2.59 | 0.05 | 325.86 | 1.23 | 130.60 | 0.82 |
| R-Sq | $0.87$ |  | $0.89$ |  | $0.73$ |  | 0.87 |  | $0.60$ |  | 0.82 |  |
| N | 369 |  | $369$ |  | 369 |  | $369$ |  | 369 |  | 369 |  |


| Estimated Aggregate Court Resolved Case Incidence by Population Age, Social Benefit Group and Demographics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Resolved Cases |  | Investigative Cases Resolved |  | Fault Cases Resolved |  | Litigated cases resolved |  | Uncontested Cases Resolved |  | Execution Cases Resolved |  |
| Variable | Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | Intercept | -3283.76 | -1.99 | -24.23 | -0.48 | -201.14 | -0.77 | -613.41 | -2.65 | -996.36 | -0.77 | -449.86 | -0.71 |
| Adults_BEN | Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits | 1.78 | 2.98 | -0.01 | -0.52 | 0.21 | 2.21 | 0.19 | 2.21 | 1.91 | 4.07 | -0.72 | -3.13 |
| Child_BEN | Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits | -4.88 | -5.74 | 0.11 | 4.17 | -0.63 | -4.68 | -0.04 | -0.37 | -3.87 | -5.82 | 0.45 | 1.38 |
| Youth_BEN | Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits | 6.64 | 3.51 | -0.04 | -0.72 | 1.12 | 3.74 | -0.42 | -1.60 | 7.45 | 5.05 | -2.07 | -2.86 |
| Old_BEN | Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits | -4.76 | -4.46 | -0.05 | -1.67 | -0.50 | -2.97 | -0.34 | -2.27 | -3.73 | -4.46 | 0.19 | 0.47 |
| CHILD_NB | Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | -1.73 | -3.73 | -0.02 | -1.61 | -0.22 | -3.06 | -0.27 | -4.14 | -0.16 | -0.43 | -0.58 | -3.28 |
| YOUTH_NB | Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits | 2.04 | 2.83 | 0.02 | 0.71 | 0.40 | 3.53 | 0.30 | 2.98 | 0.42 | 0.74 | 0.20 | 0.71 |
| ADULT_NB | Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | -0.40 | -1.60 | 0.01 | 1.93 | -0.12 | -3.03 | -0.07 | -2.10 | -0.13 | -0.66 | 0.01 | 0.10 |
| OLD_NB | Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | 0.73 | 2.49 | -0.01 | -0.68 | 0.14 | 3.02 | 0.14 | 3.45 | 0.30 | 1.32 | 0.16 | 1.43 |
| POP_2 | Population Squared | 6.87E-07 | 8.06 | -1.35E-09 | -0.52 | 1.76E-08 | 1.31 | -5.52E-09 | -0.46 | -6.83E-09 | -0.10 | 6.42E-07 | 19.67 |
| PDEN11 | Population Density -Per Sq Km | 0.21 | 0.84 | -0.01 | -1.46 | -0.01 | -0.21 | -0.07 | -1.98 | -0.18 | -0.93 | 0.45 | 4.81 |
| HIGHER_ED | Population w Higher Education | -0.52 | -2.95 | 0.01 | 2.12 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 4.86 | 0.14 | 1.04 | -0.70 | -10.45 |
| TILLIT11 | Illiterate Population | -2.47 | -3.66 | -0.04 | -2.01 | -0.11 | -1.08 | 0.39 | 4.17 | -1.61 | -3.05 | -0.33 | -1.26 |
| ECPN11 | Population Employed in Jurisdiction | 0.06 | 1.72 | 0.00 | 3.13 | 0.01 | 0.87 | 0.01 | 1.20 | -0.03 | -1.14 | 0.07 | 4.98 |
| NAS11 | Net Average Salary | 0.16 | 2.89 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 1.08 | 0.02 | 1.92 | 0.06 | 1.39 | 0.04 | 1.69 |
| TRUNEMP11 | Population Unemployed | 0.74 | 4.27 | -0.01 | -1.54 | 0.05 | 1.72 | 0.05 | 1.93 | 0.07 | 0.54 | 0.48 | 7.23 |
| RUB10 | Underage Births per 1000 | 1.60 | 3.54 | 0.02 | 1.45 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.07 | 1.18 | 0.97 | 2.75 | 0.16 | 0.90 |
| NWVDV11 | Women Victims of Domestic Violence | -16.59 | -2.12 | 0.74 | 3.07 | -0.31 | -0.25 | 0.62 | 0.57 | -12.30 | -2.01 | -4.39 | -1.47 |
| YEARDUM3 | year $=2006.0000$ | 255.58 | 0.77 | -16.28 | -1.59 | -403.24 | -7.66 | 13.94 | 0.30 | -269.46 | -1.04 | -40.53 | -0.32 |
| YEARDUM4 | year== 2007.0000 | -201.51 | -0.59 | 1.62 | 0.15 | 199.14 | 3.68 | 8.43 | 0.18 | -5.78 | -0.02 | -33.31 | -0.25 |
| YEARDUM5 | year $=$ 2008.0000 | -54.06 | -0.16 | 14.66 | 1.44 | 204.11 | 3.90 | -22.37 | -0.48 | 275.23 | 1.06 | 73.85 | 0.58 |
| R-Sq |  | 0.89 |  | 0.91 |  | 0.72 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.89 |  |
| N |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  |

## Estimated Aggregate Court New Case Incidence by Population Age, Social Benefit Group and Demographics

|  |  | Total New Cases |  | New Investigative Cases |  | New Fault Cases |  | New Litigated Cases |  | New Uncontested Cases |  | New Execution Cases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | Intercept | -2459.54 | -1.51 | -24.49 | -0.47 | -194.41 | -0.76 | -558.92 | -2.39 | -804.99 | -0.61 | 173.50 | 0.28 |
| Adults_BEN | Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits | 1.92 | 3.26 | -0.01 | -0.37 | 0.20 | 2.20 | 0.12 | 1.47 | 2.02 | 4.24 | -0.33 | -1.47 |
| Child_BEN | Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits | -5.39 | -6.44 | 0.08 | 2.94 | -0.61 | -4.66 | -0.10 | -0.81 | -4.12 | -6.09 | -0.08 | -0.24 |
| Youth_BEN | Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits | 7.95 | 4.28 | -0.01 | -0.17 | 1.22 | 4.16 | -0.20 | -0.73 | 7.63 | 5.09 | -1.31 | -1.85 |
| Old_BEN | Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits | -5.08 | -4.84 | -0.05 | -1.50 | -0.53 | -3.19 | -0.26 | -1.71 | -3.98 | -4.69 | -0.17 | -0.43 |
| CHILD_NB | Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | -1.53 | -3.35 | -0.02 | -1.46 | -0.23 | -3.17 | -0.27 | -4.04 | -0.14 | -0.37 | -0.48 | -2.78 |
| YOUTH_NB | Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits | 2.22 | 3.13 | 0.02 | 0.69 | 0.42 | 3.75 | 0.37 | 3.66 | 0.35 | 0.61 | 0.36 | 1.35 |
| ADULT_NB | Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | -0.50 | -2.03 | 0.02 | 2.16 | -0.12 | -3.22 | -0.10 | -2.88 | -0.09 | -0.43 | -0.07 | -0.73 |
| OLD_NB | Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits | 0.80 | 2.76 | -0.01 | -1.18 | 0.14 | 3.15 | 0.16 | 3.90 | 0.26 | 1.10 | 0.19 | 1.70 |
| POP_2 | Population Squared | 6.62E-07 | 7.90 | $\begin{gathered} -5.86 \mathrm{E}- \\ 09 \end{gathered}$ | -2.16 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.05 | $\begin{gathered} 9.41 \mathrm{E}- \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 0.08 | $\begin{gathered} -3.56 \mathrm{E}- \\ 08 \end{gathered}$ | -0.53 | 6.66E-07 | 20.87 |
| PDEN11 | Population Density -- Per Sq Km | 0.18 | 0.76 | -0.01 | -1.78 | -0.01 | -0.21 | -0.06 | -1.74 | -0.20 | -1.05 | 0.47 | 5.10 |
| HIGHER_ED | Population w Higher Education | -0.54 | -3.11 | 0.02 | 3.35 | 1.10E-03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 3.32 | 0.20 | 1.42 | -0.75 | -11.41 |
| TILLIT11 | Illiterate Population | -2.45 | -3.70 | -0.06 | -2.83 | -0.12 | -1.15 | 0.26 | 2.77 | -1.68 | -3.13 | -0.11 | -0.44 |
| ECPN11 | Population Employed in Jurisdiction | 0.07 | 2.01 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.83 | 0.01 | 0.92 | 0.01 | 2.13 | -0.04 | -1.52 | 0.08 | 6.08 |
| NAS11 | Net Average Salary | 0.14 | 2.51 | 3.49E-04 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 1.02 | 0.01 | 1.73 | 0.06 | 1.24 | 0.02 | 1.02 |
| TRUNEMP11 | Population Unemployed | 0.59 | 3.48 | -0.01 | -1.38 | 0.05 | 1.74 | 0.04 | 1.49 | 0.10 | 0.76 | 0.34 | 5.30 |
| RUB10 | Underage Births per 1000 | 1.32 | 2.96 | 0.03 | 2.17 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 1.32 | 0.95 | 2.65 | -0.11 | -0.67 |
| NWVDV11 | Women Victims of Domestic Violence | -13.10 | -1.70 | 1.07 | 4.29 | -0.27 | -0.22 | 0.67 | 0.61 | -9.72 | -1.57 | -3.56 | -1.22 |
| YEARDUM3 | year $=2006.0000$ | 43.73 | 0.13 | -28.51 | -2.69 | -402.83 | -7.82 | -54.78 | -1.16 | -309.12 | -1.17 | -214.61 | -1.72 |
| YEARDUM4 | year== 2007.0000 | -269.07 | -0.80 | 10.52 | 0.97 | 193.91 | 3.66 | 51.97 | 1.07 | -9.48 | -0.03 | 17.30 | 0.14 |
| YEARDUM5 | year $=2008.0000$ | 225.34 | 0.69 | 17.99 | 1.71 | 208.92 | 4.07 | 2.81 | 0.06 | 318.60 | 1.21 | 197.31 | 1.59 |
| R-Sq |  | 0.89 |  | 0.91 |  | 0.73 |  | 0.89 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.90 |  |
| N |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  |


| Estimated (Log-Linear Form) Per Capita Court Resolved Case Incidence -- Population, Demographics and Economic Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Resolved Cases (log) |  | Investigative Cases Resolved (log) |  | Fault Cases Resolved (log) |  | Litigated cases resolved (log) |  | Uncontested Cases Resolved (log) |  | Execution Cases Resolved (log) |  |
| Variable | Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | Intercept | 4.72 | 6.56 | 2.28 | 3.62 | 2.03 | 3.15 | 2.34 | 3.56 | 2.58 | 2.25 | 1.32 | 0.88 |
| Adults_BEN | Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.01 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.54 | 0.02 | 0.90 | 0.02 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 1.38 | 0.03 | 0.64 |
| Child_BEN | Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.09 | 3.28 | 0.11 | 4.47 | 0.04 | 1.55 | -0.04 | -1.61 | 0.18 | 3.92 | 0.03 | 0.43 |
| Youth_BEN | Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -0.10 | -1.96 | -0.13 | -2.76 | -0.12 | -2.50 | 0.07 | 1.44 | -0.24 | -2.81 | -0.03 | -0.26 |
| Old_BEN | Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -0.03 | -1.34 | -0.01 | -0.61 | 0.02 | 0.65 | -0.05 | -2.02 | -0.05 | -1.19 | -0.03 | -0.52 |
| CHILD_NB | Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.02 | 1.00 | 0.04 | 2.09 | 0.02 | 0.98 | -0.03 | -1.67 | 0.06 | 1.83 | -0.05 | -1.00 |
| YOUTH_NB | Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -0.02 | -0.91 | -0.04 | -2.23 | -0.01 | -0.54 | 0.01 | 0.52 | -0.07 | -1.90 | -0.02 | -0.35 |
| ADULT_NB | Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.01 | 1.16 | 0.02 | 1.83 | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.22 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.11 | 0.04 | 2.28 | 0.03 | 1.44 |
| OLD_NB | Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.02 | 2.19 | $2.87 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.41 | 0.03 | 4.73 | 0.03 | 3.79 | 0.02 | 1.40 | 0.03 | 1.74 |
| POP11 | Municipal Population 2011 | 4.01E-06 | 4.71 | $2.49 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.33 | $4.48 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.59 | 1.39E-06 | 1.79 | $8.21 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.61 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 7.76 |
| PDEN11 | Population Density -- Per Sq Km | $8.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.00 | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.48 | $4.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.84 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.64 | -2.69E-06 | -0.06 | 3.26E-04 | 5.64 |
| HSLD_SZ | Average Household Size | -0.26 | -2.07 | -0.34 | -3.07 | 0.04 | 0.38 | 0.16 | 1.37 | -0.31 | -1.54 | 0.17 | 0.65 |
| NEW_HOUSE | New Housing Construction Per 1000 (2010+2011) | 0.00 | -0.40 | 0.01 | 1.58 | $4.33 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.81 | -0.01 | -1.18 | -0.01 | -0.89 | 0.04 | 2.99 |
| HIGHER_ED | Population w Higher Education (\% of Pop) | -0.08 | -4.84 | -0.04 | -2.87 | -0.04 | -2.47 | 8.81E-04 | 0.06 | -0.03 | -1.09 | -0.38 | -10.54 |
| TILLIT11 | Illiterate Population (\% of Pop) | -0.07 | -3.76 | -0.05 | -3.45 | 0.01 | 0.44 | 0.02 | 1.16 | -0.06 | -2.21 | -0.08 | -2.22 |
| ECPN11 | Population Employed (\% of Pop) | 0.01 | 3.60 | 0.01 | 3.07 | 0.01 | 2.54 | $2.37 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.06 | -1.24E-03 | -0.32 | 0.04 | 7.69 |
| UNEMP_RT | Unemployment Rate | 0.01 | 2.07 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.66 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.43 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.82 | -4.25E-03 | -1.01 | 0.02 | 4.17 |
| DPTI11 | Doctors Per 1000 Inhabitants | 0.27 | 2.63 | $4.77 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.05 | -0.26 | -2.91 | 0.14 | 1.53 | 0.64 | 3.94 | 0.07 | 0.31 |
| NWVDV11 | Women Victims of Domestic Violence (\% of Pop) | -0.83 | -2.55 | -1.28 | -4.49 | -0.71 | -2.42 | -0.20 | -0.67 | -0.99 | -1.91 | -0.92 | -1.34 |
| YEARDUM3 | year== 2006.0000 | 0.01 | 0.50 | -0.06 | -2.51 | -0.50 | -18.99 | 0.02 | 0.58 | -0.16 | -3.52 | 0.04 | 0.60 |
| YEARDUM4 | year== 2007.0000 | -0.03 | -1.15 | -3.36E-03 | -0.13 | 0.23 | 8.56 | -0.01 | -0.28 | 0.01 | 0.30 | -0.05 | -0.82 |
| YEARDUM5 | year $=$ = 2008.0000 | 0.02 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 2.65 | 0.27 | 10.44 | -0.01 | -0.29 | 0.15 | 3.23 | 0.01 | 0.22 |
| R-Sq |  | 0.22 |  | 0.27 |  | 0.56 |  | 0.17 |  | 0.22 |  | 0.51 |  |
| N |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  |


| Estimated (Log-Linear Form) Per Capita Court New Case Incidence -- Population, Demographics and Economic Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total New Cases (log) |  | New Investigative Cases (log) |  | New Fault Cases (log) |  | New Litigated cases (log) |  | New Uncontested Cases (log) |  | New Execution Cases (log) |  |
| Variable | Description | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t -value | Estimate | t-value | Estimate | t-value |
| Intercept | Intercept | 4.68 | 6.57 | 2.54 | 4.03 | 2.09 | 3.27 | 2.42 | 3.74 | 2.62 | 2.23 | 1.12 | 0.77 |
| Adults_BEN | Adults (30-64) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.01 | 0.51 | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 1.30 | 0.03 | 0.69 |
| Child_BEN | Children (under 15) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.08 | 2.77 | 0.11 | 4.55 | 0.05 | 1.93 | -0.03 | -1.02 | 0.17 | 3.74 | -0.03 | -0.56 |
| Youth_BEN | Youth (15-29) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -0.07 | -1.34 | -0.12 | -2.53 | -0.12 | -2.55 | 0.07 | 1.42 | -0.23 | -2.67 | 0.04 | 0.34 |
| Old_BEN | Aged (65+) Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -0.05 | -1.93 | -0.02 | -0.77 | 0.01 | 0.24 | -0.05 | -2.16 | -0.05 | -1.20 | -0.03 | -0.55 |
| CHILD_NB | Children (under 15) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.01 | 0.59 | 0.04 | 2.29 | 0.03 | 1.40 | -0.03 | -1.67 | 0.07 | 1.88 | -0.09 | -2.12 |
| YOUTH_NB | Youth (15-29)NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | -0.01 | -0.49 | -0.04 | -2.30 | -0.02 | -0.92 | 0.01 | 0.38 | -0.07 | -1.86 | 0.03 | 0.63 |
| ADULT_NB | Adults (30-64) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.01 | 0.86 | 0.02 | 1.56 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.18 | 3.58E-04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 2.11 | 0.03 | 1.13 |
| OLD_NB | Aged (65+) NOT Receiving Social Benefits (\% of Pop) | 0.02 | 2.20 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.32 | 0.03 | 4.74 | 0.03 | 3.61 | 0.02 | 1.37 | 0.03 | 2.12 |
| POP11 | Municipal Population 2011 | 3.63E-06 | 4.31 | 2.23E-06 | 2.99 | 6.57E-07 | 0.87 | 1.47E-06 | 1.92 | 5.53E-07 | 0.40 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 7.83 |
| PDEN11 | Population Density -- Per Sq Km | $7.41 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.71 | $5.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.21 | $4.96 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.03 | $3.25 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.31 | -1.63E-05 | -0.36 | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.83 |
| HSLD_SZ | Average Household Size | -0.19 | -1.54 | -0.37 | -3.33 | 0.06 | 0.54 | 0.18 | 1.58 | -0.31 | -1.48 | 0.36 | 1.39 |
| NEW_HOUSE | New Housing Construction Per 1000 (2010+2011) | $\begin{gathered} -5.51 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | -0.09 | 0.01 | 1.13 | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.27 | -0.01 | -0.96 | -0.01 | -0.92 | 0.04 | 3.26 |
| HIGHER_ED | Population w Higher Education (\% of Pop) | -0.08 | -4.56 | -0.04 | -2.42 | -0.04 | -2.76 | -8.41E-03 | -0.55 | -0.02 | -0.76 | -0.38 | -10.99 |
| TILLIT11 | Illiterate Population (\% of Pop) | -0.06 | -3.64 | -0.06 | -3.92 | 3.37E-03 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.39 | -0.06 | -2.22 | -0.08 | -2.16 |
| ECPN11 | Population Employed (\% of Pop) | 0.01 | 3.51 | 0.01 | 2.81 | 0.01 | 2.75 | $2.52 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.15 | -1.96E-03 | -0.49 | 0.04 | 8.34 |
| UNEMP_RT | Unemployment Rate | $4.59 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.75 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.49 | $\begin{gathered} -2.27 \mathrm{E}- \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | -0.10 | $8.73 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.37 | -4.21E-03 | -0.98 | 0.02 | 4.53 |
| DPTI11 | Doctors Per 1000 Inhabitants | 0.28 | 2.75 | 8.90E-03 | 0.10 | -0.23 | -2.57 | 0.19 | 2.07 | 0.65 | 3.94 | -0.08 | -0.41 |
| NWVDV11 | Women Victims of Domestic Violence (\% of Pop) | -0.96 | -2.98 | -1.17 | -4.10 | -0.68 | -2.35 | -0.28 | -0.97 | -1.02 | -1.91 | -0.98 | -1.49 |
| YEARDUM3 | year $=\mathbf{2 0 0 6 . 0 0 0 0}$ | -0.02 | -0.82 | -0.09 | -3.59 | -0.50 | -19.12 | -0.04 | -1.58 | -0.17 | -3.60 | -0.07 | -1.19 |
| YEARDUM4 | year $=$ 2007.0000 | -0.03 | -0.99 | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.87 | 0.22 | 8.48 | 0.04 | 1.47 | 0.01 | 0.23 | -0.03 | -0.46 |
| YEARDUM5 | year $=2008.0000$ | 0.05 | 1.82 | 0.07 | 2.73 | 0.28 | 10.65 | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.11 | 0.16 | 3.37 | 0.10 | 1.66 |
| R-Sq |  | 0.21 |  | 0.30 |  | 0.57 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.21 |  | 0.55 |  |
| N |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | 363 |  | $363$ |  | $363$ |  | $363$ |  |

Case Load Projections

| Table P1: Initial Naïve Projected Incidence of Legal Aid Use at Municipal Level Based on National Incidence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 음 } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Municipality | $\begin{aligned} & .0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Municipality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ada | 16991 | 3582 | 524 | 20 | 93 | 37 | 71 | Irig | 10866 | 938 | 137 | 5 | 24 | 10 | 19 | Plandište | 11336 | 1398 | 204 | 8 | 36 | 14 | 28 |
| Aleksandrovac | 26522 | 2030 | 297 | 11 | 52 | 21 | 40 | Ivanjica | 31963 | 1500 | 219 | 8 | 39 | 16 | 30 | Požarevac | 61697 | 4565 | 667 | 26 | 118 | 47 | 91 |
| Aleksinac | 51863 | 4167 | 609 | 23 | 108 | 43 | 83 | Jagodina | 71852 | 5565 | 814 | 31 | 144 | 58 | 110 | Požega | 29638 | 713 | 104 | 4 | 18 | 7 | 14 |
| Alibunar | 20151 | 2242 | 328 | 13 | 58 | 23 | 45 | Kanjiža | 25343 | 2907 | 425 | 16 | 75 | 30 | 58 | Preševo | 3080 | 4122 | 603 | 23 | 107 | 43 | 82 |
| Apatin | 28929 | 3592 | 525 | 20 | 93 | 37 | 71 | Kikinda | 59453 | 8134 | 1189 | 46 | 210 | 84 | 161 | Priboj | 27133 | 2216 | 324 | 12 | 57 | 23 | 44 |
| Aranđelovac | 46225 | 2478 | 362 | 14 | 64 | 26 | 49 | Kladovo | 20635 | 1333 | 195 | 8 | 34 | 14 | 26 | Prijepolje | 37059 | 5353 | 783 | 30 | 138 | 55 | 106 |
| Arilje | 18792 | 1002 | 146 | 6 | 26 | 10 | 20 | Knić | 14237 | 1225 | 179 | 7 | 32 | 13 | 24 | Prokuplje | 44419 | 4588 | 671 | 26 | 119 | 48 | 91 |
| Babušnica | 12307 | 2134 | 312 | 12 | 55 | 22 | 42 | Knjaževac | 31491 | 2589 | 378 | 15 | 67 | 27 | 51 | Rača | 11503 | 911 | 133 | 5 | 24 | 9 | 18 |
| Bač | 14405 | 652 | 95 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 13 | Koceljeva | 13129 | 952 | 139 | 5 | 25 | 10 | 19 | Raška | 24678 | 3124 | 457 | 18 | 81 | 32 | 62 |
| Bačka Palanka | 55528 | 2532 | 370 | 14 | 65 | 26 | 50 | Kosjerić | 12090 | 529 | 77 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 11 | Ražanj | 9150 | 651 | 95 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 13 |
| Bačka Topola | 33321 | 3438 | 503 | 19 | 89 | 36 | 68 | Kostolac | 13637 | 1009 | 148 | 6 | 26 | 10 | 20 | Rekovac | 11055 | 965 | 141 | 5 | 25 | 10 | 19 |
| Bački Petrovac | 13418 | 1014 | 148 | 6 | 26 | 11 | 20 | Kovačica | 25274 | 2423 | 354 | 14 | 63 | 25 | 48 | Ruma | 54339 | 4177 | 611 | 24 | 108 | 43 | 83 |
| Bajina Bašta | 26022 | 797 | 117 | 4 | 21 | 8 | 16 | Kovin | 33722 | 3163 | 462 | 18 | 82 | 33 | 63 | Šabac | 115884 | 8439 | 1234 | 48 | 218 | 87 | 168 |
| Batočina | 11760 | 1602 | 234 | 9 | 41 | 17 | 32 | Kragujevac | 179417 | 14771 | 2159 | 83 | 382 | 153 | 293 | Sečanj | 13267 | 1762 | 258 | 10 | 46 | 18 | 35 |
| Bečej | 37351 | 5117 | 748 | 29 | 132 | 53 | 102 | Kraljevo | 125488 | 10491 | 1534 | 59 | 271 | 109 | 208 | Senta | 23316 | 3929 | 574 | 22 | 102 | 41 | 78 |
| Bela Crkva | 17367 | 3128 | 457 | 18 | 81 | 32 | 62 | Krupanj | 17295 | 1926 | 282 | 11 | 50 | 20 | 38 | Šid | 34188 | 2784 | 407 | 16 | 72 | 29 | 55 |
| Bela Palanka | 12126 | 974 | 142 | 5 | 25 | 10 | 19 | Kruševac | 128752 | 13637 | 1994 | 77 | 353 | 141 | 271 | Sjenica | 26392 | 1941 | 284 | 11 | 50 | 20 | 39 |
| Beočin | 15726 | 2046 | 299 | 12 | 53 | 21 | 41 | Kučevo | 15516 | 1431 | 209 | 8 | 37 | 15 | 28 | Smederevo | 108209 | 9173 | 1341 | 52 | 237 | 95 | 182 |
| Beograd-Barajevo | 27110 | 1811 | 265 | 10 | 47 | 19 | 36 | Kula | 43101 | 4592 | 671 | 26 | 119 | 48 | 91 | Smederevska Palanka | 50284 | 4722 | 690 | 27 | 122 | 49 | 94 |
| Beograd-Čukarica | 181231 | 8436 | 1233 | 48 | 218 | 87 | 167 | Kuršumlija | 19213 | 1722 | 252 | 10 | 45 | 18 | 34 | Sokobanja | 16021 | 340 | 50 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 7 |
| Beograd-Grocka | 83907 | 2514 | 368 | 14 | 65 | 26 | 50 | Lajkovac | 15475 | 1138 | 166 | 6 | 29 | 12 | 23 | Sombor | 85903 | 7902 | 1155 | 44 | 204 | 82 | 157 |
| Beograd-Lazarevac | 58622 | 2873 | 420 | 16 | 74 | 30 | 57 | Lapovo | 7837 | 1520 | 222 | 9 | 39 | 16 | 30 | Srbobran | 16317 | 1491 | 218 | 8 | 39 | 15 | 30 |
| Beograd-Mladenovac | 53096 | 3971 | 581 | 22 | 103 | 41 | 79 | Lebane | 22000 | 4647 | 679 | 26 | 120 | 48 | 92 | Sremska Mitrovica | 79940 | 5834 | 853 | 33 | 151 | 60 | 116 |
| Beograd-Novi Beograd | 214506 | 8168 | 1194 | 46 | 211 | 85 | 162 | Leskovac | 144206 | 13410 | 1960 | 76 | 347 | 139 | 266 | Sremski Karlovci | 8750 | 703 | 103 | 4 | 18 | 7 | 14 |
| Beograd-Obrenovac | 72524 | 6619 | 968 | 37 | 171 | 69 | 131 | Ljig | 12754 | 869 | 127 | 5 | 22 | 9 | 17 | Stara Pazova | 65792 | 4210 | 615 | 24 | 109 | 44 | 84 |
| Beograd-Palilula | 173521 | 10264 | 1501 | 58 | 265 | 106 | 204 | Ljubovija | 14469 | 1070 | 156 | 6 | 28 | 11 | 21 | Subotica | 141554 | 9966 | 1457 | 56 | 258 | 103 | 198 |


| Municipality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Municipality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | ू <br> .0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | Lawsuit proceedings | Municipality | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{.}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beograd-Rakovica | 108641 | 4709 | 688 | 27 | 122 | 49 | 93 | Loznica | 79327 | 5976 | 874 | 34 | 154 | 62 | 119 | Surdulica | 20319 | 1537 | 225 | 9 | 40 | 16 | 31 |
| Beograd-Savski Venac | 39122 | 1770 | 259 | 10 | 46 | 18 | 35 | Lučani | 20897 | 1310 | 192 | 7 | 34 | 14 | 26 | Svilajnac | 23551 | 1194 | 175 | 7 | 31 | 12 | 24 |
| Beograd-Sopot | 20367 | 1580 | 231 | 9 | 41 | 16 | 31 | Majdanpek | 18686 | 1825 | 267 | 10 | 47 | 19 | 36 | Svrljig | 14249 | 5485 | 802 | 31 | 142 | 57 | 109 |
| Beograd-Stari Grad | 48450 | 2783 | 407 | 16 | 72 | 29 | 55 | Mali Iđoš | 12031 | 1640 | 240 | 9 | 42 | 17 | 33 | Temerin | 28287 | 1541 | 225 | 9 | 40 | 16 | 31 |
| Beograd-Surčin | 43819 | 2895 | 423 | 16 | 75 | 30 | 57 | Mali Zvornik | 12482 | 1246 | 182 | 7 | 32 | 13 | 25 | Titel | 15738 | 1720 | 251 | 10 | 44 | 18 | 34 |
| Beograd-Voždovac | 158213 | 6928 | 1013 | 39 | 179 | 72 | 138 | Malo Crniće | 11458 | 734 | 107 | 4 | 19 | 8 | 15 | Topola | 22329 | 1451 | 212 | 8 | 38 | 15 | 29 |
| Beograd-Vračar | 56333 | 3085 | 451 | 17 | 80 | 32 | 61 | Medveđa | 7438 | 1711 | 250 | 10 | 44 | 18 | 34 | Trgovište | 5091 | 447 | 65 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 9 |
| Beograd-Zemun | 168170 | 8475 | 1239 | 48 | 219 | 88 | 168 | Merošina | 13968 | 2652 | 388 | 15 | 69 | 27 | 53 | Trstenik | 42966 | 1815 | 265 | 10 | 47 | 19 | 36 |
| Beograd-Zvezdara | 151808 | 8243 | 1205 | 46 | 213 | 85 | 164 | Mionica | 14335 | 873 | 128 | 5 | 23 | 9 | 17 | Tutin | 31155 | 2098 | 307 | 12 | 54 | 22 | 42 |
| Blace | 11754 | 1413 | 207 | 8 | 37 | 15 | 28 | Negotin | 37056 | 2916 | 426 | 16 | 75 | 30 | 58 | Ub | 29101 | 1720 | 251 | 10 | 44 | 18 | 34 |
| Bogatić | 28883 | 1981 | 290 | 11 | 51 | 21 | 39 | Niš-Crveni Krst | 32301 | 3604 | 527 | 20 | 93 | 37 | 72 | Užice | 78040 | 1239 | 181 | 7 | 32 | 13 | 25 |
| Bojnik | 11104 | 2306 | 337 | 13 | 60 | 24 | 46 | Niška Banja | 14680 | 1638 | 239 | 9 | 42 | 17 | 33 | Valjevo | 90312 | 4923 | 720 | 28 | 127 | 51 | 98 |
| Boljevac | 12994 | 1232 | 180 | 7 | 32 | 13 | 24 | Niš-Mediana | 85969 | 9591 | 1402 | 54 | 248 | 99 | 190 | Varvarin | 17966 | 741 | 108 | 4 | 19 | 8 | 15 |
| Bor | 48615 | 3152 | 461 | 18 | 81 | 33 | 63 | Niš-Palilula | 73801 | 8234 | 1204 | 46 | 213 | 85 | 163 | Velika Plana | 40902 | 8508 | 1244 | 48 | 220 | 88 | 169 |
| Bosilegrad | 8129 | 999 | 146 | 6 | 26 | 10 | 20 | Niš-Pantelej | 53486 | 5967 | 872 | 34 | 154 | 62 | 118 | Veliko Gradište | 17610 | 2158 | 315 | 12 | 56 | 22 | 43 |
| Brus | 16317 | 2267 | 331 | 13 | 59 | 23 | 45 | Nova Crnja | 10272 | 2069 | 302 | 12 | 53 | 21 | 41 | Vladičin Han | 20871 | 2443 | 357 | 14 | 63 | 25 | 48 |
| Bujanovac | 18067 | 3516 | 514 | 20 | 91 | 36 | 70 | Nova Varoš | 16638 | 1025 | 150 | 6 | 26 | 11 | 20 | Vladimirci | 17462 | 1635 | 239 | 9 | 42 | 17 | 32 |
| Čačak | 115337 | 7630 | 1115 | 43 | 197 | 79 | 151 | Novi Bečej | 23925 | 2904 | 425 | 16 | 75 | 30 | 58 | Vlasotince | 29893 | 2534 | 370 | 14 | 66 | 26 | 50 |
| Čajetina | 14745 | 787 | 115 | 4 | 20 | 8 | 16 | Novi Kneževac | 11269 | 2286 | 334 | 13 | 59 | 24 | 45 | Vranje | 73944 | 4544 | 664 | 26 | 117 | 47 | 90 |
| Ćićevac | 9476 | 477 | 70 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 9 | Novi Pazar | 100410 | 10517 | 1538 | 59 | 272 | 109 | 209 | Vranjska Banja | 9580 | 3215 | 470 | 18 | 83 | 33 | 64 |
| Čoka | 11398 | 2057 | 301 | 12 | 53 | 21 | 41 | Novi Sad | 307760 | 18206 | 2662 | 103 | 471 | 189 | 361 | Vrbas | 42092 | 4091 | 598 | 23 | 106 | 42 | 81 |
| Crna Trava | 1663 | 364 | 53 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 7 | Odžaci | 30154 | 3936 | 575 | 22 | 102 | 41 | 78 | Vrnjačka Banja | 27527 | 3215 | 470 | 18 | 83 | 33 | 64 |
| Ćuprija | 30645 | 3311 | 484 | 19 | 86 | 34 | 66 | Opovo | 10440 | 1173 | 171 | 7 | 30 | 12 | 23 | Vršac | 52026 | 6660 | 974 | 38 | 172 | 69 | 132 |
| Despotovac | 23191 | 1380 | 202 | 8 | 36 | 14 | 27 | Osečina | 12536 | 640 | 94 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 13 | Žabalj | 26134 | 3446 | 504 | 19 | 89 | 36 | 68 |
| Dimitrovgrad | 10118 | 1362 | 199 | 8 | 35 | 14 | 27 | Pančevo | 123414 | 8484 | 1240 | 48 | 219 | 88 | 168 | Žabari | 11380 | 804 | 118 | 5 | 21 | 8 | 16 |
| Doljevac | 18463 | 2513 | 367 | 14 | 65 | 26 | 50 | Paraćin | 54242 | 6338 | 927 | 36 | 164 | 66 | 126 | Žagubica | 12737 | 431 | 63 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 9 |
| Gadžin Han | 8389 | 1286 | 188 | 7 | 33 | 13 | 26 | Pećinci | 19720 | 1684 | 246 | 9 | 44 | 17 | 33 | Zaječar | 59461 | 4958 | 725 | 28 | 128 | 51 | 98 |
| Golubac | 8331 | 644 | 94 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 13 | Petrovac | 31259 | 1914 | 280 | 11 | 49 | 20 | 38 | Žitište | 16841 | 2321 | 339 | 13 | 60 | 24 | 46 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 44406 | 1503 | 220 | 8 | 39 | 16 | 30 | Petrovaradin | 33865 | 2003 | 293 | 11 | 52 | 21 | 40 | Žitorađa | 16368 | 2494 | 365 | 14 | 64 | 26 | 50 |
| Inđija | 47433 | 3324 | 486 | 19 | 86 | 34 | 66 | Pirot | 57928 | 4248 | 621 | 24 | 110 | 44 | 84 | Zrenjanin | 123362 | 10040 | 1468 | 57 | 260 | 104 | 199 |

Table P2: Basic Court Case Expected Distribution (based on historical municipal court volume)

| Basic Court | $$ |  | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 밀 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\otimes} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Bor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Cacak | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Cacak | 64\% | 66\% | 63\% | 69\% | 65\% |
| Gornji Milanovac | 25\% | 24\% | 26\% | 22\% | 25\% |
| Lucani | 11\% | 10\% | 11\% | 9\% | 10\% |
| 1st Basic Court in Belgrade | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Cukarica | 17\% | 10\% | 30\% | 14\% | 16\% |
| Novi Beograd | 15\% | 18\% | 7\% | 17\% | 12\% |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 15\% | 22\% | 6\% | 13\% | 21\% |
| Rakovica | 10\% | 6\% | 18\% | 8\% | 9\% |
| Savski venac | 4\% | 2\% | 7\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Stari grad | 4\% | 7\% | 2\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Voždovac | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% | 12\% | 7\% |
| Vracar | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Zemun | 17\% | 11\% | 16\% | 13\% | 11\% |
| Zvezdara | 8\% | 12\% | 6\% | 12\% | 10\% |
| Jagodina | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Jagodina | 67\% | 68\% | 59\% | 77\% | 65\% |
| Rekovac | 10\% | 8\% | 14\% | 6\% | 7\% |
| Svilajnac | 23\% | 24\% | 27\% | 18\% | 28\% |
| Kikinda | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Coka | 18\% | 14\% | 14\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| Kikinda | 66\% | 68\% | 64\% | 73\% | 65\% |
| Nova Crnja | 5\% | 8\% | 3\% | 8\% | 9\% |
| Novi Kneževac | 11\% | 10\% | 19\% | 6\% | 12\% |
| Kragujevac | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Arandelovac | 22\% | 11\% | 21\% | 23\% | 14\% |
| Batocina | 4\% | 6\% | 8\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Knic | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Kragujevac | 57\% | 62\% | 44\% | 63\% | 66\% |
| Raca | 4\% | 8\% | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% |
| Topola | 8\% | 8\% | 19\% | 5\% | 7\% |
| Kraljevo | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Kraljevo | 90\% | 83\% | 93\% | 91\% | 97\% |
| Raška | 10\% | 17\% | 7\% | 9\% | 3\% |
| Krusevac | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Aleksandrovac | 10\% | 6\% | 11\% | 7\% | 7\% |


| Basic Court | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{u} \\ & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & z \\ & z \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{00}{\#} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흘 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{U} \\ & \underset{\sim}{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brus | 5\% | 9\% | 9\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Cicevac | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Kruševac | 48\% | 44\% | 45\% | 46\% | 54\% |
| Lapovo | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Trstenik | 19\% | 20\% | 16\% | 21\% | 13\% |
| Varvarin | 9\% | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 10\% |
| Leskovac | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Bojnik | 6\% | 4\% | 11\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Crna Trava | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Lebane | 11\% | 8\% | 21\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Leskovac | 64\% | 69\% | 45\% | 72\% | 64\% |
| Medveda | 5\% | 4\% | 9\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Vlasotince | 7\% | 9\% | 8\% | 6\% | 7\% |
| Vrnjacka Banja | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% | 12\% |
| Loznica | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Krupanj | 16\% | 12\% | 21\% | 16\% | 15\% |
| Ljubovija | 16\% | 18\% | 22\% | 12\% | 13\% |
| Loznica | 68\% | 70\% | 58\% | 73\% | 71\% |
| Negotin | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Kladovo | 23\% | 28\% | 15\% | 27\% | 23\% |
| Majdanpek | 24\% | 30\% | 16\% | 28\% | 20\% |
| Negotin | 53\% | 42\% | 69\% | 46\% | 57\% |
| Nis | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Aleksinac | 7\% | 11\% | 4\% | 10\% | 16\% |
| City of Niš | 81\% | 74\% | 86\% | 77\% | 71\% |
| Doljevac | 6\% | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Gadžin Han | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Ražanj | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Svrljig | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Novi Pazar | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Mali Zvornik | 6\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% |
| Novi Pazar | 60\% | 60\% | 51\% | 64\% | 67\% |
| Sjenica | 16\% | 18\% | 26\% | 11\% | 18\% |
| Tutin | 18\% | 15\% | 17\% | 18\% | 8\% |
| Novi Sad | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Žabalj | 5\% | 4\% | 3\% | 6\% | 5\% |
| Bac | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Backa Palanka | 6\% | 11\% | 11\% | 4\% | 6\% |


| Basic Court | $$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Becej | 6\% | 6\% | 17\% | 3\% | 7\% |
| Beocin | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| City of Novi Sad | 59\% | 57\% | 43\% | 72\% | 60\% |
| Srbobran | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Sremski Karlovci | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Temerin | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% |
| Titel | 2\% | 1\% | 6\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Vrbas | 9\% | 7\% | 7\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Pancevo | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Alibunar | 9\% | 13\% | 15\% | 8\% | 7\% |
| Kovacica | 9\% | 9\% | 16\% | 8\% | 9\% |
| Kovin | 19\% | 13\% | 10\% | 16\% | 16\% |
| Opovo | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Pancevo | 59\% | 61\% | 55\% | 63\% | 62\% |
| Paracin | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Cuprija | 31\% | 19\% | 43\% | 26\% | 36\% |
| Despotovac | 21\% | 34\% | 15\% | 19\% | 18\% |
| Paracin | 48\% | 47\% | 42\% | 55\% | 46\% |
| Pirot | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Babušnica | 15\% | 11\% | 20\% | 7\% | 16\% |
| Dimitrovgrad | 13\% | 14\% | 15\% | 10\% | 16\% |
| Pirot | 72\% | 75\% | 65\% | 83\% | 68\% |
| Pozarevac | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Žabari | 3\% | 8\% | 2\% | 4\% | 8\% |
| Žagubica | 3\% | 10\% | 2\% | 6\% | 2\% |
| City of Požarevac | 65\% | 31\% | 77\% | 44\% | 43\% |
| Golubac | 2\% | 6\% | 1\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Kucevo | 4\% | 9\% | 2\% | 7\% | 13\% |
| Malo Crnice | 11\% | 5\% | 13\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Petrovac na Mlavi | 5\% | 16\% | 0\% | 18\% | 11\% |
| Veliko Gradište | 5\% | 14\% | 3\% | 10\% | 11\% |
| Pozega | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Arilje | 19\% | 21\% | 16\% | 20\% | 21\% |
| Ivanjica | 35\% | 30\% | 36\% | 33\% | 40\% |
| Kosjeric | 10\% | 11\% | 12\% | 8\% | 10\% |
| Požega | 36\% | 38\% | 36\% | 39\% | 29\% |
| Prijepolje | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Nova Varoš | 31\% | 27\% | 26\% | 43\% | 20\% |


| Basic Court | $$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priboj | 26\% | 28\% | 23\% | 31\% | 33\% |
| Prijepolje | 43\% | 45\% | 51\% | 26\% | 46\% |
| Prokuplje | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Žitorada | 19.7\% | 19.7\% | 19.7\% | 19.7\% | 19.7\% |
| Blace | 13.7\% | 13.7\% | 13.7\% | 13.7\% | 13.7\% |
| Merošina | 15.4\% | 15.4\% | 15.4\% | 15.4\% | 15.4\% |
| Prokuplje | 51.2\% | 51.2\% | 51.2\% | 51.2\% | 51.2\% |
| Sabac | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Šabac | 68\% | 71\% | 71\% | 69\% | 79\% |
| Bogatic | 14\% | 14\% | 12\% | 14\% | 8\% |
| Koceljeva | 8\% | 10\% | 6\% | 7\% | 6\% |
| Vladimirci | 10\% | 5\% | 11\% | 10\% | 6\% |
| 2nd Basic Court in Belgrade | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Barajevo | 4\% | 6\% | 4\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Grocka | 12\% | 19\% | 13\% | 23\% | 22\% |
| Lazarevac | 17\% | 23\% | 7\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| Mladenovac | 21\% | 14\% | 18\% | 15\% | 12\% |
| Obrenovac | 26\% | 18\% | 35\% | 21\% | 25\% |
| Sopot | 5\% | 5\% | 7\% | 6\% | 7\% |
| Surcin | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 12\% | 9\% |
| Smederevo | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Smederevo | 46\% | 43\% | 45\% | 51\% | 40\% |
| Smederevska Palanka | 30\% | 31\% | 29\% | 28\% | 29\% |
| Velika Plana | 24\% | 25\% | 26\% | 21\% | 31\% |
| Sombor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Apatin | 16\% | 12\% | 17\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| Kula | 31\% | 26\% | 33\% | 29\% | 20\% |
| Odžaci | 18\% | 14\% | 19\% | 15\% | 18\% |
| Sombor | 36\% | 48\% | 31\% | 43\% | 48\% |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Šid | 7\% | 9\% | 5\% | 5\% | 13\% |
| Indija | 21\% | 18\% | 21\% | 31\% | 14\% |
| Irig | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Pecinci | 3\% | 10\% | 1\% | 4\% | 1\% |
| Ruma | 29\% | 22\% | 28\% | 28\% | 29\% |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 20\% | 22\% | 23\% | 17\% | 28\% |
| Stara Pazova | 19\% | 14\% | 21\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| Subotica | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Ada | 3\% | 7\% | 2\% | 5\% | 7\% |
| Backa Topola | 10\% | 18\% | 5\% | 17\% | 14\% |


| Basic Court | $$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅎ } \\ & \stackrel{y}{J} \\ & \ddot{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kanjiža | 25\% | 8\% | 43\% | 4\% | 9\% |
| Mali Idoš | 2\% | 4\% | 1\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Senta | 12\% | 9\% | 11\% | 7\% | 12\% |
| Subotica | 48\% | 54\% | 39\% | 62\% | 54\% |
| Uzice | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Bajina Bašta | 22\% | 23\% | 25\% | 16\% | 21\% |
| Cajetina | 12\% | 10\% | 20\% | 8\% | 15\% |
| Užice | 66\% | 67\% | 55\% | 76\% | 64\% |
| Valjevo | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Lajkovac | 5\% | 8\% | 2\% | 5\% | 6\% |
| Ljig | 5\% | 7\% | 5\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Mionica | 6\% | 9\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% |
| Osecina | 7\% | 5\% | 12\% | 3\% | 6\% |
| Ub | 12\% | 14\% | 14\% | 10\% | 13\% |
| Valjevo | 66\% | 57\% | 62\% | 73\% | 63\% |
| Vranje | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Bujanovac | 20.9\% | 20.9\% | 20.9\% | 20.9\% | 20.9\% |
| City of Vranje | 39.4\% | 39.4\% | 39.4\% | 39.4\% | 39.4\% |
| Preševo | 18.3\% | 18.3\% | 18.3\% | 18.3\% | 18.3\% |
| Surdulica | 9.2\% | 9.2\% | 9.2\% | 9.2\% | 9.2\% |
| Trgovište | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% |
| Vladicin Han | 9.9\% | 9.9\% | 9.9\% | 9.9\% | 9.9\% |
| Vrsac | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Bela Crkva | 55\% | 26\% | 73\% | 26\% | 33\% |
| Plandište | 8\% | 13\% | 5\% | 13\% | 12\% |
| Vršac | 37\% | 61\% | 22\% | 61\% | 55\% |
| Zajecar | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Boljevac | 8\% | 9\% | 8\% | 5\% | 13\% |
| Knjaževac | 24\% | 32\% | 15\% | 31\% | 16\% |
| Sokobanja | 11\% | 12\% | 9\% | 10\% | 15\% |
| Zajecar | 57\% | 47\% | 68\% | 53\% | 56\% |
| Zrenjanin | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Žitište | 9\% | 10\% | 9\% | 10\% | 9\% |
| Novi Becej | 17\% | 11\% | 19\% | 12\% | 16\% |
| Secanj | 7\% | 8\% | 7\% | 8\% | 7\% |
| Zrenjanin | 67\% | 71\% | 65\% | 70\% | 67\% |
| Grand Total | 3305\% | 3300\% | 3304\% | 3304\% | 3293\% |

Table P3：Expected Municipal Case Volume（2011 basic court cases allocated to municipalities based on table P2 distributions．

| Basic Court | $$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { す } \\ & \stackrel{\#}{J} \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { 区 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bor | 10119 | 1207 | 1127 | 6385 | 1400 |
| Bor | 10119 | 1207 | 1127 | 6385 | 1400 |
| Cacak | 24906 | 6186 | 3966 | 11994 | 2760 |
| Cacak | 15999 | 4100 | 2496 | 8313 | 1797 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 6183 | 1496 | 1020 | 2627 | 697 |
| Lucani | 2724 | 590 | 451 | 1054 | 266 |
| 1st Basic Court in Belgrade | 254079 | 40506 | 22874 | 166762 | 23937 |
| Cukarica | 43026 | 4240 | 6976 | 23057 | 3733 |
| Novi Beograd | 38837 | 7445 | 1616 | 28136 | 2822 |
| Palilula（Belgrade） | 37092 | 8961 | 1324 | 22085 | 5001 |
| Rakovica | 25897 | 2552 | 4199 | 13878 | 2247 |
| Savski venac | 9565 | 943 | 1551 | 5126 | 830 |
| Stari grad | 11155 | 2695 | 398 | 6642 | 1504 |
| Voždovac | 17054 | 2388 | 1409 | 20436 | 1726 |
| Vracar | 7949 | 1835 | 478 | 7247 | 918 |
| Zemun | 42457 | 4589 | 3657 | 20968 | 2728 |
| Zvezdara | 21046 | 4859 | 1267 | 19189 | 2430 |
| Jagodina | 14630 | 3514 | 1986 | 5641 | 3489 |
| Jagodina | 9827 | 2383 | 1174 | 4324 | 2265 |
| Rekovac | 1432 | 287 | 274 | 310 | 233 |
| Svilajnac | 3371 | 843 | 538 | 1007 | 990 |
| Kikinda | 13575 | 2461 | 2389 | 6413 | 2312 |
| Coka | 2397 | 333 | 337 | 857 | 318 |
| Kikinda | 8969 | 1681 | 1518 | 4663 | 1501 |
| Nova Crnja | 692 | 197 | 73 | 499 | 209 |
| Novi Kneževac | 1517 | 250 | 461 | 393 | 284 |
| Kragujevac | 80521 | 21251 | 4614 | 47599 | 7057 |
| Arandelovac | 17357 | 2376 | 976 | 10846 | 1021 |
| Batocina | 3605 | 1329 | 364 | 1277 | 222 |
| Knic | 3760 | 1069 | 164 | 2428 | 377 |
| Kragujevac | 46157 | 13126 | 2009 | 29807 | 4625 |
| Raca | 2992 | 1614 | 207 | 1070 | 291 |
| Topola | 6650 | 1736 | 894 | 2170 | 521 |
| Kraljevo | 30615 | 5183 | 3482 | 18270 | 3680 |
| Kraljevo | 27569 | 4277 | 3226 | 16634 | 3575 |


| Basic Court | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & .0 \\ & +0 \\ & 00 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raška | 3046 | 906 | 256 | 1636 | 105 |
| Krusevac | 30686 | 7408 | 5800 | 13020 | 4458 |
| Aleksandrovac | 3015 | 432 | 627 | 924 | 294 |
| Brus | 1449 | 630 | 530 | 893 | 309 |
| Cicevac | 1484 | 383 | 286 | 610 | 229 |
| Kruševac | 14657 | 3274 | 2627 | 5993 | 2405 |
| Lapovo | 1517 | 454 | 236 | 667 | 184 |
| Trstenik | 5698 | 1469 | 908 | 2736 | 589 |
| Varvarin | 2865 | 767 | 585 | 1197 | 447 |
| Leskovac | 34953 | 11813 | 6864 | 11952 | 4324 |
| Bojnik | 1963 | 474 | 728 | 501 | 186 |
| Crna Trava | 122 | 51 | 25 | 35 | 15 |
| Lebane | 3817 | 921 | 1416 | 973 | 361 |
| Leskovac | 22290 | 8098 | 3074 | 8586 | 2758 |
| Medveda | 1748 | 422 | 649 | 446 | 165 |
| Vlasotince | 2545 | 1053 | 529 | 737 | 303 |
| Vrnjacka Banja | 2469 | 794 | 442 | 674 | 536 |
| Loznica | 15335 | 2569 | 2003 | 8120 | 2643 |
| Krupanj | 2431 | 306 | 413 | 1274 | 407 |
| Ljubovija | 2490 | 467 | 432 | 943 | 349 |
| Loznica | 10414 | 1796 | 1158 | 5904 | 1887 |
| Negotin | 9116 | 2619 | 2331 | 2695 | 1471 |
| Kladovo | 2108 | 725 | 357 | 715 | 343 |
| Majdanpek | 2169 | 797 | 376 | 749 | 287 |
| Negotin | 4839 | 1097 | 1598 | 1231 | 841 |
| Nis | 61288 | 14499 | 8951 | 28185 | 9653 |
| Aleksinac | 4457 | 1623 | 344 | 2833 | 1506 |
| City of Niš | 49660 | 10757 | 7679 | 21745 | 6865 |
| Doljevac | 3467 | 751 | 536 | 1518 | 479 |
| Gadžin Han | 1613 | 349 | 249 | 706 | 223 |
| Ražanj | 779 | 284 | 60 | 495 | 263 |
| Svrljig | 1313 | 735 | 83 | 888 | 316 |
| Novi Pazar | 19470 | 4173 | 2010 | 9968 | 3319 |
| Mali Zvornik | 1222 | 273 | 112 | 648 | 246 |
| Novi Pazar | 11617 | 2493 | 1022 | 6426 | 2213 |
| Sjenica | 3072 | 767 | 527 | 1082 | 593 |
| Tutin | 3558 | 641 | 349 | 1812 | 267 |


| Basic Court | $$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Novi Sad | 101125 | 17435 | 10014 | 61486 | 12190 |
| Žabalj | 4684 | 781 | 341 | 3483 | 571 |
| Bac | 1679 | 475 | 275 | 592 | 188 |
| Backa Palanka | 6474 | 1832 | 1059 | 2284 | 723 |
| Becej | 6334 | 1025 | 1665 | 1588 | 864 |
| Beocin | 2789 | 465 | 203 | 2074 | 340 |
| City of Novi Sad | 59615 | 9941 | 4341 | 44329 | 7263 |
| Srbobran | 3383 | 478 | 275 | 932 | 304 |
| Sremski Karlovci | 3147 | 525 | 229 | 2340 | 383 |
| Temerin | 2030 | 429 | 298 | 872 | 501 |
| Titel | 2122 | 232 | 606 | 550 | 256 |
| Vrbas | 8868 | 1254 | 722 | 2442 | 797 |
| Pancevo | 27439 | 4399 | 3865 | 14130 | 5045 |
| Alibunar | 2387 | 550 | 570 | 1081 | 371 |
| Kovacica | 2385 | 384 | 601 | 1167 | 471 |
| Kovin | 5090 | 580 | 381 | 2231 | 820 |
| Opovo | 1360 | 223 | 179 | 747 | 262 |
| Pancevo | 16217 | 2662 | 2133 | 8904 | 3122 |
| Paracin | 15606 | 2929 | 2705 | 7453 | 2519 |
| Cuprija | 4862 | 552 | 1161 | 1941 | 903 |
| Despotovac | 3302 | 992 | 405 | 1449 | 463 |
| Paracin | 7441 | 1384 | 1139 | 4063 | 1153 |
| Pirot | 14068 | 5953 | 3366 | 2971 | 1778 |
| Babušnica | 2096 | 637 | 675 | 219 | 279 |
| Dimitrovgrad | 1779 | 853 | 497 | 285 | 281 |
| Pirot | 10193 | 4463 | 2194 | 2467 | 1218 |
| Pozarevac | 23340 | 5367 | 5581 | 7616 | 4776 |
| Žabari | 806 | 407 | 132 | 321 | 360 |
| Žagubica | 741 | 545 | 101 | 455 | 93 |
| City of Požarevac | 15218 | 1665 | 4285 | 3323 | 2065 |
| Golubac | 582 | 342 | 66 | 341 | 237 |
| Kucevo | 981 | 501 | 137 | 497 | 623 |
| Malo Crnice | 2505 | 274 | 705 | 547 | 340 |
| Petrovac na Mlavi | 1230 | 882 | 12 | 1384 | 540 |
| Veliko Gradište | 1276 | 750 | 144 | 748 | 519 |


| Basic Court |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pozega | 16782 | 5181 | 2002 | 8146 | 1453 |
| Arilje | 3146 | 1068 | 330 | 1641 | 304 |
| Ivanjica | 5801 | 1571 | 712 | 2709 | 586 |
| Kosjeric | 1748 | 553 | 231 | 622 | 144 |
| Požega | 6087 | 1989 | 729 | 3174 | 419 |
| Prijepolje | 12942 | 3403 | 1170 | 7286 | 1083 |
| Nova Varoš | 4047 | 916 | 308 | 3145 | 218 |
| Priboj | 3385 | 959 | 268 | 2230 | 362 |
| Prijepolje | 5511 | 1528 | 594 | 1911 | 503 |
| Prokuplje | 15937 | 5126 | 2069 | 5864 | 2878 |
| Žitorada | 3144 | 1011 | 408 | 1157 | 568 |
| Blace | 2176 | 700 | 283 | 801 | 393 |
| Merošina | 2459 | 791 | 319 | 905 | 444 |
| Prokuplje | 8158 | 2624 | 1059 | 3002 | 1473 |
| Sabac | 24979 | 3710 | 3032 | 14709 | 3528 |
| Šabac | 17030 | 2644 | 2154 | 10214 | 2801 |
| Bogatic | 3539 | 510 | 354 | 2088 | 296 |
| Koceljeva | 1896 | 364 | 183 | 987 | 229 |
| Vladimirci | 2515 | 192 | 341 | 1420 | 202 |
| 2nd Basic Court in Belgrade | 54508 | 7716 | 8491 | 33097 | 5204 |
| Barajevo | 2048 | 456 | 333 | 2421 | 351 |
| Grocka | 6555 | 1461 | 1066 | 7748 | 1124 |
| Lazarevac | 9274 | 1742 | 633 | 5544 | 941 |
| Mladenovac | 11240 | 1117 | 1546 | 4841 | 624 |
| Obrenovac | 13912 | 1359 | 2974 | 6792 | 1323 |
| Sopot | 2776 | 370 | 563 | 1877 | 351 |
| Surcin | 8702 | 1211 | 1377 | 3872 | 490 |
| Smederevo | 26218 | 5748 | 3824 | 12333 | 4313 |
| Smederevo | 12025 | 2495 | 1704 | 6294 | 1715 |
| Smederevska Palanka | 7809 | 1806 | 1111 | 3431 | 1258 |
| Velika Plana | 6384 | 1447 | 1009 | 2608 | 1340 |
| Sombor | 21453 | 4183 | 5195 | 7407 | 4668 |
| Apatin | 3347 | 487 | 864 | 928 | 636 |
| Kula | 6558 | 1107 | 1703 | 2180 | 942 |
| Odžaci | 3758 | 594 | 995 | 1138 | 846 |
| Sombor | 7791 | 1995 | 1633 | 3162 | 2244 |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 76882 | 12385 | 13772 | 40542 | 10183 |


| Basic Court | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{W} \\ & \tilde{U} \\ & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ㅎ \# U ※ ய |  | Basic Cour | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{u} \\ & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & 3 \\ & z \\ & z \end{aligned}$ |  | 으む <br> む <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Šid | 5304 | 1134 | 747 | 2076 | 1352 | Knjaževac | 4718 | 1606 | 587 | 2467 | 438 |
| Indija | 16034 | 2237 | 2918 | 12524 | 1476 | Sokobanja | 2114 | 625 | 327 | 810 | 423 |
| Irig | 1194 | 629 | 98 | 882 | 32 | Zajecar | 11166 | 2398 | 2592 | 4191 | 1549 |
| Pecinci | 2307 | 1215 | 190 | 1704 | 61 | Zrenjanin | 28782 | 3858 | 4274 | 17126 | 3524 |
| Ruma | 22114 | 2672 | 3803 | 11311 | 2984 | Žitište | 2695 | 386 | 390 | 1699 | 334 |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 15343 | 2772 | 3159 | 6765 | 2843 | Novi Becej | 4825 | 428 | 811 | 2024 | 554 |
| Stara Pazova | 14586 | 1727 | 2858 | 5281 | 1435 | Secanj | 2113 | 302 | 305 | 1332 | 262 |
| Subotica | 26319 | 3861 | 5475 | 10389 | 6594 | Zrenjanin | 19148 | 2741 | 2768 | 12071 | 2374 |
| Ada | 816 | 280 | 83 | 495 | 449 | Grand Total | 1199482 | 240540 | 161710 | 642940 | 154292 |
| Backa Topola | 2515 | 697 | 257 | 1782 | 915 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kanjiža | 6672 | 319 | 2366 | 437 | 599 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mali Idoš | 547 | 165 | 37 | 515 | 262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senta | 3152 | 335 | 590 | 734 | 820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subotica | 12617 | 2066 | 2142 | 6426 | 3550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uzice | 22755 | 4185 | 3047 | 13207 | 2316 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajina Bašta | 5008 | 958 | 748 | 2081 | 475 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cajetina | 2792 | 419 | 616 | 1034 | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Užice | 14955 | 2808 | 1683 | 10092 | 1490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valjevo | 33632 | 6969 | 5261 | 17335 | 4067 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lajkovac | 1670 | 590 | 96 | 834 | 252 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ljig | 1658 | 469 | 239 | 704 | 233 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mionica | 1988 | 634 | 279 | 920 | 262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Osecina | 2215 | 333 | 644 | 510 | 234 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ub | 3991 | 951 | 725 | 1739 | 509 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valjevo | 22110 | 3992 | 3278 | 12627 | 2578 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vranje | 27213 | 8108 | 4579 | 11491 | 3035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bujanovac | 5678 | 1692 | 955 | 2398 | 633 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City of Vranje | 10718 | 3193 | 1803 | 4526 | 1195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preševo | 4988 | 1486 | 839 | 2106 | 556 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surdulica | 2493 | 743 | 420 | 1053 | 278 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trgovište | 647 | 193 | 109 | 273 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vladicin Han | 2689 | 801 | 453 | 1136 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vrsac | 10696 | 1569 | 1799 | 5469 | 1859 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bela Crkva | 5857 | 403 | 1313 | 1428 | 610 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plandište | 866 | 209 | 87 | 723 | 224 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vršac | 3973 | 957 | 399 | 3318 | 1026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zajecar | 19513 | 5066 | 3792 | 7879 | 2776 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boljevac | 1516 | 437 | 286 | 411 | 365 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table P4: Expected Target Population (social benefit recipients) portion of total case volume by municipality

| Basic Court |  |  |  | ㅁ \# U ※ ய |  | Basic Court | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{u} \\ & \tilde{U} \\ & \underset{\sim}{z} \\ & \underset{Z}{z} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Basic Court | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{W} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{C}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & .00 \\ & \stackrel{.0}{\#} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | ㅁ \# U ※ ய |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bor | . 118 | . 016 | . 079 | . 016 | . 067 | Aleksandrovac | . 103 | . 019 | . 063 | . 019 | . 085 | Bac | . 054 | . 011 | . 034 | . 011 | . 049 |
| Bor | . 118 | . 016 | . 079 | . 016 | . 067 | Brus | . 129 | . 034 | . 114 | . 034 | . 129 | Backa Palanka | . 075 | . 011 | . 047 | . 011 | . 068 |
| Cacak | . 271 | . 040 | . 200 | . 040 | . 238 | Cicevac | . 016 | . 085 | . 038 | . 013 | . 024 | Becej | . 314 | . 033 | . 235 | . 033 | . 197 |
| Cacak | . 117 | . 016 | . 084 | . 016 | . 110 | Kruševac | . 151 | . 027 | . 121 | . 027 | . 106 | Beocin | . 295 | . 032 | . 156 | . 032 | . 194 |
| Gornji Milanovac | . 057 | . 009 | . 043 | . 009 | . 045 | Lapovo | . 050 | . 050 | . 050 | . 022 | . 050 | City of Novi Sad | . 107 | . 015 | . 068 | . 015 | . 064 |
| Lucani | . 096 | . 015 | . 073 | . 015 | . 083 | Trstenik | . 079 | . 010 | . 039 | . 010 | . 058 | Srbobran | . 197 | . 023 | . 125 | . 023 | . 189 |
| 1st Basic Court in Belgrade | . 630 | . 123 | . 463 | . 124 | . 594 | Varvarin | . 003 | . 082 | . 019 | . 010 | . 010 | Sremski Karlovci | . 269 | . 089 | . 194 | . 045 | . 216 |
| Cukarica | . 067 | . 012 | . 039 | . 012 | . 075 | Leskovac | . 928 | . 557 | . 731 | . 181 | . 729 | Temerin | . 076 | . 014 | . 050 | . 014 | . 069 |
| Novi Beograd | . 044 | . 009 | . 035 | . 009 | . 038 | Bojnik | . 135 | . 051 | . 097 | . 022 | . 160 | Titel | . 296 | . 026 | . 233 | . 026 | . 203 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | . 110 | . 015 | . 066 | . 015 | . 076 | Crna Trava | . 063 | . 063 | . 063 | . 022 | . 063 | Vrbas | . 187 | . 023 | . 127 | . 023 | . 153 |
| Rakovica | . 060 | . 011 | . 041 | . 011 | . 052 | Lebane | . 135 | . 052 | . 097 | . 022 | . 131 | Pancevo | . 687 | . 152 | . 540 | . 116 | . 520 |
| Savski venac | . 037 | . 011 | . 034 | . 011 | . 043 | Leskovac | . 186 | . 023 | . 119 | . 023 | . 129 | Alibunar | . 084 | . 062 | . 087 | . 027 | . 049 |
| Stari grad | . 036 | . 013 | . 031 | . 013 | . 052 | Medveda | . 240 | . 040 | . 220 | . 040 | . 142 | Kovacica | . 145 | . 023 | . 110 | . 023 | . 114 |
| Voždovac | . 043 | . 011 | . 033 | . 011 | . 044 | Vlasotince | . 061 | . 170 | . 019 | . 021 | . 023 | Kovin | . 138 | . 022 | . 131 | . 022 | . 114 |
| Vracar | . 040 | . 014 | . 040 | . 015 | . 050 | Vrnjacka Banja | . 110 | . 159 | . 117 | . 030 | . 081 | Opovo | . 208 | . 028 | . 125 | . 028 | . 142 |
| Zemun | . 109 | . 013 | . 079 | . 013 | . 083 | Loznica | . 202 | . 223 | . 157 | . 081 | . 178 | Pancevo | . 112 | . 017 | . 088 | . 017 | . 101 |
| Zvezdara | . 086 | . 014 | . 066 | . 014 | . 081 | Krupanj | . 017 | . 160 | . 017 | . 044 | . 019 | Paracin | . 201 | . 104 | . 200 | . 064 | . 118 |
| Jagodina | . 345 | . 054 | . 257 | . 054 | . 261 | Ljubovija | . 109 | . 019 | . 089 | . 019 | . 102 | Cuprija | . 001 | . 044 | . 029 | . 026 | . 026 |
| Jagodina | . 127 | . 020 | . 080 | . 020 | . 096 | Loznica | . 077 | . 044 | . 051 | . 018 | . 057 | Despotovac | . 086 | . 015 | . 077 | . 015 | . 057 |
| Rekovac | . 112 | . 022 | . 105 | . 022 | . 092 | Negotin | . 472 | . 189 | . 341 | . 084 | . 239 | Paracin | . 114 | . 044 | . 095 | . 022 | . 035 |
| Svilajnac | . 107 | . 012 | . 072 | . 012 | . 073 | Kladovo | . 136 | . 031 | . 097 | . 015 | . 084 | Pirot | . 556 | . 094 | . 462 | . 094 | . 441 |
| Kikinda | . 918 | . 304 | . 511 | . 107 | . 552 | Majdanpek | . 220 | . 023 | . 129 | . 023 | . 128 | Babušnica | . 196 | . 043 | . 192 | . 043 | . 180 |
| Coka | . 135 | . 072 | . 097 | . 043 | . 167 | Negotin | . 115 | . 135 | . 115 | . 046 | . 027 | Dimitrovgrad | . 232 | . 033 | . 178 | . 033 | . 167 |
| Kikinda | . 258 | . 115 | . 184 | . 033 | . 125 | Nis | . 732 | . 330 | . 537 | . 125 | . 510 | Pirot | . 129 | . 018 | . 093 | . 018 | . 093 |
| Nova Crnja | . 212 | . 066 | . 133 | . 008 | . 154 | Aleksinac | . 067 | . 020 | . 036 | . 020 | . 064 | Pozarevac | . 894 | . 166 | . 691 | . 144 | . 737 |
| Novi Kneževac | . 314 | . 050 | . 097 | . 022 | . 106 | City of Niš | . 135 | . 044 | . 097 | . 022 | . 088 | Žabari | . 158 | . 018 | . 129 | . 018 | . 128 |
| Kragujevac | . 587 | . 136 | . 407 | . 127 | . 483 | Doljevac | . 182 | . 124 | . 129 | . 004 | . 062 | Žagubica | . 049 | . 008 | . 041 | . 008 | . 041 |
| Arandelovac | . 131 | . 014 | . 079 | . 014 | . 068 | Gadžin Han | . 195 | . 039 | . 168 | . 039 | . 125 | City of Požarevac | . 135 | . 044 | . 097 | . 022 | . 089 |
| Batocina | . 035 | . 044 | . 035 | . 035 | . 035 | Ražanj | . 018 | . 018 | . 010 | . 018 | . 037 | Golubac | . 113 | . 018 | . 076 | . 018 | . 091 |
| Knic | . 112 | . 022 | . 073 | . 022 | . 116 | Svrljig | . 135 | . 085 | . 097 | . 022 | . 135 | Kucevo | . 105 | . 021 | . 095 | . 021 | . 075 |
| Kragujevac | . 168 | . 021 | . 086 | . 021 | . 126 | Novi Pazar | . 577 | . 222 | . 360 | . 085 | . 462 | Malo Crnice | . 002 | . 014 | . 013 | . 014 | . 015 |
| Raca | . 026 | . 020 | . 037 | . 020 | . 054 | Mali Zvornik | . 166 | . 162 | . 104 | . 025 | . 125 | Petrovac na Mlavi | . 062 | . 015 | . 051 | . 015 | . 095 |
| Topola | . 116 | . 016 | . 098 | . 016 | . 085 | Novi Pazar | . 177 | . 027 | . 097 | . 027 | . 153 | Veliko Gradište | . 270 | . 027 | . 190 | . 027 | . 203 |
| Kraljevo | . 201 | . 054 | . 164 | . 054 | . 177 | Sjenica | . 099 | . 017 | . 082 | . 017 | . 096 | Pozega | . 066 | . 042 | . 083 | . 042 | . 137 |
| Kraljevo | . 112 | . 022 | . 083 | . 022 | . 077 | Tutin | . 136 | . 016 | . 078 | . 016 | . 089 | Arilje | . 027 | . 013 | . 032 | . 013 | . 059 |
| Raška | . 089 | . 032 | . 081 | . 032 | . 100 | Novi Sad | 2.070 | . 322 | 1.381 | . 267 | 1.549 | Ivanjica | . 022 | . 012 | . 027 | . 012 | . 026 |
| Krusevac | . 530 | . 307 | . 443 | . 136 | . 462 | Žabalj | . 201 | . 044 | . 114 | . 033 | . 145 | Kosjeric | . 007 | . 011 | . 015 | . 011 | . 014 |


| Basic Court | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { co } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\overline{0}} \\ & 0.0 \\ & \# \Xi \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흐 } \\ & \overleftarrow{y y} \\ & \stackrel{H}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Basic Court |  |  |  |  |  | Basic Court | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ず } \\ & \stackrel{y}{u} \\ & \text { x } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Požega | . 011 | . 006 | . 009 | . 006 | . 037 | Stara Pazova | . 105 | . 014 | . 071 | . 014 | . 085 | Zrenjanin | . 168 | . 020 | . 118 | . 020 | . 112 |
| Prijepolje | . 172 | . 069 | . 182 | . 069 | . 273 | Subotica | 1.062 | . 312 | . 769 | . 165 | . 755 | Grand Total | 19.469 | 5.419 | 14.214 | 3.328 | 14.947 |
| Nova Varoš | . 005 | . 014 | . 030 | . 014 | . 048 | Ada | . 135 | . 051 | . 097 | . 022 | . 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priboj | . 039 | . 020 | . 041 | . 020 | . 083 | Backa Topola | . 199 | . 025 | . 130 | . 025 | . 146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prijepolje | . 128 | . 034 | . 111 | . 034 | . 142 | Kanjiža | . 183 | . 028 | . 138 | . 028 | . 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prokuplje | . 650 | . 164 | . 574 | . 141 | . 496 | Mali Idoš | . 237 | . 028 | . 150 | . 033 | . 196 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Žitorada | . 267 | . 037 | . 192 | . 037 | . 161 | Senta | . 216 | . 040 | . 169 | . 040 | . 143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blace | . 143 | . 030 | . 148 | . 030 | . 122 | Subotica | . 093 | . 140 | . 085 | . 017 | . 050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merošina | . 002 | . 072 | . 054 | . 048 | . 036 | Uzice | . 169 | . 024 | . 133 | . 024 | . 149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prokuplje | . 238 | . 026 | . 179 | . 026 | . 177 | Bajina Bašta | . 071 | . 007 | . 054 | . 007 | . 055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sabac | . 330 | . 074 | . 209 | . 074 | . 342 | Cajetina | . 092 | . 013 | . 072 | . 013 | . 075 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Šabac | . 102 | . 018 | . 071 | . 018 | . 073 | Užice | . 006 | . 004 | . 008 | . 004 | . 019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bogatic | . 076 | . 016 | . 056 | . 016 | . 066 | Valjevo | . 456 | . 091 | . 344 | . 091 | . 381 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Koceljeva | . 129 | . 017 | . 077 | . 017 | . 116 | Lajkovac | . 019 | . 019 | . 019 | . 019 | . 019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vladimirci | . 022 | . 022 | . 006 | . 022 | . 087 | Ljig | . 017 | . 017 | . 017 | . 017 | . 017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd Basic Court in Belgrade | . 657 | . 184 | . 472 | . 114 | . 577 | Mionica | . 015 | . 015 | . 015 | . 015 | . 015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barajevo | . 096 | . 017 | . 071 | . 017 | . 094 | Osecina | . 094 | . 012 | . 066 | . 012 | . 069 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grocka | . 037 | . 008 | . 026 | . 008 | . 036 | Ub | . 160 | . 015 | . 112 | . 015 | . 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lazarevac | . 083 | . 012 | . 054 | . 012 | . 072 | Valjevo | . 151 | . 013 | . 116 | . 013 | . 127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mladenovac | . 103 | . 019 | . 080 | . 019 | . 091 | Vranje | . 912 | . 390 | . 558 | . 132 | . 591 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obrenovac | . 110 | . 022 | . 056 | . 022 | . 079 | Bujanovac | . 158 | . 067 | . 032 | . 018 | . 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sopot | . 102 | . 019 | . 095 | . 019 | . 102 | City of Vranje | . 135 | . 044 | . 097 | . 022 | . 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surcin | . 127 | . 087 | . 091 | . 018 | . 104 | Preševo | . 282 | . 048 | . 167 | . 023 | . 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevo | . 419 | . 118 | . 291 | . 052 | . 247 | Surdulica | . 079 | . 095 | . 066 | . 019 | . 051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevo | . 118 | . 044 | . 086 | . 007 | . 047 | Trgovište | . 145 | . 107 | . 109 | . 021 | . 122 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevska Palanka | . 167 | . 023 | . 109 | . 023 | . 106 | Vladicin Han | . 115 | . 028 | . 088 | . 028 | . 080 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Velika Plana | . 135 | . 050 | . 097 | . 022 | . 094 | Vrsac | . 631 | . 109 | . 469 | . 105 | . 444 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | . 701 | . 120 | . 501 | . 110 | . 590 | Bela Crkva | . 135 | . 043 | . 097 | . 043 | . 099 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apatin | . 216 | . 040 | . 159 | . 030 | . 148 | Plandište | . 269 | . 031 | . 189 | . 031 | . 196 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kula | . 218 | . 026 | . 162 | . 026 | . 150 | Vršac | . 227 | . 036 | . 184 | . 032 | . 149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Odžaci | . 139 | . 032 | . 079 | . 032 | . 161 | Zajecar | . 523 | . 113 | . 415 | . 069 | . 375 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | . 130 | . 022 | . 102 | . 022 | . 131 | Boljevac | . 141 | . 023 | . 112 | . 023 | . 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sremska Mitrovica | . 789 | . 128 | . 562 | . 128 | . 592 | Knjaževac | . 131 | . 021 | . 092 | . 021 | . 076 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Šid | . 108 | . 020 | . 087 | . 020 | . 101 | Sokobanja | . 055 | . 005 | . 035 | . 005 | . 034 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indija | . 087 | . 017 | . 060 | . 017 | . 069 | Zajecar | . 196 | . 065 | . 176 | . 021 | . 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irig | . 150 | . 021 | . 108 | . 021 | . 073 | Zrenjanin | 1.013 | . 089 | . 729 | . 115 | . 681 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pecinci | . 089 | . 019 | . 060 | . 019 | . 056 | Žitište | . 252 | . 033 | . 182 | . 033 | . 179 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ruma | . 126 | . 019 | . 080 | . 019 | . 099 | Novi Becej | . 291 | . 004 | . 212 | . 030 | . 173 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sremska Mitrovica | . 124 | . 018 | . 096 | . 018 | . 108 | Secanj | . 302 | . 032 | . 216 | . 032 | . 217 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table P5: Expected Basic Court Volume of Cases from Target (social benefit) Population by Case Type

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum of Total Target Pop } \\ \text { Executed Cases } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Criminal Cases } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { New Cases } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Uncontested Cases } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum of Total Target Pop } \\ \text { Executed Cases } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Executed Cases } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Criminal Cases } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bor | 1193 | 75 | 350 | 397 | 371 | Krusevac | 3266 | 400 | 1229 | 667 | 970 | Novi Sad | 14256 | 1314 | 4074 | 4347 | 4521 |
| Bor | 1193 | 75 | 350 | 397 | 371 | Aleksandrovac | 311 | 28 | 136 | 61 | 86 | Žabalj | 941 | 121 | 135 | 396 | 289 |
| Cacak | 2489 | 277 | 891 | 543 | 778 | Brus | 187 | 26 | 74 | 38 | 49 | Bac | 90 | 16 | 28 | 19 | 27 |
| Cacak | 1873 | 207 | 642 | 419 | 605 | Cicevac | 23 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 2 | Backa Palanka | 483 | 68 | 165 | 85 | 165 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 354 | 41 | 141 | 71 | 101 | Kruševac | 2213 | 237 | 858 | 434 | 685 | Becej | 1991 | 105 | 1201 | 162 | 523 |
| Lucani | 262 | 30 | 107 | 53 | 72 | Lapovo | 75 | 29 | 15 | 19 | 12 | Beocin | 821 | 69 | 144 | 307 | 301 |
| 1st Basic Court in Belgrade | 18431 | 1785 | 4121 | 6909 | 5615 | Trstenik | 447 | 60 | 140 | 112 | 135 | City of Novi Sad | 6403 | 614 | 1195 | 2719 | 1875 |
| Cukarica | 2870 | 163 | 889 | 889 | 928 | Varvarin | 9 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | Srbobran | 666 | 58 | 185 | 114 | 309 |
| Novi Beograd | 1693 | 238 | 191 | 898 | 367 | Leskovac | 5767 | 1149 | 2150 | 872 | 1596 | Sremski Karlovci | 424 | 71 | 68 | 160 | 126 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 4091 | 586 | 382 | 1445 | 1677 | Bojnik | 264 | 47 | 137 | 22 | 58 | Temerin | 154 | 13 | 34 | 27 | 80 |
| Rakovica | 1546 | 92 | 568 | 501 | 385 | Crna Trava | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Titel | 628 | 18 | 414 | 43 | 153 |
| Savski venac | 350 | 23 | 119 | 127 | 80 | Lebane | 514 | 96 | 278 | 44 | 96 | Vrbas | 1656 | 162 | 506 | 315 | 673 |
| Stari grad | 396 | 66 | 23 | 163 | 143 | Leskovac | 4135 | 692 | 1371 | 734 | 1338 | Pancevo | 3347 | 253 | 953 | 732 | 1409 |
| Voždovac | 730 | 51 | 91 | 439 | 148 | Medveda | 419 | 35 | 297 | 37 | 49 | Alibunar | 201 | 52 | 76 | 45 | 28 |
| Vracar | 316 | 40 | 31 | 172 | 74 | Vlasotince | 156 | 132 | 7 | 11 | 5 | Kovacica | 345 | 19 | 147 | 59 | 120 |
| Zemun | 4628 | 326 | 1582 | 1488 | 1232 | Vrnjacka Banja | 272 | 142 | 58 | 23 | 49 | Kovin | 702 | 43 | 171 | 166 | 321 |
| Zvezdara | 1810 | 199 | 246 | 785 | 580 | Loznica | 1109 | 221 | 239 | 309 | 341 | Opovo | 283 | 20 | 73 | 68 | 121 |
| Jagodina | 1764 | 175 | 441 | 288 | 860 | Krupanj | 40 | 16 | 2 | 19 | 3 | Pancevo | 1816 | 118 | 486 | 394 | 819 |
| Jagodina | 1243 | 131 | 264 | 237 | 611 | Ljubovija | 270 | 24 | 104 | 48 | 96 | Paracin | 1134 | 218 | 397 | 323 | 195 |
| Rekovac | 160 | 16 | 73 | 17 | 54 | Loznica | 799 | 181 | 133 | 242 | 243 | Cuprija | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Svilajnac | 361 | 28 | 104 | 34 | 195 | Negotin | 1323 | 340 | 545 | 176 | 262 | Despotovac | 285 | 45 | 94 | 66 | 80 |
| Kikinda | 3260 | 696 | 1110 | 577 | 877 | Kladovo | 288 | 68 | 102 | 32 | 85 | Paracin | 845 | 173 | 302 | 256 | 114 |
| Coka | 323 | 53 | 72 | 81 | 117 | Majdanpek | 477 | 71 | 193 | 67 | 146 | Pirot | 2133 | 359 | 1057 | 173 | 544 |
| Kikinda | 2314 | 548 | 792 | 441 | 532 | Negotin | 558 | 201 | 249 | 77 | 31 | Babušnica | 411 | 52 | 245 | 18 | 96 |
| Nova Crnja | 146 | 32 | 24 | 10 | 80 | Nis | 8121 | 1853 | 2521 | 1623 | 2124 | Dimitrovgrad | 412 | 68 | 210 | 23 | 112 |
| Novi Kneževac | 476 | 62 | 221 | 44 | 149 | Aleksinac | 298 | 49 | 19 | 86 | 145 | Pirot | 1311 | 240 | 602 | 132 | 337 |
| Kragujevac | 11404 | 1792 | 1750 | 4327 | 3535 | City of Niš | 6685 | 1381 | 2151 | 1410 | 1743 | Pozarevac | 2808 | 302 | 1257 | 306 | 942 |
| Arandelovac | 2268 | 224 | 537 | 1021 | 486 | Doljevac | 632 | 298 | 220 | 18 | 95 | Žabari | 127 | 12 | 29 | 10 | 77 |
| Batocina | 125 | 60 | 13 | 45 | 8 | Gadžin Han | 315 | 39 | 119 | 78 | 79 | Žagubica | 36 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| Knic | 420 | 74 | 38 | 169 | 140 | Ražanj | 14 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 6 | City of Požarevac | 2049 | 203 | 1138 | 204 | 504 |
| Kragujevac | 7741 | 1292 | 804 | 2934 | 2710 | Svrljig | 177 | 83 | 11 | 27 | 57 | Golubac | 66 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 37 |
| Raca | 78 | 33 | 8 | 22 | 16 | Novi Pazar | 3048 | 375 | 570 | 751 | 1353 | Kucevo | 103 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 60 |
| Topola | 771 | 109 | 350 | 136 | 176 | Mali Zvornik | 203 | 87 | 23 | 32 | 61 | Malo Crnice | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Kraljevo | 3357 | 361 | 870 | 1260 | 866 | Novi Pazar | 2060 | 202 | 303 | 520 | 1035 | Petrovac na Mlavi | 76 | 12 | 1 | 18 | 46 |
| Kraljevo | 3085 | 292 | 819 | 1134 | 841 | Sjenica | 303 | 30 | 99 | 43 | 131 | Veliko Gradište | 345 | 40 | 54 | 40 | 210 |
| Raška | 272 | 70 | 51 | 126 | 26 | Tutin | 483 | 55 | 145 | 156 | 127 | Pozega | 289 | 65 | 53 | 103 | 67 |


|  |  |  |  |  | Sum of Total Target Pop Criminal Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Uncontested Cases } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Executed Cases } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arilje | 84 | 18 | 14 | 28 | 23 | Indija | 1397 | 100 | 462 | 563 | 271 | Knjaževac | 618 | 119 | 195 | 183 | 120 |
| Ivanjica | 125 | 27 | 29 | 46 | 23 | Irig | 179 | 53 | 43 | 74 | 9 | Sokobanja | 117 | 11 | 40 | 15 | 51 |
| Kosjeric | 13 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | Pecinci | 206 | 67 | 33 | 95 | 10 | Zajecar | 2191 | 388 | 1144 | 215 | 444 |
| Požega | 67 | 15 | 8 | 24 | 20 | Ruma | 2789 | 161 | 985 | 680 | 962 | Zrenjanin | 5928 | 285 | 2389 | 1477 | 1777 |
| Prijepolje | 857 | 171 | 199 | 248 | 239 | Sremska Mitrovica | 1909 | 122 | 738 | 297 | 752 | Žitište | 680 | 43 | 242 | 191 | 204 |
| Nova Varoš | 21 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 3 | Stara Pazova | 1530 | 89 | 732 | 271 | 438 | Novi Becej | 1402 | 8 | 730 | 257 | 407 |
| Priboj | 131 | 24 | 14 | 56 | 37 | Subotica | 3811 | 572 | 1593 | 423 | 1224 | Secanj | 639 | 35 | 241 | 155 | 207 |
| Prijepolje | 705 | 144 | 183 | 180 | 199 | Ada | 110 | 19 | 11 | 15 | 64 | Zrenjanin | 3207 | 198 | 1176 | 874 | 959 |
| Prokuplje | 3095 | 396 | 979 | 452 | 1268 | Backa Topola | 501 | 38 | 73 | 97 | 293 | Grand Total | 139999.88 | 6686.28 | 4308.57 | 1175.23 | 4003.87 |
| Žitorada | 839 | 125 | 263 | 143 | 307 | Kanjiža | 1222 | 26 | 959 | 36 | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blace | 312 | 48 | 97 | 55 | 111 | Mali Idoš | 130 | 8 | 9 | 28 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merošina | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Senta | 681 | 35 | 261 | 78 | 307 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prokuplje | 1941 | 220 | 618 | 252 | 850 | Subotica | 1168 | 445 | 279 | 169 | 275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sabac | 2313 | 196 | 575 | 749 | 793 | Uzice | 704 | 55 | 301 | 140 | 209 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Šabac | 1744 | 140 | 454 | 541 | 609 | Bajina Bašta | 355 | 28 | 161 | 61 | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bogatic | 269 | 27 | 65 | 112 | 64 | Cajetina | 257 | 16 | 127 | 39 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Koceljeva | 244 | 24 | 54 | 65 | 102 | Užice | 93 | 11 | 13 | 40 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vladimirci | 56 | 4 | 2 | 32 | 18 | Valjevo | 4270 | 278 | 1779 | 748 | 1465 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd Basic Court in Belgrade | 5280 | 653 | 1792 | 1613 | 1223 | Lajkovac | 31 | 10 | 2 | 15 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barajevo | 196 | 14 | 44 | 76 | 61 | Ljig | 29 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grocka | 242 | 19 | 48 | 103 | 71 | Mionica | 29 | 9 | 4 | 13 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lazarevac | 765 | 84 | 138 | 269 | 274 | Osecina | 209 | 13 | 128 | 19 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mladenovac | 1161 | 83 | 492 | 361 | 225 | Ub | 640 | 48 | 274 | 87 | 232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obrenovac | 1530 | 103 | 560 | 513 | 354 | Valjevo | 3332 | 190 | 1367 | 602 | 1172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sopot | 282 | 15 | 114 | 77 | 76 | Vranje | 4341 | 1283 | 1336 | 753 | 970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surcin | 1103 | 334 | 395 | 214 | 161 | Bujanovac | 894 | 395 | 106 | 149 | 244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevo | 3580 | 735 | 1202 | 571 | 1071 | City of Vranje | 1443 | 375 | 462 | 269 | 337 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevo | 1420 | 415 | 547 | 156 | 303 | Preševo | 1406 | 308 | 600 | 211 | 288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevska | 1300 | 144 | 418 | 274 | 464 | Surdulica | 197 | 105 | 41 | 30 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Palanka |  |  |  |  |  | Trgovište | 94 | 41 | 24 | 12 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Velika Plana | 859 | 177 | 237 | 142 | 304 | Vladicin Han | 308 | 59 | 103 | 83 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | 3679 | 246 | 1583 | 426 | 1424 | Vrsac | 1925 | 153 | 602 | 498 | 672 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apatin | 722 | 51 | 356 | 72 | 243 | Bela Crkva | 789 | 51 | 378 | 180 | 179 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kula | 1428 | 81 | 785 | 160 | 402 | Plandište | 233 | 17 | 43 | 59 | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Odžaci | 520 | 37 | 151 | 70 | 262 | Vršac | 903 | 85 | 181 | 259 | 378 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | 1009 | 78 | 291 | 123 | 516 | Zajecar | 3138 | 539 | 1443 | 432 | 725 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 8580 | 641 | 3134 | 2069 | 2737 | Boljevac | 213 | 20 | 64 | 19 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Šid | 572 | 49 | 140 | 89 | 294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table P6: Expected High Court Municipal Case Volume (2011 municipal court cases allocated to municipalities based on table P2 distributions).

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{S}} \\ & \frac{1}{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Court in Bel- | 36084 | 1064 | 15150.9 | 63353.2 | Kragujevac | 3716 | 93 | 765.5 | 5394 | Aleksinac | 439.8 | 3.8 | 139.3 | 439.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Arandelovac | 408.8 | 19.5 | 108.2 | 1186.7 | City of Niš | 2958.5 | 82.6 | 192.2 | 5089.2 |
| 1st Basic Court in Belgrade | 17861.6 | 532 | 7687.6 | 31519 | Batocina | 223 | 7.4 | 55 | 215.8 | Doljevac | 199.9 | 5.8 | 136.1 | 377 |
| Cukarica | 1804.2 | 159.6 | 977.1 | 5358.2 | Knic | 185.8 | 3.7 | 183.4 | 269.7 | Gadžin Han | 80 | 2.9 | 273.4 | 188.5 |
| Novi Beograd | 3247.6 | 37.2 | 495.4 | 4727.9 | Kragujevac | 2303.9 | 40.9 | 199 | 3074.6 | Ražanj | 80 | 1 | 81 | 62.8 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 3969.2 | 31.9 | 988.4 | 4727.9 | Raca | 297.3 | 3.7 | 85.4 | 215.8 | Svrljig | 199.9 | 1 | 294.8 | 125.7 |
| Rakovica | 1082.5 | 95.8 | 668.6 | 3151.9 | Topola | 297.3 | 17.7 | 134.5 | 431.5 | Higher Court in Novi | 810 | 97 | 511.9 | 2014 |
| Savski venac | 360.8 | 37.2 | 555.7 | 1260.8 | Higher Court in Kraljevo | 2182 | 31 | 290.6 | 3855 | Pazar | 810 | 97 | 511.9 | 2014 |
| Stari grad | 1262.9 | 10.6 | 667.6 | 1260.8 | Kraljevo | 2182 | 31 | 290.6 | 3855 | Mali Zvornik | 56.7 | 5.8 | 138 | 120.8 |
| Voždovac | 1082.5 | 31.9 | 564.4 | 2206.3 | Kraljevo | 1811.1 | 28.8 | 125.8 | 3469.5 | Novi Pazar | 486 | 49.5 | 169.6 | 1208.4 |
| Vracar | 902.1 | 10.6 | 649.4 | 945.6 | Raška | 370.9 | 2.2 | 164.8 | 385.5 | Sjenica | 145.8 | 25.2 | 106.3 | 322.2 |
| Zemun | 1984.6 | 85.1 | 1070.6 | 5358.2 | Higher Court in Kruse- | 998 | 68 | 613.4 | 2419 | Tutin | 121.5 | 16.5 | 98 | 362.5 |
| Zvezdara | 2165 | 31.9 | 1050.4 | 2521.5 | vac |  | 68 |  |  | Higher Court in Novi |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd Basic Court in | 18222.4 | 532 | 7463.3 | 31834.2 | Krusevac | 998 | 68 | 613.4 | 2419 | Sad | 4576.3 | 17 | 859.2 | 80.8 |
| Belgrade | 18222.4 | 532 |  |  | Aleksandrovac | 59.9 | 7.5 | 113.4 | 239.5 | Novi Sad | 4576.3 | 177 | 7859.2 | 9880.8 |
| Barajevo | 1082.5 | 21.3 | 1211.5 | 1260.8 | Brus | 89.8 | 6.1 | 171.9 | 119.8 | Žabalj | 181.2 | 5.3 | 738.3 | 489.2 |
| Grocka | 3428 | 69.2 | 460.8 | 3782.3 | Cicevac | 49.9 | 3.4 | 31.2 | 119.8 | Bac | 135.9 | 5.3 | 250.8 | 195.7 |
| Lazarevac | 4149.7 | 37.2 | 927.2 | 5358.2 | Kruševac | 439.1 | 30.6 | 140.7 | 1149.6 | Backa Palanka | 498.4 | 19.5 | 347.5 | 587 |
| Mladenovac | 2525.9 | 95.8 | 1178.9 | 6619 | Lapovo | 59.9 | 2.7 | 65.8 | 119.8 | Becej | 271.9 | 30.1 | 1000 | 587 |
| Obrenovac | 3247.6 | 186.2 | 1028.7 | 8194.9 | Trstenik | 199.6 | 10.9 | 77 | 455.1 | Beocin | 135.9 | 3.5 | 984.9 | 293.5 |
| Sopot | 902.1 | 37.2 | 1313.9 | 1576 | Varvarin | 99.8 | 6.8 | 13.4 | 215.6 | City of Novi Sad | 2582.7 | 76.1 | 324.6 | 5772 |
| Surcin | 2886.7 | 85.1 | 1342.2 | 5043 | Higher Court in Lesko- |  | 6 | 590 |  | Srbobran | 135.9 | 5.3 | 958.1 | 293.5 |
| Higher Court in Cacak | 988 | 31 | 159.6 | 1689 | vac | 1986.7 |  | 590 | 析 | Sremski Karlovci | 181.2 | 3.5 | 1095 | 391.3 |
| Cacak | 988 | 31 | 159.6 | 1689 | Leskovac | 1986.7 | 56 | 590.1 | 2833 | Temerin | 90.6 | 5.3 | 351.5 | 195.7 |
| Cacak | 652.1 | 19.5 | 73.4 | 1081 | Bojnik | 78.7 | 6.2 | 129.3 | 170 | Titel | 45.3 | 10.6 | 1030.8 | 195.7 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 237.1 | 8.1 | 30.4 | 422.3 | Crna Trava | 0 | 0 | 50.6 | 0 | Vrbas | 317.2 | 12.4 | 777.7 | 880.5 |
| Lucani | 98.8 | 3.4 | 55.7 | 185.8 | Lebane | 157.4 | 11.8 | 106.2 | 311.6 | Higher Court in Pance- |  |  |  |  |
| Higher Court in Jagodi- | 3838 | 380 | 587 | 7310 | Leskovac | 1357.2 | 25.2 | 104.9 | 1813.1 | vo | 3579.8 | 245.2 | 1840.8 | 7662.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Medveda | 78.7 | 5 | 115.2 | 141.7 | Pancevo | 1798.8 | 123.2 | 993 | 3850.1 |
| Jagodina | 1919 | 190 | 404.1 | 3655 | Vlasotince | 177 | 4.5 | 18.5 | 198.3 | Alibunar | 231.5 | 18.3 | 93.5 | 343.1 |
| Jagodina | 1304.9 | 112.1 | 149 | 2448.9 | Vrnjacka Banja | 137.7 | 3.4 | 65.4 | 198.3 | Kovacica | 160.3 | 19.5 | 218.4 | 343.1 |
| Rekovac | 153.5 | 26.6 | 142.9 | 365.5 | Higher Court in Negotin | 513 | 100 | 118.4 | 1108 | Kovin | 231.5 | 12.2 | 218 | 724.3 |
| Svilajnac | 460.6 | 51.3 | 112.2 | 840.7 | Negotin | 513 | 100 | 118.4 | 1108 | Opovo | 89.1 | 6.1 | 270.6 | 190.6 |
| Paracin | 1919 | 190 | 182.9 | 3655 | Kladovo | 143.6 | 15 | 41.5 | 254.8 | Pancevo | 1086.4 | 67.1 | 192.5 | 2249.1 |
| Cuprija | 364.6 | 81.7 | 40.5 | 1133.1 | Majdanpek | 153.9 | 16 | 63.4 | 265.9 | Vrsac | 1781 | 122 | 847.7 | 3812 |
| Despotovac | 652.5 | 28.5 | 87.8 | 767.6 | Negotin | 215.5 | 69 | 13.6 | 587.2 | Bela Crkva | 463.1 | 89.1 | 188.4 | 2096.6 |
| Paracin | 901.9 | 79.8 | 54.6 | 1754.4 | Higher Court in Nis | 3958 | 97 | 1116.8 | 6283 | Plandište | 231.5 | 6.1 | 374.1 | 305 |
| Higher Court in Kragujevac | 3716 | 93 | 765.5 | 5394 | Nis | 3958 | 97 | 1116.8 | 6283 | Vršac | 1086.4 | 26.8 | 285.2 | 1410.4 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Court in Pirot | 532 | 8 | 199.3 | 992 |
| Pirot | 532 | 8 | 199.3 | 992 |
| Babušnica | 58.5 | 1.6 | 81.6 | 148.8 |
| Dimitrovgrad | 74.5 | 1.2 | 75.6 | 129 |
| Pirot | 399 | 5.2 | 42.1 | 714.2 |
| Higher Court in Pozarevac | 1768.1 | 162 | 715.8 | 2860.6 |
| Pozarevac | 1768.1 | 162 | 715.8 | 2860.6 |
| Žabari | 142.9 | 3.2 | 124 | 87.6 |
| Žagubica | 178.6 | 3.2 | 40 | 87.6 |
| City of Požarevac | 553.7 | 124.7 | 86.4 | 1897.4 |
| Golubac | 107.2 | 1.6 | 88.5 | 58.4 |
| Kucevo | 160.7 | 3.2 | 72.9 | 116.8 |
| Malo Crnice | 89.3 | 21.1 | 14.5 | 321.1 |
| Petrovac na Mlavi | 285.8 | 0 | 92.5 | 146 |
| Veliko Gradište | 250 | 4.9 | 197 | 146 |
| Higher Court in Prokuplje | 725 | 49 | 267 | 1312 |
| Prokuplje | 725 | 49 | 267 | 1312 |
| Žitorada | 143 | 9.7 | 86.8 | 258.9 |
| Blace | 99 | 6.7 | 65.4 | 179.1 |
| Merošina | 111.8 | 7.6 | 19.5 | 202.4 |
| Prokuplje | 371.1 | 25.1 | 95.3 | 671.6 |
| Higher Court in Sabac | 3718 | 223.1 | 695.2 | 6614 |
| Loznica | 1859 | 112.1 | 237.4 | 3307 |
| Krupanj | 223.1 | 23.3 | 25.1 | 529.1 |
| Ljubovija | 334.6 | 24.4 | 136.4 | 529.1 |
| Loznica | 1301.3 | 64.4 | 75.9 | 2248.8 |
| Sabac | 1859 | 111 | 457.8 | 3307 |
| Šabac | 1319.9 | 78.8 | 97.7 | 2248.8 |
| Bogatic | 260.3 | 13.3 | 88.2 | 463 |
| Koceljeva | 185.9 | 6.7 | 155.7 | 264.6 |
| Vladimirci | 93 | 12.2 | 116.2 | 330.7 |
| Higher Court in Smederevo | 983.1 | 77 | 315.8 | 2347 |
| Smederevo | 983.1 | 77 | 315.8 | 2347 |
| Smederevo | 427 | 34.7 | 60.2 | 1079.6 |
| Smederevska Palanka | 307.8 | 22.3 | 135.9 | 704.1 |
| Velika Plana | 248.3 | 20 | 119.6 | 563.3 |
| Higher Court in Sombor | 1272 | 80 | 706.1 | 2574.5 |


|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{S}} \\ & \frac{1}{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sombor | 1272 | 80 | 706.1 | 2574.5 |
| Apatin | 152.6 | 13.6 | 177 | 407.8 |
| Kula | 330.7 | 26.4 | 179.3 | 790.2 |
| Odžaci | 178.1 | 15.2 | 192.9 | 458.8 |
| Sombor | 610.6 | 24.8 | 156.9 | 917.6 |
| Higher Court in Sremska Mitrovica | 1221 | 99 | 1369 | 3669.3 |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 1221 | 99 | 1369 | 3669.3 |
| Šid | 109.9 | 5 | 234.2 | 254.3 |
| Indija | 219.8 | 20.8 | 159.8 | 762.9 |
| Irig | 61.1 | 1 | 168.6 | 72.7 |
| Pecinci | 122.1 | 1 | 129.3 | 109 |
| Ruma | 268.6 | 27.7 | 229.4 | 1053.6 |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 268.6 | 22.8 | 250.9 | 726.6 |
| Stara Pazova | 170.9 | 20.8 | 196.7 | 690.3 |
| Higher Court in Subotica | 998 | 84.8 | 906.2 | 2283 |
| Subotica | 998 | 84.8 | 906.2 | 2283 |
| Ada | 69.9 | 1.7 | 126.1 | 68.5 |
| Backa Topola | 179.6 | 4.2 | 175.5 | 228.3 |
| Kanjiža | 79.8 | 36.1 | 137.7 | 570.8 |
| Mali Idoš | 39.9 | 0.8 | 235.3 | 45.7 |
| Senta | 89.8 | 9.2 | 171.3 | 274 |
| Subotica | 538.9 | 32.8 | 60.3 | 1095.8 |
| Higher Court in Uzice | 4737 | 471 | 636.6 | 8622 |
| Pozega | 1579 | 157 | 155.9 | 2874 |
| Arilje | 331.6 | 25.1 | 67.4 | 546.1 |
| Ivanjica | 473.7 | 56.5 | 29.8 | 1005.9 |
| Kosjeric | 173.7 | 18.8 | 16.1 | 287.4 |
| Požega | 600 | 56.5 | 42.6 | 1034.6 |
| Prijepolje | 1579 | 157 | 311.1 | 2874 |
| Nova Varoš | 426.3 | 40.8 | 54.4 | 890.9 |
| Priboj | 442.1 | 36.1 | 94.6 | 747.2 |
| Prijepolje | 710.6 | 80.1 | 162.2 | 1235.8 |
| Uzice | 1579 | 157 | 169.6 | 2874 |
| Bajina Bašta | 363.2 | 39.3 | 62.8 | 632.3 |
| Cajetina | 157.9 | 31.4 | 85.7 | 344.9 |
| Užice | 1057.9 | 86.4 | 21.1 | 1896.8 |
| Higher Court in Valjevo | 1120 | 117 | 337.4 | 2143.2 |
| Valjevo | 1120 | 117 | 337.4 | 2143.2 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lajkovac | 89.6 | 2.3 | 16.6 | 106.1 |
| Ljig | 78.4 | 5.9 | 15.2 | 106.1 |
| Mionica | 100.8 | 5.9 | 13 | 127.3 |
| Osecina | 56 | 14 | 61 | 148.5 |
| Ub | 156.8 | 16.4 | 119.4 | 254.6 |
| Valjevo | 638.4 | 72.5 | 112.2 | 1400.5 |
| Higher Court in Vrange | 97 | 38 | 782 | 1459 |
| Vranje | 97 | 38 | 782 | 1459 |
| Bujanovac | 20.2 | 7.9 | 146.2 | 304.4 |
| City of Vranje | 38.2 | 15 | 140.9 | 574.6 |
| Preševo | 17.8 | 7 | 159.5 | 267.4 |
| Surdulica | 8.9 | 3.5 | 67.8 | 133.7 |
| Trgovište | 2.3 | 0.9 | 161.4 | 34.7 |
| Vladicin Han | 9.6 | 3.8 | 106.2 | 144.2 |
| Higher Court in Zajecar | 0 | 0 | 472.1 | 2136 |
| Bor | 0 | 0 | 71.9 | 1068 |
| Bor | 0 | 0 | 71.9 | 1068 |
| Zajecar | 0 | 0 | 400.2 | 1068 |
| Boljevac | 0 | 0 | 160.5 | 85.4 |
| Knjaževac | 0 | 0 | 81.2 | 256.3 |
| Sokobanja | 0 | 0 | 36.5 | 117.5 |
| Zajecar | 0 | 0 | 122.1 | 608.8 |
| Higher Court in Zrenjanin | 2916 | 162 | 2538.9 | 7196 |
| Kikinda | 1458 | 81 | 1136.4 | 3598 |
| Coka | 204.1 | 11.3 | 343.5 | 647.6 |
| Kikinda | 991.4 | 51.8 | 257.5 | 2374.7 |
| Nova Crnja | 116.6 | 2.4 | 317 | 179.9 |
| Novi Kneževac | 145.8 | 15.4 | 218.5 | 395.8 |
| Zrenjanin | 1458 | 81 | 1402.4 | 3598 |
| Žitište | 145.8 | 7.3 | 369.1 | 323.8 |
| Novi Becej | 160.4 | 15.4 | 356.5 | 611.7 |
| Secanj | 116.6 | 5.7 | 445.9 | 251.9 |
| Zrenjanin | 1035.2 | 52.7 | 230.9 | 2410.7 |
| Grand Total | 83317 | 4010 | 39545 | 158009 |

Table P7: Expected Volume of Cases from Target (social benefit) Population by Case Type for High Court Cases

|  |  | Total Target Pop Lit Cases |  | $\text { səseכ כן dod } \ddagger \text { כן }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Court in Belgrade | 5384 | 1241 | 127 | 4016 |
| First Basic Court in Belgrade | 2297 | 271 | 37 | 1989 |
| Cukarica | 357 | 24 | 7 | 326 |
| Novi Beograd | 206 | 43 | 2 | 162 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 521 | 70 | 3 | 449 |
| Rakovica | 188 | 18 | 6 | 164 |
| Savski venac | 46 | 5 | 2 | 40 |
| Stari grad | 45 | 8 | 0 | 36 |
| Vozdovac | 94 | 12 | 1 | 81 |
| Vracar | 38 | 8 | 0 | 30 |
| Zemun | 584 | 55 | 14 | 515 |
| Zvezdara | 217 | 28 | 2 | 187 |
| Second Basic Court in Belgrade | 3087 | 969 | 90 | 2027 |
| Barajevo | 121 | 31 | 3 | 87 |
| Grocka | 140 | 38 | 3 | 99 |
| Lazarevac | 442 | 98 | 4 | 341 |
| Mladenovac | 684 | 197 | 32 | 455 |
| Obrenovac | 901 | 217 | 31 | 653 |
| Sopot | 160 | 38 | 8 | 115 |
| Surcin | 639 | 352 | 11 | 277 |
| Higher Court in Cacak | 169 | 15 | 2 | 152 |
| Cacak | 169 | 15 | 2 | 152 |
| Cacak | 127 | 11 | 2 | 114 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 24 | 2 | 0 | 22 |
| Lucani | 18 | 2 | 0 | 16 |
| Higher Court in Jagodina | 707 | 161 | 44 | 501 |
| Jagodina | 441 | 53 | 23 | 364 |
| Jagodina | 310 | 41 | 14 | 254 |
| Rekovac | 41 | 5 | 4 | 32 |
| Svilajnac | 90 | 8 | 5 | 77 |
| Paracin | 266 | 108 | 21 | 137 |
| Cuprija | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Despotovac | 66 | 17 | 4 | 45 |
| Paracin | 199 | 90 | 17 | 92 |
| Higher Court in Kragujevac | 764 | 89 | 11 | 663 |
| Kragujevac | 764 | 89 | 11 | 663 |
| Arandelovac | 155 | 15 | 4 | 136 |
| Batocina | 7 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| Knic | 30 | 4 | 0 | 26 |


|  |  |  | Total Target Pop Civil Cases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kragujevac | 516 | 57 | 4 | 455 |
| Raca | 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Topola | 50 | 7 | 3 | 41 |
| Higher Court in Kraljevo | 423 | 78 | 4 | 340 |
| Kraljevo | 423 | 78 | 4 | 340 |
| Kraljevo | 388 | 64 | 4 | 320 |
| Raska | 34 | 15 | 0 | 20 |
| Higher Court in Krusevac | 258 | 23 | 6 | 229 |
| Krusevac | 258 | 23 | 6 | 229 |
| Aleksandrovac | 25 | 1 | 1 | 23 |
| Brus | 15 | 2 | 0 | 13 |
| Cicevac | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Krusevac | 174 | 13 | 4 | 156 |
| Lapovo | 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Trstenik | 36 | 3 | 1 | 32 |
| Varvarin | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Higher Court in Leskovac | 469 | 85 | 8 | 375 |
| Leskovac | 469 | 85 | 8 | 375 |
| Bojnik | 23 | 5 | 1 | 17 |
| Crna Trava | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lebane | 42 | 10 | 1 | 30 |
| Leskovac | 336 | 44 | 4 | 288 |
| Medveda | 34 | 5 | 2 | 27 |
| Vlasotince | 12 | 11 | 0 | 2 |
| Vrnjacka Banja | 22 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Higher Court in Negotin | 161 | 50 | 16 | 95 |
| Negotin | 161 | 50 | 16 | 95 |
| Kladovo | 35 | 6 | 2 | 26 |
| Majdanpek | 58 | 7 | 4 | 48 |
| Negotin | 68 | 37 | 10 | 21 |
| Higher Court in Nis | 838 | 257 | 14 | 567 |
| Nis | 838 | 257 | 14 | 567 |
| Aleksinac | 29 | 3 | 0 | 26 |
| City of Nis | 685 | 200 | 12 | 472 |
| Doljevac | 69 | 38 | 1 | 30 |
| Gadzin Han | 37 | 7 | 1 | 29 |
| Razanj | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Svrljig | 17 | 8 | 0 | 9 |
| Higher Court in Novi Pazar | 315 | 21 | 8 | 286 |



|  |  | Total Target Pop Lit Cases | Total Target Pop Civil Cases |  | Total Target Pop Criminal Cases |  |  | Total Target Pop Lit Cases |  | Total Target Pop Civil Cases |  |  | $\text { Səsej MəN dod } \ddagger \partial 8 \wedge \perp \perp \text { \|ełO } \mid$ | Total Target Pop Lit Cases | Total Target Pop Civil Cases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Golubac | 7 | 1 |  | 0 | 6 | Stara Pazova | 72 |  | 2 | 1 | 69 | Higher Court in Zajecar ${ }^{1}$ | 297 | 0 | 0 | 297 |
| Kucevo | 12 | 1 |  | 0 | 11 | Higher Court in Subotica | 331 |  | 53 | 13 | 265 | Bor | 126 | 0 | 0 | 126 |
| Malo Crnice | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | Subotica | 331 |  | 53 | 13 | 265 | Bor | 126 | 0 | 0 | 126 |
| Petrovac na Mlavi | 9 | 1 |  | 0 | 8 | Ada | 9 |  | 1 | 0 | 8 | Zajecar | 172 | 0 | 0 | 172 |
| Veliko Gradiste | 39 | 4 |  | 0 | 35 | Backa Topola | 45 |  | 2 | 0 | 43 | Boljevac | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Higher Court in Prokuplje | 255 | 18 |  | 7 | 229 | Kanjiza | 105 |  | 4 | 10 | 90 | Knjazevac | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Prokuplje | 255 | 18 |  | 7 | 229 | Mali Idos | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | 10 | Sokobanja | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Zitorada | 69 | 7 |  | 2 | 60 | Senta | 59 |  | 2 | 1 | 56 | Zajecar | 119 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| Blace | 26 | 3 |  | 1 | 22 | Subotica | 101 |  | 43 | 2 | 57 | Higher Court in Zrenjanin | 1602 | 296 | 42 | 1263 |
| Merosina | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | Higher Court in Uzice | 330 |  | 56 | 21 | 253 | Kikinda | 862 | 253 | 24 | 585 |
| Prokuplje | 160 | 8 |  | 4 | 147 | Pozega | 50 |  | 11 | 3 | 36 | Coka | 87 | 19 | 1 | 67 |
| Higher Court in Sabac | 546 | 117 |  | 5 | 414 | Arilje | 15 |  | 3 | 1 | 11 | Kikinda | 613 | 203 | 17 | 393 |
| Loznica | 239 | 79 |  | 7 | 153 | Ivanjica | 22 |  | 6 | 2 | 14 | Nova Crnja | 38 | 7 | 0 | 30 |
| Krupanj | 9 | 7 |  | 0 | 1 | Kosjeric | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | Novi Knezevac | 124 | 24 | 5 | 95 |
| Ljubovija | 57 | 8 |  | 3 | 46 | Pozega | 11 |  | 2 | 0 | 9 | Zrenjanin | 739 | 43 | 18 | 678 |
| Loznica | 172 | 64 |  | 4 | 105 | Prijepolje | 192 |  | 37 | 12 | 143 | Zitiste | 82 | 6 | 2 | 74 |
| Sabac | 307 | 37 |  | 8 | 261 | Nova Varos | 5 |  | 1 | 0 | 3 | Novi Becej | 178 | 1 | 6 | 170 |
| Sabac | 230 | 27 |  | 6 | 196 | Priboj | 29 |  | 6 | 1 | 21 | Secanj | 76 | 5 | 2 | 70 |
| Bogatic | 35 | 5 |  | 1 | 29 | Prijepolje | 158 |  | 29 | 11 | 118 | Zrenjanin | 404 | 31 | 9 | 364 |
| Koceljeva | 34 | 4 |  | 1 | 30 | Uzice | 88 |  | 8 | 6 | 75 | Grand Total | 17563 | 3022 | 471 | 14070 |
| Vladimirci | 7 | 1 |  | 0 | 7 | Bajina Basta | 45 |  | 4 | 3 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher Court in Smederevo | 321 | 45 |  | 8 | 267 | Cajetina | 32 |  | 2 | 3 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevo | 321 | 45 |  | 8 | 267 | Uzice | 12 |  | 2 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevo | 128 | 28 |  | 4 | 95 | Higher Court in Valjevo | 272 |  | 13 | 11 | 247 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevska Palanka | 117 | 7 |  | 3 | 107 | Valjevo | 272 |  | 13 | 11 | 247 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Velika Plana | 76 | 9 |  | 1 | 65 | Lajkovac | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher Court in Sombor | 442 | 30 |  | 1 | 402 | Ljig | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | 442 | 30 |  | 1 | 402 | Mionica | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apatin | 88 | 7 |  | 2 | 79 | Osecina | 14 |  | 1 | 1 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kula | 172 | 12 |  | 6 | 154 | Ub | 41 |  | 2 | 1 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Odzaci | 64 | 3 |  | 1 | 59 | Valjevo | 211 |  | 8 | 8 | 194 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | 119 | 7 |  | 1 | 110 | Higher Court in Vrange | 233 |  | 5 | 3 | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher Court in Sremska Mitrovica | 410 | 19 |  | 5 | 386 | Vranje | 233 |  | 5 | 3 | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 410 | 19 |  | 5 | 386 | Bujanovac | 48 |  | 1 | 0 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sid | 27 | 1 |  | 0 | 26 | City of Vranje | 77 |  | 1 | 1 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indija | 66 | 3 |  | 1 | 62 | Pre_evo | 75 |  | 1 | 2 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irig | 11 | 4 |  | 0 | 6 | Surdulica | 11 |  | 1 | 0 | 10 | ${ }^{1}$ No litigation or civil cases submitted for Higher Court in |  |  |  |  |
| Pecinci | 10 | 3 |  | 0 | 6 | Trgoviste | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ruma | 133 | 3 |  | 1 | 128 | Vladicin Han | 17 |  | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 90 | 2 |  | 1 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Cost Projections

## Table CP1: Components of Average Case Costs -- Basic Courts

| Table CP1: Components of Average Case Costs -- Basic Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Representation costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Criminal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family violence | 5 | 36,220 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 17,625 Din. | 5,100 Din. | 0 Din. | 2,000 Din. | 11,495 Din. | 36,220 Din. |
| Family - Evasion of Spousal Support | 9 | 26,605 Din. | 0 Din. | 542 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 4,917 Din. | 0 Din. | 3,875 Din. | 1,542 Din. | 8,333 Din. | 6,119 Din. | 1,278 Din. | 26,605 Din. |
| Damage compensation | 1 | 585,519 Din. | 0 Din. | 13,875 Din. 37 | 37,750 Din. | 0 Din. | 4,500 Din. | 0 Din. | 4,500 Din. | 55,250 Din. 37 | 37,375 Din. | 3,500 Din. | 8,144 Din. | 60,625 Din | 585,519 Din. |
| Damage compensation (traffic accident) | 2 | 44,053 Din. | 4,900 Din. | 4,800 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 20,250 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 14,103 Din. | 0 Din. | 39,153 Din. |
| Purchase and sale relations | 1 | 55,950 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. 2 | 21,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 11,700 Din. | 5,250 Din. | 8,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 55,950 Din. |
| Threat to safety | 10 | 71,235 Din. | 0 Din. | 900 Din. | 4,500 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 6,675 Din. | 7,650 Din. | 30,150 Din. | 9,938 Din. | 1,725 Din. | 5,122 Din. | 4,575 Din. | 71,235 Din. |
| Theft \& Robbery | 7 | 83,652 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. 10 | 10,500 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 1,875 Din. | 0 Din. | 31,554 Din. 17 | 17,652 Din. | 3,839 Din. | 9,193 Din. | 9,039 Din. | 83,652 Din. |
| Embezzlement \& Fraud | 3 | 487,334 Din. | 0 Din. 1 | 17,250 Din. 63 | 63,667 Din. | 30,833 Din. | 7,333 Din. | 4,375 Din. | 0 Din. | 186,125 Din. 8 | 87,187 Din. 4 | 0,792 Din. | 4,513 Din. | 25,258 Din. | 87,334 Din. |
| Abuse of official position | 4 | 344,099 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. 2 | 28,125 Din. | 16,625 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 102,188 Din. | 95,594 Din. 6 | 63,000 Din. | 38,568 Din. | 0 Din. 3 | 344,099 Din. |
| Others | 8 | 93,509 Din. 10 | 10,400 Din. | 1,688 Din. | 3,375 Din. | 5,625 Din. | 2,063 Din. | 11,859 Din. | 1,594 Din. | 35,672 Din. | 2,938 Din. | 4,609 Din. | 2,063 Din. | 1,625 Din. | 83,109 Din. |
| Others without explanation | 10 | 118,871 Din. | 392 Din. | 2,963 Din. 1 | 11,100 Din. | 6,150 Din. | 0 Din. | 975 Din. | 0 Din. | 55,575 Din. 26 | 26,925 Din. | 9,450 Din. | 5,142 Din. | 200 Din. | 18,479 Din. |
| Civil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family violence | 1 | 78,114 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 8,000 Din. | 30,864 Din. | 29,250 Din. | 78,114 Din. |
| Family - Evasion of Spousal Support | 1 | 33,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 6,000 Din. | 22,500 Din. | 4,500 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 33,000 Din. |
| Labour relations disputes | 8 | 131,731 Din. 4 | 43,809 Din. | 15,281 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 13,125 Din. | 48,703 Din. | 3,860 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 6,953 Din. | 0 Din. | 87,921 Din. |
| Collection of claim | 22 | 57,185 Din. 1 | 19,524 Din. | 5,455 Din. | 1,273 Din. | 545 Din. | 2,955 Din. | 22,000 Din. | 3,409 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 909 Din. | 1,115 Din. | 0 Din. | 37,660 Din. |
| Damage compensation | 24 | 71,872 Din. 1 | 19,897 Din. | 7,617 Din. | 500 Din. | 0 Din. | 4,560 Din. | 26,726 Din. | 2,911 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 9,661 Din. | 0 Din. | 51,976 Din. |
| Damage compensation (traffic accident) | 6 | 353,385 Din. 46 | 46,008 Din. | 9,680 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 23,411 Din. | 137,835 Din. 38 | 38,125 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 90,326 Din. | 8,000 Din. 3 | 37,377 Din. |
| Property legal relations | 7 | 95,150 Din. | 4,160 Din. | 6,643 Din. | 5,429 Din. | 136 Din. | 8,000 Din. | 60,835 Din. | 6,429 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 3,519 Din. | 0 Din. | 90,989 Din. |
| Purchase and sale relations | 2 | 92,607 Din. 18 | 18,982 Din. 1 | 12,938 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 40,125 Din. | 15,563 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 5,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 73,625 Din. |
| Others | 1 | 79,726 Din. 25 | 25,600 Din. | 5,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 18,750 Din. | 15,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 15,376 Din. | 0 Din. | 54,126 Din. |
| Others without explanation | 7 | 77,018 Din. 2 | 23,018 Din. | 6,429 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 6,429 Din. | 25,857 Din. | 4,571 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 10,714 Din. | 0 Din. | 54,000 Din. |
| Uncontested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expropriated property | 1 | 68,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 7,500 Din. | 15,000 Din. | 37,500 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 8,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 68,000 Din. |
| Average of Averages |  | 140,220 Din. | 9,850 Din. | 5,048 Din. | 8,510 Din. | 3,064 Din. | 4,244 Din. | 22,353 Din. | 4,914 Din. | 24,078 Din. | 13,159 Din. 10 | 0,098 Din. | 14,386 Din. | 20,516 Din. | 130,370 Din. |
| Weighted Average |  | 107,354 Din. 1 | 13,459 Din. | 5,270 Din. | 4,977 Din. | 2,043 Din. | 4,178 Din. | 24,216 Din. | 4,470 Din. | 18,004 Din. | 8,869 Din. | 5,746 Din. | 11,075 Din. | 5,048 Din. | 93,895 Din. |
| Average of Criminal Averages |  | 177,004 Din. | 1,427 Din. | 3,820 Din. 1 | 16,365 Din. | 5,385 Din. | 1,263 Din. | 4,630 Din. | 1,249 Din. | 48,156 Din. 2 | 26,318 Din. 18 | 18,477 Din. | 12,270 Din. | 37,645 Din. | 175,578 Din. |
| Criminal Weighted Average |  | 120,387 Din. | 1,615 Din. | 2,204 Din. 1 | 10,313 Din. | 4,425 Din. | 717 Din. | 4,706 Din. | 1,563 Din. | 42,010 Din. 20 | 20,694 Din. 12 | 2,773 Din. | 8,879 Din. | 10,490 Din. | 118,772 Din. |
| Averages of Civil Averages |  | 106,979 Din. | 20,100 Din. | 6,904 Din. | 720 Din. | 68 Din. | 6,448 Din. | 40,333 Din. | 9,437 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 1,891 Din. | 17,353 Din. | 3,725 Din. | 86,879 Din. |
| Civil Weight Average |  | 97,954 Din. 2 | 22,625 Din. | 7,665 Din. | 987 Din. | 164 Din. | 6,670 Din. | 38,866 Din. | 6,736 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 481 Din. | 12,783 Din. | 978 Din. | 75,329 Din. |

Table CP2: Components of Average Case Costs -- High Courts

| Table CP2: Components of Average Case Costs -- High Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{y} \\ & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & \underset{\#}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | Representation costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hearing Representation |  |  | Unheld trial hearing |  |  |  |  |
| Criminal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family violence | 1 | 65,015 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 65,015 Din. | 0 Din. | 65,015 Din. |
| Family - Evasion of Spousal Support | 2 | 116,756 Din. | 3,800 Din. | 13,125 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 10,313 Din. | 48,875 Din. | 20,875 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 19,768 Din. | 0 Din. | 112,956 Din. |
| Unauthorized possession of narcotics | 39 | 170,125 Din. | 0 Din. | 14,966 Din. | 14,917 Din. | 6,394 Din. | 200 Din. | 2,917 Din. | 1,250 Din. | 56,468 Din. 1 | 16,010 Din. | 14,218 Din. | 2,779 Din. | 40,007 Din. | 170,125 Din. |
| Damage compensation (traffic accident) | 1 | 44,605 Din. | 9,800 Din. | 3,600 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 18,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 13,205 Din. | 0 Din. | 34,805 Din. |
| Threat to safety | 1 | 105,733 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 12,608 Din. | 93,125 Din. | 105,733 Din. |
| Theft \& Robbery | 4 | 134,244 Din. | 0 Din. | 9,188 Din. | 22,500 Din | 9,688 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 37,500 Din. 1 | 6,219 Din. | 18,563 Din. | 6,963 Din. | 3,625 Din. | 134,244 Din. |
| Embezzlement \& Fraud | 5 | 522,645 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 3,125 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 22,750 Din. 1 | 16,250 Din. | 0 Din. | 18,220 Din. | 462,300 Din. | 522,645 Din. |
| Abuse of official position | 3 | 263,750 Din. | 0 Din. | 7,750 Din. | 8,333 Din. 30 | 30,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. 1 | 140,250 Din. 11 | 1,333 Din. | 62,500 Din. | 3,583 Din. | 0 Din. | 263,750 Din. |
| Violence / violent behavior | 10 | 143,183 Din. | 0 Din. | 10,700 Din. | 16,500 Din. | 2,813 Din. | 0 Din. | 18,525 Din. | 488 Din. | 14,925 Din. | 7,200 Din. | 7,563 Din. | 6,518 Din. | 57,952 Din. | 143,183 Din. |
| Murder | 8 | 328,493 Din. | 0 Din. | 5,241 Din. | 8,031 Din. | 500 Din. | 975 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 16,500 Din. | 2,984 Din. | 47,125 Din. | 06,221 Din. 1 | 0,916 Din. | 328,493 Din. |
| Others | 5 | 77,476 Din. | 0 Din. | 6,150 Din. | 0 Din. | 4,500 Din. | 0 Din. | 17,800 Din. | 6,850 Din. | 21,700 Din. | 0 Din. | 1,100 Din. | 8,376 Din. | 1,000 Din. | 77,476 Din. |
| Others without explanation | 7 | 123,643 Din. | 0 Din. | 16,339 Din. | 18,214 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 50,179 Din. 11 | 1,786 Din. | 6,107 Din. | 0 Din. | 21,018 Din. | 123,643 Din. |
| Civil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family - Evasion of Spousal Support | 2 | 116,756 Din. | 3,800 Din. | 13,125 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 10,313 Din. | 48,875 Din. | 20,875 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 19,768 Din. | 0 Din. | 112,956 Din. |
| Establishing Paternity | 7 | 128,910 Din. | 4,021 Din. | 7,679 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 1,339 Din. | 87,871 Din. | 7,963 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 409 Din. | 19,628 Din. | 0 Din. | 124,888 Din. |
| Labour relations disputes | 1 | 122,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 9,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 36,000 Din. | 42,000 Din. | 24,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 11,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 122,000 Din. |
| Collection of claim | 4 | 341,033 Din. 11 | 1,096 Din. | 21,750 Din. | 9,375 Din. | 0 Din. | 9,625 Din. | 153,250 Din. | 15,938 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 229,938 Din. |
| Damage compensation | 4 | 496,061 Din. | 64,698 Din. | 10,621 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | .35,116 Din. | 191,002 Din. | 57,188 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 25,438 Din. | 12,000 Din. | 431,364 Din. |
| Damage compensation (traffic accident) | 1 | 90,074 Din. | 25,920 Din. | 4,320 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 21,600 Din. | 4,320 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 29,594 Din. | 4,320 Din. | 64,154 Din. |
| Property legal relations | 1 | 1,047,375 Din. | 0 Din. | 110,250 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 661,500 Din. 2 | 75,625 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 047,375 Din. |
| Purchase and sale relations | 3 | 811,000 Din. 1 | 153,250 Din. | 16,750 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | .88,833 Din. | 462,583 Din. | 61,750 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 27,833 Din. | 0 Din. | 657,750 Din. |
| Others | 2 | 168,323 Din. | 2,550 Din. | 8,500 Din. | 4,000 Din. | 0 Din. | 37,500 Din. | 92,498 Din. | 8,900 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 14,375 Din. | 165,773 Din. |
| Others without explanation | 3 | 189,516 Din. | 65,000 Din. | 11,375 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 11,375 Din. | 89,792 Din. | 10,208 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 267 Din. | 1,500 Din. | 124,516 Din. |
| Average of Averages |  | 254,851 Din. | 20,179 Din. | 13,656 Din. | 4,630 Din. | 3,046 Din. | 11,890 Din. | 88,959 Din. | 23,465 Din. | 16,376 Din. | 3,717 Din. | 7,617 Din. | 22,581 Din. | 38,734 Din. | 234,672 Din. |
| Weighted Average |  | 222,980 Din. | 12,650 Din. | 12,298 Din. | 9,640 Din. | 4,284 Din. | 6,463 Din. | 45,270 Din. | 9,274 Din. | 31,822 Din. | 8,622 Din. | 12,017 Din. | 18,674 Din. | 51,964 Din. | 210,330 Din. |
| Average of Criminal Averages |  | 174,639 Din. | 1,133 Din. | 7,255 Din. | 7,375 Din. | 5,585 Din. | 957 Din. | 8,843 Din. | 2,455 Din. | 30,023 Din. | 6,815 Din. | 13,931 Din. | 21,938 Din. | 68,329 Din. | 173,506 Din. |
| Criminal Weighted Average |  | 189,975 Din. | 202 Din. | 11,251 Din. | 12,250 Din. | 5,679 Din. | 421 Din. | 5,858 Din. | 1,507 Din. | 42,183 Din. 1 | 11,429 Din. | 5,897 Din. | 15,410 Din. | 67,888 Din. | 189,772 Din. |
| Averages of Civil Averages |  | 351,105 Din. | 43,033 Din. | 21,337 Din. | 1,338 Din. | 0 Din. | 25,010 Din. | 185,097 Din. | 48,677 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 41 Din. | 23,353 Din. | 3,220 Din. | 308,071 Din. |
| Civil Weight Average |  | 324,354 Din. | 50,882 Din. | 15,515 Din. | 1,625 Din. | 0 Din. | 25,021 Din. | 166,324 Din. | 33,129 Din. | 0 Din. | 0 Din. | 102 Din. | 28,699 Din. | 3,056 Din. | 273,472 Din. |

Table CP3A: Basic Court Program Distributed Costs 100\% Tariff -- Estimation of Target Population Case Costs and Potential Legal Aid Program Cost

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bor | 36,224,790 | 3,545,273 | 1,319,253 | 3,733,470 | 27,626,793 | Krusevac | 116,466,826 | 24,520,181 | 5,160,129 | 7,046,491 | 79,740,026 | Žabalj | 3,274,421 | 786,795 | 110,881 | 195,275 | 2,181,470 |
| Bor | 36,224,790 | 3,545,273 | 1,319,253 | 3,733,470 | 27,626,793 | Aleksandrovac | 9,111,441 | 1,383,907 | 527,468 | 589,965 | 6,610,101 | Bac | 17,552,470 | 3,344,934 | 643,582 | 830,180 | 12,733,774 |
| Cacak | 83,817,195 | 13,782,957 | 3,536,881 | 5,384,794 | 61,112,563 | Brus | 8,021,586 | 1,808,565 | 403,394 | 510,295 | 5,299,331 | Backa Palanka | 52,811,429 | 5,218,597 | 4,784,093 | 1,610,618 | 41,198,121 |
| Cacak | 64,683,964 | 10,318,590 | 2,558,415 | 4,164,936 | 47,642,024 | Cicevac | 2,313,508 | 1,732,728 | 45,625 | 81,674 | 453,481 | Becej | 28,298,305 | 3,159,854 | 528,459 | 2,805,093 | 21,804,900 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 11,140,035 | 2,005,373 | 556,943 | 701,014 | 7,876,705 | Kruševac | 3,512,324 | 1,937,258 | 80,465 | 256,607 | 1,237,994 | Beocin | 197,708,079 | 28,846,522 | 4,480,843 | 25,440,776 | 138,939,937 |
| Lucani | 7,993,196 | 1,458,995 | 421,523 | 518,844 | 5,593,834 | Lapovo | 14,461,967 | 2,828,904 | 528,555 | 1,048,797 | 10,055,711 | City of Novi | 26,038,664 | 2,611,096 | 658,595 | 1,012,614 | 21,756,358 |
| 1st Basic Court in Belgrade | 594,156,081 | 86,280,117 | 15,657,909 | 67,004,778 | 425,213,277 | Trstenik | 3,019,134 | 2,589,406 | 37,149 | 99,259 | 293,320 |  | 15,332,555 | 3,546,109 | 269,640 | 1,589,563 | 9,927,243 |
| Cukarica | 92,051,808 | 8,023,458 | 3,484,943 | 8,686,732 | 71,856,675 | Varvarin | 76,026 | 2,2 | 3,537,472 | 4,459,893 | 55,790,088 | Sremski Karlovci | 7,937,909 | 718,226 | 147,047 | 290,547 | 6,782,089 |
| Novi Beograd | 49,234,922 | 11,586,234 | 742,990 | 8,716,506 | 28,189,192 | Leskovac | 207,620,596 | 65,178,022 | 8,806,455 | 8,663,830 | 124,972,289 | Temerin | 15,171,122 | 906,778 | 1,668,783 | 428,921 | 12,166,640 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 164,099,674 | 27,115,762 | 1,411,531 | 13,303,944 | 122,268,437 | Crna Trava | 8,758,707 | $2,696,515$ 260,304 | 624,969 10,424 | 13,002 | 117,890 | Titel | 58,979,811 | 7,193,684 | 1,798,317 | 2,789,784 | 47,198,026 |
| Rakovica | 41,367,349 | 4,520,020 | 2,221,691 | 4,893,681 | 29,731,956 | Lebane | 15,651,405 | 5,403,014 | 1,247,389 | 494,818 | 8,506,184 | Vrbas | 32,288,792 | 5,851,519 | 522,664 | 3,825,986 | 22,088,623 |
| Savski venac | 10,061,118 | 1,272,791 | 515,076 | 1,378,003 | 6,895,248 | Leskovac | 145,878,148 | 33,017,829 | 5,220,594 | 6,968,420 | 100,671,306 | Pancevo | 139,556,428 | 5 | - | 7,560,130 | 7 |
| Stari grad | 18,960,906 | 3,845,852 | 106,687 | 1,886,908 | 13,121,459 | Medveda | 8,018,786 | 1,977,177 | 1,320,187 | 415,979 | 4,305,442 | Alibunar | 6,929,724 | 3,265,518 | 378,410 | 552,605 | 2,733,192 |
| Voždovac | 11,822,892 | 2,453,701 | 148,653 | 2,084,918 | 7,135,620 | Vlasotince | 12,677,657 | 11,708,926 | 52,295 | 200,108 | 716,328 | Kovacica | 12,647,851 | 1,060, | 639,778 | 642,575 | 10,304,573 |
| Vracar | 119,913,547 | 14,517,238 | 5,624,928 | 13,203,394 | 86,567,987 | Vrnjacka Banja | 16,234,272 | 10,114,255 | 330,596 | 323,589 | 5,465,832 | Kovin | 29,021,102 | 2,103,487 | 663,716 | 1,609,547 | 24,644,351 |
| Zemun | 65,068,637 | 10,030,654 | 990,953 | 7,885,279 | 46,161,752 | Loznica | 47,380,065 | 13,497,037 | 1,031,230 | 3,667,215 | 29,184,583 | Opovo | 11,373,080 | 1,002,152 | 286,947 | 667,387 | 9 |
| Zvezdara | 21,575,227 | 2,914,407 | 410,458 | 4,965,412 | 13,284,949 | Krupanj | 3,659,720 | 2,466,907 | 28,535 | 559,809 | 604,468 | Pancevo | 79,584,670 | 6,138,773 | 2,020,935 | 4,088,017 | 67,336,946 |
| Jagodina | 83,324,788 | 8,969,650 | 1,810,049 | 2,938,502 | 69,606,587 | Ljubovija | 9,929,135 | 1,217,760 | 427,473 | 489,690 | 7,794,213 | Paracin | 34,335,229 | 12,023,818 | 1,712,517 | 3,649,784 | 16,949,111 |
| Jagodina | 59,445,674 | 6,686,620 | 1,075,807 | 2,414,630 | 49,268,616 | Loznica | 33,791,210 | 9,812,370 | 575,221 | 2,617,716 | 20,785,902 | Cuprija | 2,902,858 | 953,758 | 103,858 | 393,857 | 1,451,385 |
| Rekovac | 5,829,022 | 834,417 | 304,368 | 179,518 | 4,510,719 | Negotin | 45,140,070 | 19,919,057 | 2,439,181 | 1,951,036 | 20,830,796 | ot | 9,581,3 | 256, | 377,04 | 55,943 | ,291,594 |
| Svilajnac | 18,050,092 | 1,448,613 | 429,874 | 344,354 | 15,827,252 | Kladovo | 10,907,930 | 3,400,833 | 410,892 | 325,378 | 6,770,827 | Paracin | 21,850,983 | 8,813,251 | 1,231,616 | 2,599,984 | 9,206,131 |
| Kikinda | 115,780,527 | 35,367,507 | 4,437,714 | 5,866,546 | 70,108,761 | Majdanpek | 15,541,570 | 3,353,072 | 726,582 | 627,521 | 10,834,395 | Pirot | 69,712,91 | 8,664,86 | 4,442,869 | ,772, | 59 |
| Coka | 14,170,886 | 2,889,945 | 314,205 | 888,399 | 10,078,338 | Negotin | 18,690,570 | 13,165,152 | 1,301,707 | 998,137 | 3,225,575 | Babušnica | 14,177,940 | 3,612,639 | 897,294 | 240,492 | 9,427,515 |
| Kikinda | 78,383,062 | 27,950,114 | 3,222,765 | 4,476,705 | 42,733,478 | Nis | 296,640,449 | 95,543,853 | 10,210,707 | 16,711,755 | 174,174,134 | nitrovgr | 2,543, | 12,069,52 | 2,419,842 | 1,328,204 | 26,725,840 |
| Nova Crnja | 8,577,729 | 1,715,056 | 100,562 | 108,095 | 6,654,016 | Aleksinac | 18,524,737 | 3,070,183 | 93,336 | 1,066,683 | 14,294,535 | Pirot | 12,991,559 | 2,982,697 | 1,125,733 | 203,827 | 8,679,304 |
| Novi Kneževac | 14,648,850 | 2,812,393 | 800,182 | 393,347 | 10,642,928 | City of Niš | 23,213,540 | 14,743,252 | 870,327 | 176,600 | 7,423,361 | Pozarevac | 108,790,759 | 16,622,074 | 5,256,278 | 3,412,812 | 83,499,596 |
| Kragujevac | 385,374,601 | 84,461,290 | 6,371,093 | 39,403,749 | 255,138,469 | Doljevac | 11,752,980 | 5,455,194 | 56,448 | 348,169 | 5,893,169 | Zabari | 4,243,616 | 625,844 | 40,569 | 124,169 | 3,453,034 |
| Arandelovac | 53,232,558 | 9,636,376 | 1,846,892 | 8,756,459 | 32,992,830 | Gadžin Han | 232,236,397 | 70,009,174 | 8,703,025 | 14,225,200 | 139,298,998 | Zagubica | 7,497,165 | 914,672 | 88,497 | 180,436 | 6,313,559 |
| Batocina | 6,137,697 | 4,470,701 | 76,411 | 668,438 | 922,146 | Ražanj | 1,327,056 | 305,229 | 2,734 | 106,045 | 913,048 | City of Požarevac | 640,571 | 177,282 | 32,860 | 70,418 | 360,010 |
| Knic | 16,356,063 | 3,671,231 | 149,809 | 1,659,600 | 10,875,423 | Svrljig | 9,585,739 | 1,960,820 | 484,837 | 789,058 | 6,351,024 | lubac | 7,003,297 | 929,969 | 3,474 | 290,395 | 5,779,459 |
| Kragujevac | 284,163,719 | 59,102,671 | 2,935,380 | 26,717,666 | 195,408,002 | Novi Pazar | 135,857,893 | 19,149,912 | 2,257,841 | 7,383,154 | 107,066,986 |  | , | 2,276,480 | 244,9 | 1,65 | 18,715,301 |
| Raca | 4,682,785 | 2,436,698 | 45,959 | 321,597 | 1,878,532 | Mali Zvornik | 10,837,766 | 4,952,901 | 104,431 | 356,304 | 5,424,131 | Malo Crnice | 1,420,622 | 44,282 | 40,336 | 90,612 | 45,392 |
| Topola | 20,801,780 | 5,143,613 | 1,316,641 | 1,279,989 | 13,061,537 | Novi Pazar | 98,053,380 | 10,083,656 | 1,210,370 | 5,175,220 | 81,584,133 | Petrovac na Mlavi | 8,290,608 | 733,661 | 37,3 | 115,279 | 7,304,312 |
| Kraljevo | 102,680,831 | 18,257,972 | 3,479,153 | 12,600,218 | 68,343,488 | Sjenica | 13,669,721 | 1,645,769 | 426,020 | 462,161 | 11,135,771 | ko Gradiste | 58,006,520 | 10,419,884 | 4,668,260 | 2,089,848 | 40,828,528 |
| Kraljevo | 95,280,186 | 14,555,828 | 3,263,620 | 11,269,243 | 66,191,495 | Tutin | 13,297,026 | 2,467,586 | 517,020 | 1,389,469 | 8,922,952 | Pozega | 13,011,503 | 4,333,371 | 279,269 | 1,364,578 | 7,034,285 |
| Raška | 7,400,645 | 3,702,144 | 215,533 | 1,330,975 | 2,151,994 | Novi Sad | 455,393,558 | 62,184,115 | 15,612,905 | 40,819,357 | 336,777,180 | Arilje | 4,133,018 | 1,228,162 | 72,97 | 375,498 | 2,456,387 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ <br> $\stackrel{y}{4}$ <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ivanjica | 4，689，685 | 1，694，100 | 146，109 | 581，743 | 2，267，733 | Smederevsk | 46，774，649 | 6，991，003 | 1，619，555 | 2，643，815 | 35，520，276 | Ljig | 1，296，324 | 610，635 | 24，795 | 182，194 | 478，701 |
| Kosjeric | 685，890 | 379，074 | 17，152 | 84，851 | 204，813 | Palanka |  |  |  |  |  | Mionica | 1，351，151 | 683，742 | 24，005 | 197，663 | 445，741 |
| Požega | 3，502，910 | 1，032，035 | 43，037 | 322，487 | 2，105，351 | elika Plana | 7，426， | ，400， | 1，005，8 | ，500， | 25，519，638 | Osecina | 5，193，784 | 629，148 | 512，741 | 191，774 | 3，860，121 |
| Prijepolje | 34，348，401 | 9，630，120 | 858，300 | 3，021，945 | 20，838，036 | patin | 4，910，846 | 2，638，553 | 1，481，894 | 749，021 | 20，041，378 | Ub | 22，083，268 | 2，320，375 | 1，066，464 | 845，062 | 17，851，367 |
| Nova Varoš | 6，474，312 | 1，642，015 | 73，485 | 759，833 | 3，998，979 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Valjevo | 109，219，302 | 9，172，289 | 5，255，253 | 5，775，193 | 89，016，566 |
| Priboj | 25，998，466 | 7，359，815 | 750，019 | 1，832，729 | 16，055，902 |  | 577 | 825 | ， 39 | ，450，047 | 22，24，035 | Vranje | 153，802，724 | 64，921，080 | 5，290，935 | 7，524，751 | 76，065，958 |
| Prijepolje | 1，875，623 | 628，289 | 34，795 | 429，383 | 783，155 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bujanovac | 39，639，870 | 19，146，676 | 410，198 | 1，437，278 | 18，645，719 |
| Prokuplje | 130，547，686 | 21，777，926 | 3，928，672 | 4，795，750 | 100，045，338 | mska Mitrovica | 286，111，001 | 2，435，777 | 9 | 800，806 | 220，67198，792 | City of Vranje | 51，714，849 | 19，472，500 | 1，915，080 | 2，774，012 | 27，553，258 |
| Žitorada | 13，040，398 | 2，594，328 | 418，978 | 590，812 | 9，436，281 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Preševo | 9，292，972 | 6，616，024 | 207，234 | 373，711 | 2，096，004 |
| Blace | 81，707，057 | 10，854，033 | 2，429，828 | 2，471，814 | 65，951，382 |  | 32 | 560 | 9，814 | 5，806，234 | 22，155，184 | Surdulica | 9，511，120 | 3，068，014 | 429，548 | 862，518 | 5，151，040 |
| Merošina | 32，229，580 | 6，132，146 | 1，026，630 | 1，396，488 | 23，674，316 |  | 5，291，953 | ，06， | 134，478 | 950，781 | 800，31 | Trgovište | 39，526，768 | 14，302，457 | 2，222，167 | 1，946，582 | 21，055，562 |
| Prokuplje | 3，570，650 | 2，197，419 | 53，236 | 336，636 | 983，359 | ci | 93，187，842 | 7，915，267 | 3，873，001 | 6，669，193 | 74，730，380 | Vladicin Han | 4，117，144 | 2，315，410 | 106，710 | 130，651 | 1，564，374 |
| Sabac | 82，780，003 | 9，846，984 | 2，294，877 | 7，602，015 | 63，036，126 |  |  |  |  |  | 62，961，601 | Vrsac | 70，718，113 | 7，942，711 | 2，460，510 | 5，147，914 | 55，166，978 |
| Šabac | 7，677，006 | 1，344，664 | 254，057 | 1，095，158 | 4，983，128 | ska Mitrovica | 52，558 | 4，271，093 | 2，812，469 | 00，240 | 33，268，756 | Bela Crkva | 20，089，745 | 2，566，329 | 1，519，247 | 1，807，571 | 14，196，598 |
| Bogatic | 9，583，355 | 1，130，788 | 203，828 | 611，221 | 7，637，519 | Stara Pazova | 29，882，310 | ，689， | 614，824 | 980，576 | 25，596，96 | Plandište | 11，077，310 | 878，335 | 178，310 | 606，303 | 9，414，361 |
| Koceljeva | 2，916，927 | 325，611 | 12，790 | 477，712 | 2，100，813 |  |  |  |  |  | 108，101，014 | Vršac | 39，551，059 | 4，498，047 | 762，953 | 2，734，040 | 31，556，019 |
| Vladimirci | 62，602，715 | 7，045，922 | 1，824，203 | 5，417，925 | 48，314，666 |  |  | 1，62， 4 ， 6 | 7，476 |  |  | Zajecar | 97，870，530 | 27，857，247 | 6，003，092 | 4，369，787 | 59，640，404 |
| 2nd Basic Court in Belgrade | 150，934，158 | 32，264，424 | 7，051，321 | 15，931，374 | 95，687，040 | Backa Topola | 28，782，088 | 2，076，746 | 320，286 | 1，057，463 | 25，327，592 | Boljevac | 11，435，122 | 1，142，071 | 289，427 | 213，935 | 9，789，689 |
| Barajevo | 7，499，267 | 831，687 | 203，174 | 878，354 | 5，586，052 | Kanjiža | 28，891，490 | 1，844，884 | 1，084，248 | 805，363 | 25，156，996 | Knjaževac | 4，717，082 | 51 | 53， | 142，4 | 3，869，110 |
| Grocka | 9，169，109 | 1，144，459 | 227，471 | 1，208，687 | 6，588，491 | Mali Idoš | 58，017，881 | 27，654，372 | 1，385，198 | 2，092，922 | 26，885，389 | Sokobanja | 64，364，456 | 20，423，139 | 4，811，967 | 2，258，072 | 36，871，279 |
| Lazarevac | 27，272，073 | 3，960，256 | 516，351 | 2，508，562 | 20，286，904 | Senta | 21，571，902 | 1，311，808 | 3，865，334 | 358，029 | 16，036，731 | Zajecar | 17，353，870 | 5，740，100 | 748，130 | 1，755，314 | 9，110，327 |
| Mladenovac | 25，916，128 | 3，923，913 | 1，851，874 | 3，387，196 | 16，753，145 | Subotica | 8，917，467 | 464，414 | 44，538 | 337，311 | 8，071，205 | Zrenjanin | 170，848，737 | 13，707，792 | 9，092，958 | 14，083，670 | 133，964，317 |
| Obrenovac | 39，473，331 | 5，013，308 | 2，184，106 | 4，986，512 | 27，289，405 | Uzice | 22，864，773 | 2，959，201 | 1，196，092 | 1，562，575 | 17，146，905 |  | 35，333，121 | 355，2 | 2，711，941 | 2，379，147 | 29，886，782 |
| Sopot | 8，826，745 | 841，371 | 503，366 | 850，375 | 6，631，633 | Bajina Bašta | 7，755，773 | 792，958 | 514，491 | 389，536 | 6，058，788 | Novi Becej | 19，758，389 | 1，694，454 | 922，434 | 1，485，340 | 15，656，161 |
| Surcin | 32，777，506 | 16，549，429 | 1，564，979 | 2，111，688 | 12，551，411 | Cajetina | 4，823，419 | 834，222 | 77，738 | 596，788 | 3，314，670 | Secanj | 80，02 | 9，550，1 | 4，517，464 | 8，371，4 | 2，740，982 |
| Smederevo | 130，336，367 | 36，184，523 | 4，709，574 | 5，621，756 | 83，820，514 | Užice | 10，285，581 | 1，332，021 | 603，863 | 576，251 | 7，773，446 | Zrenjanin | 20，577，203 | 2，107，928 | 941，118 | 1，847，764 | 15，680，393 |
| Smederevo | 46，135，427 | 19，793，132 | 2，084，130 | 1，477，564 | 22，780，600 | Valjevo | 140，739，290 | 14，227，082 | 6，893，842 | 7，420，347 | 112，198，019 | Grand Total | 4，846，425，910 | 937，717，788 | 173，719，630 | 348，326，536 | 3，386，661，956 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ajkovac | 1，595，462 | 810，893 | 10，584 | 228，462 | 545，524 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table CP3B: Basic Court Program Distributed Costs 50\% Tariff -- Estimation of Target Population Case Costs and Potential Legal Aid Program Cost


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kosjeric | 342，945 | 189，537 | 8，576 | 42，426 | 102，406Velika Plana | 18，713，146 | 4，700，194 | 502，945 | 750，188 | 12，759，819Mionica | 675，575 | 341，871 | 12，002 | 98，831 | 222，870 |
| Požega | 1，751，455 | 516，018 | 21，518 | 161，243 | 1，052，676Sombor | 74，469，256 | 6，738，204 | 3，366，850 | 2，311，564 | 62，052，638Osecina | 2，596，892 | 314，574 | 256，370 | 95，887 | 1，930，061 |
| Prijepolje | 17，174，200 | 4，815，060 | 429，150 | 1，510，972 | 10，419，018 Apatin | 12，455，423 | 1，319，277 | 740，947 | 374，510 | 10，020，689 Ub | 11，041，634 | 1，160，187 | 533，232 | 422，531 | 8，925，683 |
| Nova Varoš | 3，237，156 | 821，008 | 36，742 | 379，916 | 1，999，489Kula | 20，599，012 | 2，069，836 | 1，593，031 | 811，627 | 16，124，517Valjevo | 54，609，651 | 4，586，145 | 2，627，627 | 2，887，597 | 44，508，283 |
| Priboj | 12，999，233 | 3，679，908 | 375，010 | 916，365 | 8，027，951Odžaci | 27，782，788 | 2，298，412 | 687，699 | 725，024 | 24，071，653Vranje | 76，901，362 | 32，460，540 | 2，645，468 | 3，762，375 | 38，032，979 |
| Prijepolje | 937，811 | 314，145 | 17，398 | 214，691 | 391，578Sombor | 13，632，033 | 1，050，679 | 345，173 | 400，403 | 11，835，778Bujanovac | 19，819，935 | 9，573，338 | 205，099 | 718，639 | 9，322，859 |
| Prokuplje | 65，273，843 | 10，888，963 | 1，964，336 | 2，397，875 | 50，022，669Sremska Mitrovica | 143，055，501 | 16，217，888 | 6，318，729 | 10，419，487 | 110，099，396City of Vranje | 25，857，424 | 9，736，250 | 957，540 | 1，387，006 | 13，776，629 |
| Žitorada | 6，520，199 | 1，297，164 | 209，489 | 295，406 | 4，718，140Šid | 17，541，262 | 2，604，225 | 956，124 | 2，903，117 | 11，077，797Preševo | 4，646，486 | 3，308，012 | 103，617 | 186，855 | 1，048，002 |
| Blace | 40，853，529 | 5，427，016 | 1，214，914 | 1，235，907 | 32，975，691 Indija | 2，012，161 | 1，242，780 | 79，907 | 346，882 | 342，592Surdulica | 4，755，560 | 1，534，007 | 214，774 | 431，259 | 2，575，520 |
| Merošina | 16，114，790 | 3，066，073 | 513，315 | 698，244 | 11，837，158 ${ }^{\text {Irig }}$ | 2，645，977 | 1，703，191 | 67，239 | 475，390 | 400，156Trgovište | 19，763，384 | 7，151，228 | 1，111，083 | 973，291 | 10，527，781 |
| Prokuplje | 1，785，325 | 1，098，709 | 26，618 | 168，318 | 491，680Pecinci | 46，593，921 | 3，957，634 | 1，936，501 | 3，334，597 | 37，365，190Vladicin Han | 2，058，572 | 1，157，705 | 53，355 | 65，325 | 782，187 |
| Sabac | 41，390，001 | 4，923，492 | 1，147，439 | 3，801，008 | 31，518，063Ruma | 37，844，746 | 3，229，540 | 1，565，313 | 1，569，093 | 31，480，800Vrsac | 35，359，057 | 3，971，355 | 1，230，255 | 2，573，957 | 27，583，489 |
| Šabac | 3，838，503 | 672，332 | 127，028 | 547，579 | 2，491，564Sremska Mitrovica | 21，476，279 | 2，135，546 | 1，406，234 | 1，300，120 | 16，634，378Bela Crkva | 10，044，872 | 1，283，164 | 759，624 | 903，786 | 7，098，299 |
| Bogatic | 4，791，678 | 565，394 | 101，914 | 305，610 | 3，818，760Stara Pazova | 14，941，155 | 1，344，972 | 307，412 | 490，288 | 12，798，483Plandište | 5，538，655 | 439，167 | 89，155 | 303，152 | 4，707，181 |
| Koceljeva | 1，458，463 | 162，806 | 6，395 | 238，856 | 1，050，407Subotica | 77，160，255 | 17，307，294 | 3，378，540 | 2，423，914 | 54，050，507 Vršac | 19，775，529 | 2，249，023 | 381，476 | 1，367，020 | 15，778，010 |
| Vladimirci | 31，301，357 | 3，522，961 | 912，101 | 2，708，962 | 24，157，333Ada | 4，069，841 | 631，182 | 28，738 | 98，371 | 3，311，551Zajecar | 48，935，265 | 13，928，624 | 3，001，546 | 2，184，893 | 29，820，202 |
| 2nd Basic Court in Belgrade | 75，467，079 | 16，132，212 | 3，525，660 | 7，965，687 | $47,843,520$ <br> Backa Topola | 14，391，044 | 1，038，373 | 160，143 | 528，732 | 12，663，796Boljevac | 5，717，561 | 571，036 | 144，714 | 106，967 | 4，894，844 |
| Barajevo | 3，749，633 | 415，843 | 101，587 | 439，177 | $2,793,026 \text { Kanjiža }$ | 14，445，745 | 922，442 | 542，124 | 402，681 | 12，578，498Knjaževac | 2，358，541 | 275，969 | 76，784 | 71，234 | 1，934，555 |
| Grocka | 4，584，555 | 572，230 | 113，735 | 604，344 | $3,294,246 \text { Mali Idoš }$ | 29，008，940 | 13，827，186 | 692，599 | 1，046，461 | 13，442，695Sokobanja | 32，182，228 | 10，211，569 | 2，405，983 | 1，129，036 | 18，435，639 |
| Lazarevac | 13，636，036 | 1，980，128 | 258，176 | 1，254，281 | $10,143,452 \text { Senta }$ | 10，785，951 | 655，904 | 1，932，667 | 179，015 | 8，018，366Zajecar | 8，676，935 | 2，870，050 | 374，065 | 877，657 | 4，555，163 |
| Mladenovac | 12，958，064 | 1，961，957 | 925，937 | 1，693，598 | $8,376,572 \text { Subotica }$ | 4，458，734 | 232，207 | 22，269 | 168，655 | 4，035，602Zrenjanin | 85，424，369 | 6，853，896 | 4，546，479 | 7，041，835 | 66，982，159 |
| Obrenovac | 19，736，665 | 2，506，654 | 1，092，053 | 2，493，256 | $13,644,702 \text { Uzice }$ | 11，432，387 | 1，479，601 | 598，046 | 781，288 | 8，573，452Žitište | 17，666，561 | 177，626 | 1，355，971 | 1，189，573 | 14，943，391 |
| Sopot | 4，413，372 | 420，686 | 251，683 | 425，187 | $3,315,816{ }^{\text {Bajina Bašta }}$ | 3，877，886 | 396，479 | 257，245 | 194，768 | 3，029，394Novi Becej | 9，879，195 | 847，227 | 461，217 | 742，670 | 7，828，080 |
| Surcin | 16，388，753 | 8，274，715 | 782，489 | 1，055，844 | 6,275,705 Cajetina | 2，411，709 | 417，111 | 38，869 | 298，394 | 1，657，335Secanj | 47，590，012 | 4，775，079 | 2，258，732 | 4，185，710 | 36，370，491 |
| Smederevo | 65，168，184 | 18，092，262 | 2，354，787 | 2，810，878 | 41，910，257 ${ }^{\text {Užice }}$ | 5，142，791 | 666，010 | 301，931 | 288，126 | 3，886，723Zrenjanin | 10，288，601 | 1，053，964 | 470，559 | 923，882 | 7，840，196 |
| Smederevo | 23，067，713 | 9，896，566 | 1，042，065 | 738，782 | 11，390，300 ${ }^{\text {Valjevo }}$ | 70，369，645 | 7，113，541 | 3，446，921 | 3，710，174 | 56，099，010Grand Total | 2，423，212，955 468，858，894 86，859，815 174，163，268 1，693，330，978 |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevska |  |  |  |  | Lajkovac | 797，731 | 405，446 | 5，292 | 114，231 | 272，762 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Palanka | 23，387，324 | 3，495，501 | 809，777 | 1，321，908 | 17，760，138Ljig | 648，162 | 305，318 | 12，397 | 91，097 | 239，350 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table CP3C: Basic Court Program Distributed Costs Hourly -- Estimation of Target Population Case Costs and Potential Legal Aid Program Cost

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bor | 4,457,358 | 499,018 | 404,655 | 229,547 | 3,324,138 | Aleksandrovac | 1,188,204 | 194,793 | 161,790 | 36,273 | 795,347 | Backa Palanka | 7,258,082 | 734,548 | 1,467,427 | 99,027 | 4,957,080 |
| Bor | 4,457,358 | 499,018 | 404,655 | 229,547 | 3,324,138 | Brus | 1,047,306 | 254,566 | 123,733 | 31,375 | 637,631 | Becej | 3,402,960 | 444,768 | 162,094 | 172,467 | 2,623,630 |
| Cacak | 10,709,223 | 1,940,032 | 1,084,869 | 331,077 | 7,353,245 | Cicevac | 317,472 | 243,892 | 13,995 | 5,022 | 54,564 | Beocin | 23,716,586 | 4,060,318 | 1,374,411 | 1,564,191 | 16,717,666 |
| Cacak | 8,225,651 | 1,452,402 | 784,743 | 256,075 | 5,732,430 | Kruševac | 9,794,859 | 1,722,769 | 1,085,050 | 274,210 | 6,712,829 | City of Novi Sad | 3,249,587 | 367,527 | 202,011 | 62,259 | 2,617,790 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 1,443,949 | 282,268 | 170,831 | 43,101 | 947,749 | Lapovo | 462,098 | 272,680 | 24,681 | 15,777 | 148,959 | Srbobran | 1,874,050 | 499,136 | 82,707 | 97,732 | 1,194,475 |
| Lucani | 1,039,623 | 205,362 | 129,294 | 31,900 | 673,067 | Trstenik | 1,834,726 | 398,185 | 162,124 | 64,484 | 1,209,933 | Sremski Karlovci | 980,103 | 101,095 | 45,104 | 17,864 | 816,041 |
| 1st Basic Court in Belgrade | 72,229,811 | 12,144,434 | 4,802,756 | 4,119,696 | 51,162,925 | Varvarin | 417,265 | 364,474 | 11,395 | 6,103 | 35,293 | Temerin | 2,129,799 | 127,634 | 511,866 | 26,372 | 1,463,926 |
| Cukarica | 11,378,387 | 1,129,349 | 1,068,938 | 534,092 | 8,646,009 | Leskovac | 27,445,118 | 9,174,190 | 2,701,207 | 532,684 | 15,037,037 | Titel | 7,414,685 | 1,012,553 | 551,599 | 171,526 | 5,679,007 |
| Novi Beograd | 5,786,458 | 1,630,831 | 227,897 | 535,922 | 3,391,807 | Bojnik | 1,210,883 | 379,550 | 191,697 | 15,243 | 624,393 | Vrbas | 3,876,957 | 823,636 | 160,317 | 235,235 | 2,657,769 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 19,779,339 | 3,816,703 | 432,959 | 817,975 | 14,711,702 | Crna Trava | 54,821 | 36,639 | 3,197 | 799 | 14,185 | Pancevo | 17,368,029 | 1,910,178 | 1,223,789 | 464,824 | 13,769,238 |
| Rakovica | 5,195,998 | 636,219 | 681,460 | 300,881 | 3,577,437 | Lebane | 2,197,031 | 760,506 | 382,612 | 30,423 | 1,023,489 | Alibunar | 938,553 | 459,641 | 116,070 | 33,976 | 328,866 |
| Savski venac | 1,251,524 | 179,153 | 157,989 | 84,725 | 829,657 | Leskovac | 18,790,283 | 4,647,454 | 1,601,315 | 428,444 | 12,113,071 | Kovacica | 1,624,956 | 149,331 | 196,239 | 39,508 | 1,239,877 |
| Stari grad | 2,268,877 | 541,326 | 32,724 | 116,014 | 1,578,813 | Medveda | 1,226,860 | 278,299 | 404,942 | 25,576 | 518,044 | Kovin | 3,563,902 | 296,078 | 203,582 | 98,961 | 2,965,282 |
| Voždovac | 2,439,896 | 410,220 | 125,900 | 305,292 | 1,598,485 | Vlasotince | 1,762,635 | 1,648,100 | 16,040 | 12,303 | 86,191 | Opovo | 1,403,140 | 141,059 | 88,015 | 41,033 | 1,133,033 |
| Vracar | 1,377,737 | 345,373 | 45,596 | 128,188 | 858,579 | Vrnjacka Banja | 2,202,605 | 1,423,641 | 101,404 | 19,895 | 657,665 | Pancevo | 9,837,478 | 864,068 | 619,882 | 251,346 | 8,102,181 |
| Zemun | 14,996,633 | 2,043,387 | 1,725,336 | 811,792 | 10,416,117 | Loznica | 5,953,146 | 1,899,787 | 316,310 | 225,474 | 3,511,576 | Paracin | 4,481,473 | 1,692,423 | 525,281 | 224,402 | 2,039,367 |
| Zvezdara | 7,754,963 | 1,411,874 | 303,955 | 484,815 | 5,554,319 | Krupanj | 463,135 | 347,232 | 8,753 | 34,419 | 72,731 | Cuprija | 364,954 | 134,247 | 31,856 | 24,216 | 174,635 |
| Jagodina | 10,373,669 | 1,262,531 | 555,197 | 180,670 | 8,375,271 | Ljubovija | 1,270,457 | 171,407 | 131,119 | 30,108 | 937,823 | Despotovac | 1,230,663 | 317,659 | 115,651 | 40,330 | 757,023 |
| Jagodina | 7,347,770 | 941,181 | 329,983 | ,460 | 8,375,271 | Loznica | 4,219,555 | 1,381,149 | 176,438 | 160,947 | 2,501,021 | Paracin | 2,885,856 | 1,240,517 | 377,774 | 159,856 | 1,107,709 |
| Rekovac | 764,589 | 117,449 | 93,359 | 11,037 | 542,743 | Negotin | 6,178,275 | 2,803,724 | 748,171 | 119,957 | 2,506,423 | Pirot | 9,493,332 | 2,627,189 | 1,362,763 | 108,981 | 5,394,399 |
| Svilajnac | 2,261,310 | 203,901 | 131,855 | 21,172 | 1,904, | Kladovo | 1,439,412 | 478,687 | 126,033 | 20,005 | 814,686 | Babušnica | 1,821,980 | 419,832 | 345,296 | 12,532 | 1,044,320 |
| Kikinda | 15,135,757 | 4,978,185 | 1,361,182 | 360,696 | 8,435,694 | Majdanpek | 2,037,038 | 471,965 | 222,865 | 38,582 | 1,303,627 | Dimitrovgrad | 1,932,860 | 508,500 | 275,227 | 14,786 | 1,134,347 |
| Coka | 1,770,430 | 406,777 | 96,376 | 54,622 |  | Negotin | 2,701,825 | 1,853,073 | 399,273 | 61,369 | 388,111 | Pirot | 5,738,491 | 1,698,857 | 742,239 | 81,663 | 3,215,733 |
| Kikinda | 10,339,726 | 3,934,143 | 988,520 | 275,244 | 5,141,819 | Nis | 38,564,942 | 13,448,359 | 3,131,934 | 1,027,499 | 20,957,149 | Pozarevac | 14,208,666 | 2,339,655 | 1,612,260 | 209,832 | 10,046,919 |
| Nova Crnja | 1,079,527 | 241,404 | 30,846 | 27,244 | 8,800,631 | Aleksinac | 2,246,320 | 432,146 | 28,629 | 65,584 | 1,719,961 | Žabari | 7,939,658 | 1,466,660 | 1,431,897 | 128,491 | 4,912,610 |
| Novi Kneževac | 1,946,074 | 395,861 | 245,440 | 24,184 | 1,280,589 | City of Niš | 30,159,171 | 9,854,203 | 2,669,482 | 874,617 | 16,760,869 | Žagubica | 523,649 | 88,091 | 12,444 | 7,634 | 415,479 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,280,589 | Doljevac | 3,246,214 | 2,075,199 | 266,956 | 10,858 | 893,201 | City of Požarevac | 926,650 | 128,746 | 27,145 | 11,094 | 759,666 |
| Arandelovac | 6,431,049 | 1,356,377 | 566,498 | 538,379 | 3,969,795 | Gadžin Han | 1,237,399 | 275,997 | 148,714 | 48,514 | 764,174 | Golubac | 82,680 | 24,954 | 10,079 | 4,330 | 43,318 |
| Batocina | 804,769 |  |  |  |  | Ražanj | 160,182 | 42,963 | 839 | 6,520 | 109,861 | Kucevo | 845,220 | 130,899 | 1,065 | 17,855 | 695,402 |
| Knic | 1,973,300 | 516,747 | 45,951 | 102,038 | 1,308,563 | Svrljig | 1,515,655 | 767,851 | 17,314 | 21,407 | 709,084 | Malo Crnice | 2,675,204 | 320,428 | 75,126 | 27,769 | 2,251,881 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Novi Pazar | 16,724,572 | 2,695,463 | 692,548 | 453,943 | 12,882,618 | Petrovac na Mlavi | 1,031,363 | 103,267 | 42,131 | 7,088 | 878,876 |
| Raca | 602,880 | 342,980 | 14,097 | 19,773 | 226,031 | Mali Zvornik | 1,403,736 | 697,150 | 32,032 | 21,907 | 652,648 | Veliko Gradište | 184,242 | 76,611 | 12,372 | 5,571 | 89,688 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Novi Pazar | 11,925,228 | 1,419,334 | 371,257 | 318,191 | 9,816,445 | Pozega | 1,625,893 | 609,948 | 85,660 | 83,899 | 846,386 |
| Kraljevo | 12,635,077 | 2,569,917 | 1,067,162 | 774,707 | 8,223,292 | Sjenica | 1,730,629 | 231,652 | 130,673 | 28,415 | 1,339,889 | Arilje | 513,900 | 172,871 | 22,382 | 23,087 | 295,560 |
| Kraljevo | 11,707,101 | 2,048,819 | 1,001,051 | 692,874 | 7,964,357 | Tutin | 1,664,979 | 347,327 | 158,586 | 85,430 | 1,073,636 | Ivanjica | 591,899 | 238,455 | 44,816 | 35,768 | 272,860 |
| Raška | 927,976 | 521,099 | 66,110 | 81,833 | 258,934 | Novi Sad | 56,573,485 | 8,752,780 | 4,788,952 | 2,509,722 | 40,522,031 | Kosjeric | 88,479 | 53,357 | 5,261 | 5,217 | 24,644 |
| Krusevac | 15,061,929 | 3,451,360 | 1,582,768 | 433,244 | 9,594,557 | Žabalj | 419,244 | 110,746 | 34,011 | 12,006 | 262,481 | Požega | 431,615 | 145,265 | 13,201 | 19,828 | 253,322 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Bac | 2,251,433 | 470,819 | 197,406 | 51,042 | 1,532,165 | Prijepolje | 4,311,857 | 1,355,496 | 263,267 | 185,800 | 2,507,294 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nova Varoš | 219,740 | 88,435 | 10,673 | 26,400 | 94,232 | Apatin | 3,283,425 | 371,392 | 454,542 | 46,053 | 2,411,438 | Osecina | 722,082 | 88,556 | 157,273 | 11,791 | 464,461 |
| Priboj | 781,550 | 231,123 | 22,540 | 46,717 | 481,169 | Kula | 5,540,049 | 582,683 | 977,262 | 99,804 | 3,880,300 | Ub | 2,853,610 | 326,606 | 327,117 | 51,957 | 2,147,930 |
| Prijepolje | 3,310,568 | 1,035,937 | 230,054 | 112,683 | 1,931,894 | Odžaci | 3,404,997 | 295,778 | 211,750 | 49,236 | 2,848,232 | Valjevo | 13,968,817 | 1,291,054 | 1,611,946 | 355,080 | 10,710,738 |
| Prokuplje | 16,603,027 | 3,065,371 | 1,205,043 | 294,860 | 12,037,752 | Sombor | 6,950,806 | 647,030 | 421,876 | 89,154 | 5,792,746 | Vranje | 20,376,046 | 9,138,024 | 1,622,891 | 462,649 | 9,152,482 |
| Žitorada | 1,665,407 | 365,167 | 128,513 | 36,325 | 1,135,401 | Sremska Mitrovica | 36,218,047 | 4,565,526 | 3,876,292 | 1,281,256 | 26,494,973 | Bujanovac | 5,152,705 | 2,695,007 | 125,820 | 88,369 | 2,243,508 |
| Blace | 464,647 | 309,300 | 16,329 | 20,698 | 118,321 | Šid | 4,342,479 | 733,120 | 586,544 | 356,988 | 2,665,827 | City of Vranje | 6,814,128 | 2,740,869 | 587,413 | 170,556 | 3,315,290 |
| Merošina | 10,360,513 | 1,527,769 | 745,302 | 151,976 | 7,935,466 | Indija | 523,975 | 349,857 | 49,020 | 42,655 | 82,443 | Preševo | 5,347,912 | 2,013,155 | 681,606 | 119,683 | 2,533,468 |
| Prokuplje | 4,112,459 | 863,136 | 314,899 | 85,861 | 2,848,564 | Irig | 675,470 | 479,468 | 41,248 | 58,457 | 96,296 | Surdulica | 1,269,984 | 931,244 | 63,565 | 22,977 | 252,197 |
| Sabac | 10,142,023 | 1,386,021 | 703,908 | 467,399 | 7,584,694 | Pecinci | 11,703,915 | 1,114,120 | 1,187,967 | 410,046 | 8,991,781 | Trgovište | 554,902 | 325,908 | 32,731 | 8,033 | 188,230 |
| Šabac | 934,115 | 189,269 | 77,927 | 67,334 | 599,585 | Ruma | 3,707,403 | 378,625 | 188,585 | 60,289 | 3,079,903 | Vladicin Han | 1,236,416 | 431,841 | 131,755 | 53,031 | 619,789 |
| Bogatic | 1,178,234 | 159,165 | 62,520 | 37,580 | 918,969 | Sremska Mitrovica | 9,638,085 | 909,154 | 960,258 | 192,947 | 7,575,727 | Vrsac | 8,827,064 | 1,117,983 | 754,713 | 316,512 | 6,637,855 |
| Koceljeva | 7,697,771 | 991,755 | 559,538 | 333,114 | 5,813,364 | Stara Pazova | 5,626,719 | 601,181 | 862,670 | 159,872 | 4,002,996 | Bela Crkva | 2,646,538 | 361,226 | 465,999 | 111,136 | 1,708,177 |
| Vladimirci | 331,902 | 45,832 | 3,923 | 29,371 | 252,776 | Subotica | 20,249,906 | 4,872,207 | 2,072,602 | 298,062 | 13,007,035 | Plandište | 1,348,366 | 123,631 | 54,693 | 37,278 | 1,132,764 |
| 2nd Basic Court in Belgrade | 19,197,129 | 4,541,407 | 2,162,854 | 979,519 | 11,513,349 | Ada | 1,004,322 | 177,685 | 17,630 | 12,096 | 796,911 | Vršac | 4,832,160 | 633,127 | 234,021 | 168,099 | 3,796,914 |
| Barajevo | 905,519 | 117,065 | 62,320 | 54,004 | 672,130 | Backa Topola | 3,503,064 | 292,314 | 98,242 | 65,017 | 3,047,491 | Zajecar | 13,207,183 | 3,921,071 | 1,841,331 | 268,670 | 7,176,111 |
| Grocka | 1,097,923 | 161,089 | 69,772 | 74,314 | 792,747 | Kanjiža | 3,321,860 | 184,645 | 1,185,615 | 22,013 | 1,929,587 | Boljevac | 1,440,607 | 160,753 | 88,776 | 13,153 | 1,177,924 |
| Lazarevac | 3,311,026 | 557,429 | 158,381 | 154,235 | 2,440,981 | Mali Idoš | 1,070,921 | 65,369 | 13,661 | 20,739 | 971,151 | Knjaževac | 2,241,532 | 807,953 | 229,474 | 107,923 | 1,096,182 |
| Mladenovac | 3,344,385 | 552,314 | 568,026 | 208,257 | 2,015,788 | Senta | 3,668,731 | 259,678 | 332,572 | 49,517 | 3,026,964 | Sokobanja | 599,095 | 77,688 | 47,104 | 8,759 | 465,543 |
| Obrenovac | 4,965,715 | 705,653 | 669,931 | 306,589 | 3,283,542 | Subotica | 7,681,008 | 3,892,516 | 424,882 | 128,680 | 3,234,930 | Zajecar | 8,925,950 | 2,874,677 | 1,475,976 | 138,834 | 4,436,462 |
| Sopot | 1,123,048 | 118,428 | 154,398 | 52,284 | 797,938 | Uzice | 2,942,642 | 416,525 | 366,878 | 96,073 | 2,063,167 | Zrenjanin | 21,703,438 | 1,929,452 | 2,789,086 | 865,915 | 16,118,985 |
| Surcin | 4,449,513 | 2,329,430 | 480,026 | 129,834 | 1,510,223 | Bajina Bašta | 1,343,467 | 187,490 | 185,223 | 35,430 | 935,324 | Žitište | 4,624,183 | 50,004 | 831,835 | 146,279 | 3,596,066 |
| Smederevo | 16,968,933 | 5,093,185 | 1,444,569 | 345,646 | 10,085,533 | Cajetina | 1,022,385 | 111,614 | 157,810 | 23,950 | 729,011 | Novi Becej | 2,496,563 | 238,504 | 282,939 | 91,324 | 1,883,796 |
| Smederevo | 6,257,141 | 2,786,000 | 639,266 | 90,846 | 2,741,030 | Užice | 576,790 | 117,422 | 23,845 | 36,693 | 398,831 | Secanj | 2,585,691 | 296,703 | 288,670 | 113,607 | 1,886,711 |
| Smederevska |  |  |  |  |  | Valjevo | 18,073,325 | 2,002,546 | 2,114,551 | 456,230 | 13,499,999 | Zrenjanin | 11,997,001 | 1,344,241 | 1,385,643 | 514,705 | 8,752,411 |
| Palanka | 5,917,248 | 984,025 | 496,767 | 162,551 | 4,273,905 | Lajkovac | 197,070 | 114,138 | 3,246 | 14,047 | 65,639 | Grand Total | 614,183,988 | 131,989,296 | 53,285,085 | 21,416,376 | 407,493,231 |
| Velika Plana | 4,794,544 | 1,323,160 | 308,537 | 92,249 | 3,070,599 | Ljig | 162,356 | 85,950 | 7,605 | 11,202 | 57,599 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | 19,179,276 | 1,896,884 | 2,065,430 | 284,247 | 14,932,716 | Mionica | 169,390 | 96,241 | 7,363 | 12,153 | 53,633 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table CP4A: High Court Program Distributed Costs 100\% Tariff - Estimation of Target Population Costs and Potential Legal Aid Program Costs

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Court in Belgrade | 879,908,633 | 266,240,025 | 2,043,855 | 611,624,752 | Knic | 6,372,824 | 1,038,638 | 5,545 | 5,328,641 | Svrljig | 6,110,100 | 3,373,892 | 1,483 | 2,734,726 |
| 1st Basic Court in Belgrade | 404,826,949 | 67,629,724 | 678,377 | 336,518,848 | Kragujevac | 94,695,250 | 14,388,987 | 82,936 | 80,223,327 | Higher Court in Novi Pazar | 64,713,599 | 6,545,343 | 182,815 | 57,985,441 |
| Cukarica | 64,021,206 | 6,224,448 | 145,350 | 57,651,407 | Raca | 2,274,832 | 1,112,608 | 2,049 | 1,160,175 | Novi Pazar | 64,713,599 | 6,545,343 | 182,815 | 57,985,441 |
| Novi Beograd | 36,387,984 | 9,993,027 | 33,857 | 26,361,100 | Topola | 8,096,805 | 1,588,997 | 46,943 | 6,460,865 | Mali Zvornik | 5,599,323 | 2,007,339 | 10,566 | 3,581,418 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 96,083,939 | 17,713,182 | 50,203 | 78,320,554 | Higher Court in Kraljevo | 70,804,648 | 17,823,565 | 71,714 | 52,909,369 | Novi Pazar | 44,755,225 | 3,241,776 | 96,594 | 41,416,854 |
| Rakovica | 30,031,093 | 4,063,133 | 107,370 | 25,860,590 | Kraljevo | 70,804,648 | 17,823,565 | 71,714 | 52,909,369 | Sjenica | 6,740,603 | 614,758 | 40,078 | 6,085,767 |
| Savski venac | 7,996,599 | 1,225,093 | 31,097 | 6,740,409 | Kraljevo | 63,837,548 | 14,242,343 | 67,404 | 49,527,801 | Tutin | 7,618,448 | 681,469 | 35,577 | 6,901,402 |
| Stari grad | 14,033,563 | 3,407,004 | 5,391 | 10,621,169 | Raska | 6,967,100 | 3,581,222 | 4,310 | 3,381,568 | Higher Court in Novi Sad | 240,602,852 | 27,994,889 | 478,864 | 212,129,099 |
| Voždovac | 18,489,712 | 3,274,164 | 23,044 | 15,192,504 | Higher Court in Krusevac | 50,047,572 | 7,766,496 | 125,633 | 42,155,442 | Novi Sad | 240,602,852 | 27,994,889 | 478,864 | 212,129,099 |
| Vracar | 9,950,000 | 2,748,037 | 7,532 | 7,194,431 | Krusevac | 50,047,572 | 7,766,496 | 125,633 | 42,155,442 | Zabalj | 16,992,738 | 2,565,595 | 15,376 | 14,411,766 |
| Zemun | 83,062,747 | 11,036,154 | 230,142 | 71,796,451 | Aleksandrovac | 4,558,788 | 325,431 | 10,662 | 4,222,695 | Bac | 2,035,833 | 425,146 | 4,048 | 1,606,639 |
| Zvezdara | 44,770,107 | 7,945,483 | 44,390 | 36,780,234 | Brus | 4,111,732 | 663,493 | 11,974 | 3,436,266 | Backa Palanka | 9,154,856 | 1,437,517 | 18,687 | 7,698,653 |
| 2nd Basic Court in Belgrade | 475,081,684 | 198,610,301 | 1,365,478 | 275,105,904 | Cicevac | 1,005,354 | 748,745 | 1,800 | 254,808 | Becej | 32,960,677 | 2,592,244 | 161,871 | 30,206,562 |
| Barajevo | 19,983,220 | 6,684,442 | 43,995 | 13,254,783 | Krusevac | 31,805,702 | 3,394,555 | 85,198 | 28,325,949 | Beocin | 14,633,163 | 1,410,732 | 14,072 | 13,208,359 |
| Grocka | 24,548,281 | 8,678,961 | 47,699 | 15,821,621 | Lapovo | 1,470,337 | 728,529 | 2,641 | 739,167 | City of Novi Sad | 103,238,269 | 13,066,366 | 136,982 | 90,034,922 |
| Lazarevac | 68,823,984 | 20,094,507 | 64,703 | 48,664,775 | Trstenik | 5,696,300 | 718,591 | 11,836 | 4,965,872 | Srbobran | 10,896,451 | 861,493 | 14,742 | 10,020,215 |
| Mladenovac | 87,425,298 | 33,425,033 | 431,959 | 53,568,306 | Varvarin | 1,399,358 | 1,187,152 | 1,521 | 210,685 | Sremski Karlovci | 9,463,389 | 2,568,508 | 8,737 | 6,886,144 |
| Obrenovac | 122,837,602 | 39,638,853 | 452,636 | 82,746,113 | Higher Court in Leskovac | 87,999,025 | 25,089,408 | 160,593 | 62,749,024 | Temerin | 4,415,811 | 246,651 | 4,255 | 4,164,905 |
| Sopot | 23,615,759 | 7,519,764 | 121,946 | 15,974,049 | Leskovac | 87,999,025 | 25,089,408 | 160,593 | 62,749,024 | Titel | 9,882,168 | 353,437 | 58,249 | 9,470,483 |
| Surcin | 127,847,540 | 82,568,742 | 202,540 | 45,076,258 | Bojnik | 4,166,064 | 1,245,975 | 14,702 | 2,905,387 | Vrbas | 26,929,496 | 2,467,199 | 41,844 | 24,420,452 |
| Higher Court in Cacak | 32,360,859 | 3,951,870 | 49,531 | 28,359,458 | Crna Trava | 18,300 | 0 | 0 | 18,300 | Higher Court in Pancevo | 195,884,788 | 37,369,166 | 774,065 | 157,741,557 |
| Cacak | 32,360,859 | 3,951,870 | 49,531 | 28,359,458 | Lebane | 7,695,771 | 2,501,653 | 28,067 | 5,166,051 | Pancevo | 90,302,873 | 11,999,291 | 262,463 | 78,041,119 |
| Cacak | 24,504,017 | 2,941,993 | 35,889 | 21,526,134 | Leskovac | 57,034,103 | 10,204,998 | 78,925 | 46,750,180 | Alibunar | 6,564,073 | 3,827,038 | 33,805 | 2,703,230 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 4,519,890 | 574,425 | 7,956 | 3,937,509 | Medveda | 5,352,979 | 1,123,675 | 31,253 | 4,198,051 | Kovacica | 9,104,585 | 1,027,929 | 48,155 | 8,028,501 |
| Lucani | 3,336,952 | 435,451 | 5,686 | 2,895,815 | Vlasotince | 6,129,890 | 5,545,158 | 1,249 | 583,483 | Kovin | 18,808,846 | 1,396,489 | 35,344 | 17,377,013 |
| Higher Court in Jagodina | 114,513,896 | 35,836,201 | 783,665 | 77,894,030 | Vrnjacka Banja | 7,601,917 | 4,467,949 | 6,397 | 3,127,570 | Opovo | 7,023,309 | 743,184 | 18,188 | 6,261,936 |
| Jagodina | 69,576,754 | 12,034,905 | 423,146 | 57,118,704 | Higher Court in Negotin | 26,891,427 | 11,877,103 | 300,456 | 14,713,868 | Pancevo | 48,802,060 | 5,004,651 | 126,971 | 43,670,439 |
| Jagodina | 48,563,536 | 9,099,472 | 255,269 | 39,208,794 | Negotin | 26,891,427 | 11,877,103 | 300,456 | 14,713,868 | Vrsac | 105,581,915 | 25,369,875 | 511,602 | 79,700,438 |
| Rekovac | 6,482,416 | 1,088,825 | 72,187 | 5,321,404 | Kladovo | 5,830,260 | 1,474,395 | 37,802 | 4,318,062 | Bela Crkva | 36,962,961 | 10,036,066 | 351,145 | 26,575,750 |
| Svilajnac | 14,530,803 | 1,846,609 | 95,689 | 12,588,505 | Majdanpek | 8,274,464 | 1,405,276 | 67,056 | 6,802,132 | Plandiste | 14,082,428 | 2,287,947 | 29,347 | 11,765,134 |
| Paracin | 44,937,141 | 23,801,296 | 360,520 | 20,775,326 | Negotin | 12,786,704 | 8,997,431 | 195,598 | 3,593,674 | Vrsac | 54,536,527 | 13,045,862 | 131,110 | 41,359,554 |
| Cuprija | 3,816,158 | 2,279,857 | 26,447 | 1,509,854 | Higher Court in Nis | 155,666,146 | 60,568,378 | 261,284 | 94,836,485 | Higher Court in Pirot | 29,064,055 | 3,433,179 | 21,993 | 25,608,884 |
| Despotovac | 10,451,848 | 3,704,629 | 66,294 | 6,680,925 | Nis | 155,666,146 | 60,568,378 | 261,284 | 94,836,485 | Pirot | 29,064,055 | 3,433,179 | 21,993 | 25,608,884 |
| Paracin | 30,669,135 | 17,816,810 | 267,778 | 12,584,547 | Aleksinac | 10,342,253 | 1,678,756 | 2,106 | 8,661,391 | Babusnica | 6,324,534 | 621,306 | 6,052 | 5,697,177 |
| Higher Court in Kragujevac | 134,621,446 | 22,992,803 | 204,254 | 111,424,389 | City of Nis | 119,798,989 | 45,367,745 | 220,471 | 74,210,773 | Dimitrovgrad | 6,169,944 | 641,988 | 4,408 | 5,523,549 |
| Kragujevac | 134,621,446 | 22,992,803 | 204,254 | 111,424,389 | Doljevac | 13,260,155 | 8,577,308 | 20,438 | 4,662,409 | Pirot | 16,569,578 | 2,169,885 | 11,534 | 14,388,158 |
| Arandelovac | 20,511,628 | 2,804,274 | 62,494 | 17,644,860 | Gadzin Han | 5,396,196 | 1,335,941 | 16,667 | 4,043,588 | Higher Court in Pozarevac | 64,236,295 | 14,125,639 | 419,307 | 49,691,349 |
| Batocina | 2,670,106 | 2,059,298 | 4,286 | 606,521 | Razanj | 758,453 | 234,736 | 119 | 523,598 | Pozarevac | 64,236,295 | 14,125,639 | 419,307 | 49,691,349 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zabari | 4,469,088 | 500,205 | 6,539 | 3,962,344 | Irig | 1,538,402 | 745,324 | 4,979 | 788,099 | Surdulica | 2,171,619 | 217,623 | 4,729 | 1,949,267 |
| Zagubica | 854,052 | 438,957 | 3,178 | 411,918 | Pecinci | 1,768,664 | 784,992 | 1,610 | 982,062 | Trgoviste | 1,163,660 | 57,000 | 1,822 | 1,104,838 |
| City of Pozarevac | 36,947,335 | 9,790,444 | 384,126 | 26,772,766 | Ruma | 32,319,266 | 1,114,846 | 39,555 | 31,164,866 | Vladicin Han | 3,076,517 | 74,490 | 7,234 | 2,994,792 |
| Golubac | 2,161,834 | 379,106 | 1,941 | 1,780,787 | Sremska Mitrovica | 31,392,657 | 909,952 | 32,797 | 30,449,908 | Higher Court in Zajecar ${ }^{2}$ | 58,074,424 | 0 | 0 | 58,074,424 |
| Kucevo | 4,164,217 | 660,757 | 4,731 | 3,498,729 | Stara Pazova | 14,433,193 | 636,220 | 30,790 | 13,766,183 | Bor | 21,123,117 | 0 | 0 | 21,123,117 |
| Malo Crnice | 484,611 | 203,444 | 3,457 | 277,710 | Higher Court in Subotica | 81,890,335 | 19,569,196 | 249,720 | 62,071,419 | Bor | 21,123,117 | 0 | 0 | 21,123,117 |
| Petrovac na Mlavi | 3,991,204 | 749,315 | 0 | 3,241,889 | Subotica | 81,890,335 | 19,569,196 | 249,720 | 62,071,419 | Zajecar | 36,951,307 | 0 | 0 | 36,951,307 |
| Veliko Gradiste | 11,163,953 | 1,403,411 | 15,336 | 9,745,206 | Ada | 4,309,450 | 612,894 | 2,252 | 3,694,304 | Boljevac | 5,094,268 | 0 | 0 | 5,094,268 |
| Higher Court in Prokuplje | 50,781,884 | 6,029,206 | 164,664 | 44,588,014 | Backa Topola | 13,812,381 | 891,874 | 8,730 | 12,911,777 | Knjazevac | 5,840,976 | 0 | 0 | 5,840,976 |
| Prokuplje | 50,781,884 | 6,029,206 | 164,664 | 44,588,014 | Kanjiza | 14,033,458 | 895,740 | 160,890 | 12,976,828 | Sokobanja | 1,751,855 | 0 | 0 | 1,751,855 |
| Zitorada | 11,849,822 | 1,644,804 | 46,110 | 10,158,909 | Mali Idos | 4,645,183 | 198,162 | 1,771 | 4,445,250 | Zajecar | 24,264,208 | 0 | 0 | 24,264,208 |
| Blace | 5,010,033 | 791,547 | 21,405 | 4,197,081 | Senta | 13,847,132 | 836,322 | 28,688 | 12,982,121 | Higher Court in Zrenjanin | 259,300,696 | 64,280,883 | 726,481 | 194,293,333 |
| Merosina | 1,620,334 | 1,122,691 | 4,554 | 493,089 | Subotica | 31,242,731 | 16,134,203 | 47,389 | 15,061,139 | Kikinda | 141,948,401 | 54,302,780 | 393,786 | 87,251,834 |
| Prokuplje | 32,301,695 | 2,470,164 | 92,595 | 29,738,935 | Higher Court in Uzice | 66,137,726 | 16,368,829 | 439,569 | 49,329,328 | Coka | 15,935,312 | 4,578,548 | 27,314 | 11,329,449 |
| Higher Court in Sabac | 108,960,251 | 34,123,761 | 299,531 | 74,536,960 | Pozega | 11,888,815 | 3,664,602 | 61,865 | 8,162,348 | Kikinda | 101,925,723 | 43,334,214 | 289,297 | 58,302,212 |
| Loznica | 52,010,983 | 24,508,252 | 135,749 | 27,366,981 | Arilje | 3,573,375 | 1,019,068 | 14,870 | 2,539,437 | Nova Crnja | 7,959,223 | 2,074,947 | 6,884 | 5,877,392 |
| Krupanj | 6,571,115 | 5,900,667 | 5,286 | 665,162 | Ivanjica | 4,227,182 | 1,526,438 | 34,632 | 2,666,113 | Novi Knezevac | 16,128,143 | 4,315,071 | 70,291 | 11,742,781 |
| Ljubovija | 9,693,723 | 2,017,262 | 55,807 | 7,620,653 | Kosjeric | 741,159 | 360,984 | 4,235 | 375,940 | Zrenjanin | 117,352,296 | 9,978,103 | 332,695 | 107,041,498 |
| Loznica | 35,746,145 | 16,590,323 | 74,656 | 19,081,166 | Pozega | 3,347,099 | 758,112 | 8,128 | 2,580,858 | Zitiste | 13,897,667 | 1,511,895 | 33,429 | 12,352,343 |
| Sabac | 56,949,268 | 9,615,508 | 163,781 | 47,169,978 | Prijepolje | 37,819,391 | 10,413,182 | 259,027 | 27,147,182 | Novi Becej | 25,360,308 | 260,952 | 100,921 | 24,998,435 |
| Sabac | 41,752,190 | 6,947,910 | 131,876 | 34,672,404 | Nova Varos | 2,930,288 | 995,770 | 15,694 | 1,918,824 | Secanj | 12,818,912 | 1,183,774 | 31,028 | 11,604,110 |
| Bogatic | 6,210,429 | 1,320,085 | 18,392 | 4,871,953 | Priboj | 6,973,678 | 2,114,351 | 27,699 | 4,831,628 | Zrenjanin | 65,275,408 | 7,021,481 | 167,317 | 58,086,610 |
| Koceljeva | 6,070,119 | 967,796 | 12,411 | 5,089,911 | Prijepolje | 27,915,425 | 7,303,061 | 215,634 | 20,396,730 | Grand Total | 3,130,458,370 713,051,965 8,617,777 2,408,788,628 |  |  |  |
| Vladimirci | 2,916,530 | 379,718 | 1,102 | 2,535,711 | Uzice | 16,429,520 | 2,291,045 | 118,677 | 14,019,798 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher Court in Smederevo | 61,022,924 | 11,433,667 | 171,898 | 49,417,359 | Bajina Basta | 7,140,948 | 881,164 | 55,256 | 6,204,528 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevo | 61,022,924 | 11,433,667 | 171,898 | 49,417,359 | Cajetina | 5,375,479 | 606,323 | 53,221 | 4,715,935 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevo | 21,537,008 | 6,472,883 | 81,013 | 14,983,111 | Uzice | 3,913,094 | 803,558 | 10,200 | 3,099,336 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevska Palanka | 22,001,151 | 1,975,682 | 53,956 | 19,971,513 | Higher Court in Valjevo | 52,677,150 | 4,002,136 | 243,848 | 48,431,166 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Velika Plana | 17,484,766 | 2,985,102 | 36,929 | 14,462,734 | Valjevo | 52,677,150 | 4,002,136 | 243,848 | 48,431,166 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher Court in Sombor | 93,052,093 | 8,704,120 | 226,674 | 84,121,299 | Lajkovac | 685,509 | 339,959 | 709 | 344,842 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | 93,052,093 | 8,704,120 | 226,674 | 84,121,299 | Ljig | 585,748 | 278,243 | 1,657 | 305,849 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apatin | 15,976,831 | 1,771,027 | 49,933 | 14,155,871 | Mionica | 595,821 | 310,229 | 1,437 | 284,155 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kula | 27,661,277 | 2,847,657 | 113,701 | 24,699,919 | Osecina | 2,358,914 | 206,439 | 21,819 | 2,130,656 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Odzaci | 16,270,361 | 1,252,049 | 20,963 | 14,997,349 | Ub | 8,843,309 | 559,981 | 35,267 | 8,248,062 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | 33,143,623 | 2,833,387 | 42,076 | 30,268,160 | Valjevo | 39,607,848 | 2,307,286 | 182,960 | 37,117,602 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher Court in Sremska Mitrovica | 104,666,355 | 5,566,880 | 141,848 | 98,957,628 | Higher Court in Vrange | 46,579,291 | 1,359,223 | 75,514 | 45,144,553 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 104,666,355 | 5,566,880 | 141,848 | 98,957,628 | Vranje | 46,579,291 | 1,359,223 | 75,514 | 45,144,553 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sid | 9,813,172 | 410,069 | 6,413 | 9,396,690 | Bujanovac | 10,041,852 | 340,366 | 5,058 | 9,696,429 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indija | 13,401,002 | 965,478 | 25,705 | 12,409,819 | City of VranjePresevo | 17,878,711 | 392,154 | 26,753 | 17,459,804 | ${ }^{2}$ No litigation or civil cases submitted by Higher Court of Zajecar. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,246,931 | 277,590 | 29,917 | 11,939,424 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table CP4B: High Court Program Distributed Costs 50\% Tariff - Estimation of Target Population Costs and Potential Legal Aid Program Costs

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Court in Belgrade | 439,954,316 | 133,120,013 | 1,021,928 | 305,812,376 | Kragujevac | 47,347,625 | 7,194,494 | 41,468 | 40,111,663 | Novi Pazar | 32,356,799 | 3,272,671 | 91,408 | 28,992,720 |
| 1st Basic Court in Belgrade | 202,413,474 | 33,814,862 | 339,188 | 168,259,424 | Raca | 1,137,416 | 556,304 | 1,025 | 580,088 | Mali Zvornik | 2,799,662 | 1,003,670 | 5,283 | 1,790,709 |
| Cukarica | 32,010,603 | 3,112,224 | 72,675 | 28,825,704 | Topola | 4,048,402 | 794,498 | 23,472 | 3,230,432 | Novi Pazar | 22,377,612 | 1,620,888 | 48,297 | 20,708,427 |
| Novi Beograd | 18,193,992 | 4,996,514 | 16,928 | 13,180,550 | Higher Court in Kraljevo | 35,402,324 | 8,911,782 | 35,857 | 26,454,685 | Sjenica | 3,370,302 | 307,379 | 20,039 | 3,042,884 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 48,041,970 | 8,856,591 | 25,102 | 39,160,277 | Kraljevo | 35,402,324 | 8,911,782 | 35,857 | 26,454,685 | Tutin | 3,809,224 | 340,734 | 17,788 | 3,450,701 |
| Rakovica | 15,015,547 | 2,031,566 | 53,685 | 12,930,295 | Kraljevo | 31,918,774 | 7,121,171 | 33,702 | 24,763,901 | Higher Court in Novi Sad | 120,301,426 | 13,997,445 | 239,432 | 106,064,549 |
| Savski venac | 3,998,299 | 612,547 | 15,548 | 3,370,204 | Raska | 3,483,550 | 1,790,611 | 2,155 | 1,690,784 | Novi Sad | 120,301,426 | 13,997,445 | 239,432 | 106,064,549 |
| Stari grad | 7,016,782 | 1,703,502 | 2,695 | 5,310,584 | Higher Court in Krusevac | 25,023,786 | 3,883,248 | 62,817 | 21,077,721 | Zabalj | 8,496,369 | 1,282,798 | 7,688 | 7,205,883 |
| Voždovac | 9,244,856 | 1,637,082 | 11,522 | 7,596,252 | Krusevac | 25,023,786 | 3,883,248 | 62,817 | 21,077,721 | Bac | 1,017,917 | 212,573 | 2,024 | 803,319 |
| Vracar | 4,975,000 | 1,374,018 | 3,766 | 3,597,216 | Aleksandrovac | 2,279,394 | 162,715 | 5,331 | 2,111,348 | Backa Palanka | 4,577,428 | 718,758 | 9,343 | 3,849,326 |
| Zemun | 41,531,374 | 5,518,077 | 115,071 | 35,898,226 | Brus | 2,055,866 | 331,746 | 5,987 | 1,718,133 | Becej | 16,480,339 | 1,296,122 | 80,936 | 15,103,281 |
| Zvezdara | 22,385,053 | 3,972,741 | 22,195 | 18,390,117 | Cicevac | 502,677 | 374,373 | 900 | 127,404 | Beocin | 7,316,582 | 705,366 | 7,036 | 6,604,180 |
| 2nd Basic Court in Belgrade | 237,540,842 | 99,305,151 | 682,739 | 137,552,952 | Krusevac | 15,902,851 | 1,697,278 | 42,599 | 14,162,974 | City of Novi Sad | 51,619,135 | 6,533,183 | 68,491 | 45,017,461 |
| Barajevo | 9,991,610 | 3,342,221 | 21,997 | 6,627,391 | Lapovo | 735,168 | 364,265 | 1,321 | 369,583 | Srbobran | 5,448,225 | 430,747 | 7,371 | 5,010,108 |
| Grocka | 12,274,140 | 4,339,481 | 23,849 | 7,910,810 | Trstenik | 2,848,150 | 359,296 | 5,918 | 2,482,936 | Sremski Karlovci | 4,731,694 | 1,284,254 | 4,368 | 3,443,072 |
| Lazarevac | 34,411,992 | 10,047,253 | 32,351 | 24,332,388 | Varvarin | 699,679 | 593,576 | 761 | 105,343 | Temerin | 2,207,905 | 123,326 | 2,127 | 2,082,452 |
| Mladenovac | 43,712,649 | 16,712,516 | 215,980 | 26,784,153 | Higher Court in Leskovac | 43,999,513 | 12,544,704 | 80,297 | 31,374,512 | Titel | 4,941,084 | 176,718 | 29,125 | 4,735,241 |
| Obrenovac | 61,418,801 | 19,819,426 | 226,318 | 41,373,057 | Leskovac | 43,999,513 | 12,544,704 | 80,297 | 31,374,512 | Vrbas | 13,464,748 | 1,233,600 | 20,922 | 12,210,226 |
| Sopot | 11,807,879 | 3,759,882 | 60,973 | 7,987,025 | Bojnik | 2,083,032 | 622,988 | 7,351 | 1,452,694 | Higher Court in Pancevo | 97,942,394 | 18,684,583 | 387,032 | 78,870,779 |
| Surcin | 63,923,770 | 41,284,371 | 101,270 | 22,538,129 | Crna Trava | 9,150 | 0 | 0 | 9,150 | Pancevo | 45,151,436 | 5,999,645 | 131,231 | 39,020,560 |
| Higher Court in Cacak | 16,180,430 | 1,975,935 | 24,766 | 14,179,729 | Lebane | 3,847,886 | 1,250,826 | 14,034 | 2,583,026 | Alibunar | 3,282,036 | 1,913,519 | 16,902 | 1,351,615 |
| Cacak | 16,180,430 | 1,975,935 | 24,766 | 14,179,729 | Leskovac | 28,517,052 | 5,102,499 | 39,462 | 23,375,090 | Kovacica | 4,552,292 | 513,964 | 24,077 | 4,014,251 |
| Cacak | 12,252,009 | 1,470,997 | 17,945 | 10,763,067 | Medveda | 2,676,489 | 561,838 | 15,627 | 2,099,025 | Kovin | 9,404,423 | 698,244 | 17,672 | 8,688,507 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 2,259,945 | 287,212 | 3,978 | 1,968,754 | Vlasotince | 3,064,945 | 2,772,579 | 624 | 291,742 | Opovo | 3,511,654 | 371,592 | 9,094 | 3,130,968 |
| Lucani | 1,668,476 | 217,726 | 2,843 | 1,447,908 | Vrnjacka Banja | 3,800,959 | 2,233,975 | 3,199 | 1,563,785 | Pancevo | 24,401,030 | 2,502,325 | 63,485 | 21,835,219 |
| Higher Court in Jagodina | 57,256,948 | 17,918,100 | 391,833 | 38,947,015 | Higher Court in Negotin | 13,445,713 | 5,938,551 | 150,228 | 7,356,934 | Vrsac | 52,790,958 | 12,684,938 | 255,801 | 39,850,219 |
| Jagodina | 34,788,377 | 6,017,453 | 211,573 | 28,559,352 | Negotin | 13,445,713 | 5,938,551 | 150,228 | 7,356,934 | Bela Crkva | 18,481,480 | 5,018,033 | 175,572 | 13,287,875 |
| Jagodina | 24,281,768 | 4,549,736 | 127,635 | 19,604,397 | Kladovo | 2,915,130 | 737,198 | 18,901 | 2,159,031 | Plandiste | 7,041,214 | 1,143,974 | 14,673 | 5,882,567 |
| Rekovac | 3,241,208 | 544,412 | 36,094 | 2,660,702 | Majdanpek | 4,137,232 | 702,638 | 33,528 | 3,401,066 | Vrsac | 27,268,263 | 6,522,931 | 65,555 | 20,679,777 |
| Svilajnac | 7,265,401 | 923,304 | 47,844 | 6,294,253 | Negotin | 6,393,352 | 4,498,716 | 97,799 | 1,796,837 | Higher Court in Pirot | 14,532,028 | 1,716,589 | 10,997 | 12,804,442 |
| Paracin | 22,468,571 | 11,900,648 | 180,260 | 10,387,663 | Higher Court in Nis | 77,833,073 | 30,284,189 | 130,642 | 47,418,242 | Pirot | 14,532,028 | 1,716,589 | 10,997 | 12,804,442 |
| Cuprija | 1,908,079 | 1,139,929 | 13,224 | 754,927 | Nis | 77,833,073 | 30,284,189 | 130,642 | 47,418,242 | Babusnica | 3,162,267 | 310,653 | 3,026 | 2,848,588 |
| Despotovac | 5,225,924 | 1,852,314 | 33,147 | 3,340,462 | Aleksinac | 5,171,126 | 839,378 | 1,053 | 4,330,696 | Dimitrovgrad | 3,084,972 | 320,994 | 2,204 | 2,761,774 |
| Paracin | 15,334,568 | 8,908,405 | 133,889 | 6,292,274 | City of Nis | 59,899,494 | 22,683,872 | 110,235 | 37,105,387 | Pirot | 8,284,789 | 1,084,943 | 5,767 | 7,194,079 |
| Higher Court in Kragujevac | 67,310,723 | 11,496,401 | 102,127 | 55,712,194 | Doljevac | 6,630,077 | 4,288,654 | 10,219 | 2,331,204 | Higher Court in Pozarevac | 32,118,147 | 7,062,819 | 209,653 | 24,845,675 |
| Kragujevac | 67,310,723 | 11,496,401 | 102,127 | 55,712,194 | Gadzin Han | 2,698,098 | 667,970 | 8,334 | 2,021,794 | Pozarevac | 32,118,147 | 7,062,819 | 209,653 | 24,845,675 |
| Arandelovac | 10,255,814 | 1,402,137 | 31,247 | 8,822,430 | Razanj | 379,227 | 117,368 | 60 | 261,799 | Zabari | 2,234,544 | 250,102 | 3,269 | 1,981,172 |
| Batocina | 1,335,053 | 1,029,649 | 2,143 | 303,261 | Svrljig | 3,055,050 | 1,686,946 | 741 | 1,367,363 | Zagubica | 427,026 | 219,479 | 1,589 | 205,959 |
| Knic | 3,186,412 | 519,319 | 2,772 | 2,664,320 | Higher Court in Novi Pazar | 32,356,799 | 3,272,671 | 91,408 | 28,992,720 | City of Pozarevac | 18,473,668 | 4,895,222 | 192,063 | 13,386,383 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Golubac | 1,080,917 | 189,553 | 971 | 890,394 | Sremska Mitrovica | 15,696,328 | 454,976 | 16,398 | 15,224,954 | Higher Court in Zajecar ${ }^{3}$ | 29,037,212 | 0 | 0 | 29,037,212 |
| Kucevo | 2,082,109 | 330,379 | 2,365 | 1,749,365 | Stara Pazova | 7,216,597 | 318,110 | 15,395 | 6,883,092 | Bor | 10,561,559 | 0 | 0 | 10,561,559 |
| Malo Crnice | 242,306 | 101,722 | 1,728 | 138,855 | Higher Court in Subotica | 40,945,167 | 9,784,598 | 124,860 | 31,035,709 | Bor | 10,561,559 | 0 | 0 | 10,561,559 |
| Petrovac na Mlavi | 1,995,602 | 374,658 | 0 | 1,620,944 | Subotica | 40,945,167 | 9,784,598 | 124,860 | 31,035,709 | Zajecar | 18,475,653 | 0 | 0 | 18,475,653 |
| Veliko Gradiste | 5,581,976 | 701,705 | 7,668 | 4,872,603 | Ada | 2,154,725 | 306,447 | 1,126 | 1,847,152 | Boljevac | 2,547,134 | 0 | 0 | 2,547,134 |
| Higher Court in Prokuplje | 25,390,942 | 3,014,603 | 82,332 | 22,294,007 | Backa Topola | 6,906,190 | 445,937 | 4,365 | 6,455,888 | Knjazevac | 2,920,488 | 0 | 0 | 2,920,488 |
| Prokuplje | 25,390,942 | 3,014,603 | 82,332 | 22,294,007 | Kanjiza | 7,016,729 | 447,870 | 80,445 | 6,488,414 | Sokobanja | 875,927 | 0 | 0 | 875,927 |
| Zitorada | 5,924,911 | 822,402 | 23,055 | 5,079,454 | Mali Idos | 2,322,592 | 99,081 | 886 | 2,222,625 | Zajecar | 12,132,104 | 0 | 0 | 12,132,104 |
| Blace | 2,505,016 | 395,773 | 10,703 | 2,098,541 | Senta | 6,923,566 | 418,161 | 14,344 | 6,491,060 | Higher Court in Zrenjanin | 129,650,348 | 32,140,441 | 363,241 | 97,146,666 |
| Merosina | 810,167 | 561,345 | 2,277 | 246,544 | Subotica | 15,621,366 | 8,067,102 | 23,694 | 7,530,570 | Kikinda | 70,974,200 | 27,151,390 | 196,893 | 43,625,917 |
| Prokuplje | 16,150,847 | 1,235,082 | 46,297 | 14,869,468 | Higher Court in Uzice | 33,068,863 | 8,184,414 | 219,784 | 24,664,664 | Coka | 7,967,656 | 2,289,274 | 13,657 | 5,664,725 |
| Higher Court in Sabac | 54,480,125 | 17,061,880 | 149,765 | 37,268,480 | Pozega | 5,944,407 | 1,832,301 | 30,932 | 4,081,174 | Kikinda | 50,962,862 | 21,667,107 | 144,649 | 29,151,106 |
| Loznica | 26,005,491 | 12,254,126 | 67,875 | 13,683,491 | Arilje | 1,786,688 | 509,534 | 7,435 | 1,269,718 | Nova Crnja | 3,979,612 | 1,037,474 | 3,442 | 2,938,696 |
| Krupanj | 3,285,557 | 2,950,333 | 2,643 | 332,581 | Ivanjica | 2,113,591 | 763,219 | 17,316 | 1,333,056 | Novi Knezevac | 8,064,071 | 2,157,535 | 35,145 | 5,871,391 |
| Ljubovija | 4,846,861 | 1,008,631 | 27,904 | 3,810,327 | Kosjeric | 370,579 | 180,492 | 2,117 | 187,970 | Zrenjanin | 58,676,148 | 4,989,051 | 166,347 | 53,520,749 |
| Loznica | 17,873,073 | 8,295,162 | 37,328 | 9,540,583 | Pozega | 1,673,549 | 379,056 | 4,064 | 1,290,429 | Zitiste | 6,948,834 | 755,948 | 16,715 | 6,176,171 |
| Sabac | 28,474,634 | 4,807,754 | 81,891 | 23,584,989 | Prijepolje | 18,909,696 | 5,206,591 | 129,514 | 13,573,591 | Novi Becej | 12,680,154 | 130,476 | 50,461 | 12,499,218 |
| Sabac | 20,876,095 | 3,473,955 | 65,938 | 17,336,202 | Nova Varos | 1,465,144 | 497,885 | 7,847 | 959,412 | Secanj | 6,409,456 | 591,887 | 15,514 | 5,802,055 |
| Bogatic | 3,105,215 | 660,042 | 9,196 | 2,435,976 | Priboj | 3,486,839 | 1,057,175 | 13,850 | 2,415,814 | Zrenjanin | 32,637,704 | 3,510,740 | 83,658 | 29,043,305 |
| Koceljeva | 3,035,059 | 483,898 | 6,206 | 2,544,956 | Prijepolje | 13,957,713 | 3,651,531 | 107,817 | 10,198,365 | Grand Total | 1,565,229,185 356,525,983 4,308,889 |  |  | 1,204,394,314 |
| Vladimirci | 1,458,265 | 189,859 | 551 | 1,267,855 | Uzice | 8,214,760 | 1,145,522 | 59,338 | 7,009,899 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher Court in Smederevo | 30,511,462 | 5,716,834 | 85,949 | 24,708,679 | Bajina Basta | 3,570,474 | 440,582 | 27,628 | 3,102,264 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevo | 30,511,462 | 5,716,834 | 85,949 | 24,708,679 | Cajetina | 2,687,739 | 303,162 | 26,610 | 2,357,967 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevo | 10,768,504 | 3,236,442 | 40,506 | 7,491,556 | Uzice | 1,956,547 | 401,779 | 5,100 | 1,549,668 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smederevska Palanka | 11,000,575 | 987,841 | 26,978 | 9,985,757 | Higher Court in Valjevo | 26,338,575 | 2,001,068 | 121,924 | 24,215,583 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Velika Plana | 8,742,383 | 1,492,551 | 18,465 | 7,231,367 | Valjevo | 26,338,575 | 2,001,068 | 121,924 | 24,215,583 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher Court in Sombor | 46,526,046 | 4,352,060 | 113,337 | 42,060,649 | Lajkovac | 342,755 | 169,979 | 354 | 172,421 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | 46,526,046 | 4,352,060 | 113,337 | 42,060,649 | Ljig | 292,874 | 139,121 | 828 | 152,924 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apatin | 7,988,416 | 885,513 | 24,967 | 7,077,936 | Mionica | 297,910 | 155,115 | 718 | 142,077 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kula | 13,830,639 | 1,423,829 | 56,850 | 12,349,960 | Osecina | 1,179,457 | 103,219 | 10,909 | 1,065,328 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Odzaci | 8,135,181 | 626,025 | 10,482 | 7,498,674 | Ub | 4,421,655 | 279,990 | 17,633 | 4,124,031 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sombor | 16,571,812 | 1,416,694 | 21,038 | 15,134,080 | Valjevo | 19,803,924 | 1,153,643 | 91,480 | 18,558,801 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Higher Court in Sremska Mitrovica | 52,333,178 | 2,783,440 | 70,924 | 49,478,814 | Higher Court in Vrange | 23,289,645 | 679,612 | 37,757 | 22,572,277 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 52,333,178 | 2,783,440 | 70,924 | 49,478,814 | Vranje | 23,289,645 | 679,612 | 37,757 | 22,572,277 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sid | 4,906,586 | 205,035 | 3,206 | 4,698,345 | Bujanovac | 5,020,926 | 170,183 | 2,529 | 4,848,214 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indija | 6,700,501 | 482,739 | 12,852 | 6,204,910 | City of Vranje | 8,939,356 | 196,077 | 13,377 | 8,729,902 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irig | 769,201 | 372,662 | 2,490 | 394,050 | Presevo | 6,123,466 | 138,795 | 14,959 | 5,969,712 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pecinci | 884,332 | 392,496 | 805 | 491,031 | Surdulica | 1,085,809 | 108,812 | 2,364 | 974,634 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ruma | 16,159,633 | 557,423 | 19,777 | 15,582,433 | Trgoviste | 581,830 | 28,500 | 911 | 552,419 | ${ }^{3}$ No litigation or civil cases submitted by Higher Court of Zajecar. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Vladicin Han | 1,538,258 | 37,245 | 3,617 | 1,497,396 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table CP4C: High Court Program Distributed Costs Hourly - Estimation of Target Population Costs and Potential Legal Aid Program Costs

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Lit Case hours Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Court in Belgrade | 56,554,399 | 10,322,603 | 172,686 | 46,059,110 | Kraljevo | 4,287,645 | 552,201 | 5,695 | 3,729,748 | Becej | 2,388,923 | 100,506 | 13,677 | 2,274,740 |
| Barajevo | 1,261,052 | 259,168 | 3,717 | 998,167 | Raska | 393,867 | 138,850 | 364 | 254,653 | Beocin | 1,050,556 | 54,697 | 1,189 | 994,671 |
| Cukarica | 4,595,120 | 241,333 | 12,281 | 4,341,506 | Higher Court in Krusevac | 3,486,300 | 301,121 | 10,615 | 3,174,564 | City of Novi Sad | 7,298,364 | 506,606 | 11,574 | 6,780,184 |
| Grocka | 1,531,994 | 336,499 | 4,030 | 1,191,465 | Aleksandrovac | 331,513 | 12,618 | 901 | 317,995 | Srbobran | 789,231 | 33,402 | 1,246 | 754,584 |
| Lazarevac | 4,449,324 | 779,100 | 5,467 | 3,664,757 | Brus | 285,508 | 25,725 | 1,012 | 258,772 | Sremski Karlovci | 618,893 | 99,586 | 738 | 518,569 |
| Mladenovac | 5,366,468 | 1,295,948 | 36,496 | 4,034,023 | Cicevac | 48,371 | 29,030 | 152 | 19,189 | Temerin | 323,566 | 9,563 | 359 | 313,643 |
| Novi Beograd | 2,375,461 | 387,448 | 2,861 | 1,985,153 | Krusevac | 2,271,930 | 131,613 | 7,198 | 2,133,118 | Titel | 731,810 | 13,703 | 4,921 | 713,186 |
| Obrenovac | 7,806,405 | 1,536,869 | 38,243 | 6,231,292 | Lapovo | 84,133 | 28,246 | 223 | 55,664 | Vrbas | 1,938,204 | 95,658 | 3,535 | 1,839,010 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 6,589,033 | 686,772 | 4,242 | 5,898,020 | Trstenik | 402,822 | 27,861 | 1,000 | 373,961 | Zabalj | 1,186,067 | 99,473 | 1,299 | 1,085,295 |
| Rakovica | 2,114,068 | 157,535 | 9,072 | 1,947,462 | Varvarin | 62,022 | 46,028 | 129 | 15,866 | Higher Court in Pancevo | 13,393,181 | 1,448,870 | 65,401 | 11,878,910 |
| Savski venac | 557,721 | 47,499 | 2,627 | 507,594 | Higher Court in Leskovac | 5,711,718 | 972,761 | 13,569 | 4,725,388 | Alibunar | 354,807 | 148,381 | 2,856 | 203,570 |
| Sopot | 1,504,802 | 291,555 | 10,303 | 1,202,944 | Bojnik | 268,344 | 48,309 | 1,242 | 218,794 | Bela Crkva | 2,420,102 | 389,116 | 29,668 | 2,001,318 |
| Stari grad | 932,391 | 132,096 | 455 | 799,839 | Crna Trava | 1,378 | 0 | 0 | 1,378 | Kovacica | 648,519 | 39,855 | 4,069 | 604,596 |
| Surcin | 6,612,971 | 3,201,338 | 17,113 | 3,394,520 | Lebane | 488,400 | 96,994 | 2,371 | 389,035 | Kovin | 1,365,727 | 54,144 | 2,986 | 1,308,596 |
| Vozdovac | 1,272,981 | 126,945 | 1,947 | 1,144,089 | Leskovac | 3,922,911 | 395,666 | 6,668 | 3,520,576 | Opovo | 501,914 | 28,815 | 1,537 | 471,562 |
| Vracar | 648,968 | 106,546 | 636 | 541,785 | Medveda | 362,347 | 43,567 | 2,641 | 316,139 | Pancevo | 3,493,420 | 194,039 | 10,728 | 3,288,653 |
| Zemun | 5,854,051 | 427,891 | 19,445 | 5,406,715 | Vlasotince | 259,041 | 214,996 | 106 | 43,940 | Plandiste | 977,174 | 88,708 | 2,480 | 885,987 |
| Zvezdara | 3,081,589 | 308,061 | 3,751 | 2,769,778 | Vrnjacka Banja | 409,296 | 173,230 | 541 | 235,525 | Vrsac | 3,631,518 | 505,811 | 11,078 | 3,114,629 |
| Higher Court in Cacak | 2,293,048 | 153,221 | 4,185 | 2,135,642 | Higher Court in Negotin | 1,593,927 | 460,497 | 25,386 | 1,108,045 | Higher Court in Pirot | 2,063,475 | 133,111 | 1,858 | 1,928,507 |
| Cacak | 1,738,149 | 114,066 | 3,032 | 1,621,050 | Kladovo | 385,536 | 57,165 | 3,194 | 325,177 | Babusnica | 453,633 | 24,089 | 511 | 429,032 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 319,462 | 22,271 | 672 | 296,519 | Majdanpek | 572,393 | 54,485 | 5,666 | 512,242 | Dimitrovgrad | 441,221 | 24,891 | 372 | 415,957 |
| Lucani | 235,436 | 16,883 | 480 | 218,073 | Negotin | 635,998 | 348,847 | 16,526 | 270,626 | Pirot | 1,168,622 | 84,130 | 974 | 1,083,517 |
| Higher Court in Jagodina | 7,321,546 | 1,389,434 | 66,212 | 5,865,900 | Higher Court in Nis | 9,512,192 | 2,348,345 | 22,076 | 7,141,771 | Higher Court in Pozarevac | 4,325,168 | 547,676 | 35,427 | 3,742,065 |
| Cuprija | 204,330 | 88,394 | 2,235 | 113,701 | Aleksinac | 717,522 | 65,088 | 178 | 652,256 | City of Pozarevac | 2,428,202 | 379,593 | 32,455 | 2,016,154 |
| Despotovac | 652,351 | 143,635 | 5,601 | 503,115 | City of Nis | 7,366,145 | 1,758,989 | 18,628 | 5,588,528 | Golubac | 148,967 | 14,699 | 164 | 134,104 |
| Jagodina | 3,327,034 | 352,803 | 21,568 | 2,952,664 | Doljevac | 685,393 | 332,558 | 1,727 | 351,108 | Kucevo | 289,494 | 25,619 | 400 | 263,476 |
| Paracin | 1,661,108 | 690,790 | 22,625 | 947,694 | Gadzin Han | 357,712 | 51,797 | 1,408 | 304,507 | Malo Crnice | 29,093 | 7,888 | 292 | 20,913 |
| Rekovac | 449,049 | 42,216 | 6,099 | 400,734 | Razanj | 48,541 | 9,101 | 10 | 39,430 | Petrovac na Mlavi | 273,186 | 29,052 | 0 | 244,134 |
| Svilajnac | 1,027,673 | 71,596 | 8,085 | 947,992 | Svrljig | 336,879 | 130,812 | 125 | 205,942 | Veliko Gradiste | 789,583 | 54,413 | 1,296 | 733,874 |
| Higher Court in Kragujevac | 9,299,672 | 891,472 | 17,257 | 8,390,942 | Higher Court in Novi Pazar | 4,635,881 | 253,775 | 15,446 | 4,366,661 | Zabari | 318,335 | 19,394 | 552 | 298,389 |
| Arandelovac | 1,442,773 | 108,727 | 5,280 | 1,328,767 | Mali Zvornik | 348,424 | 77,828 | 893 | 269,703 | Zagubica | 48,308 | 17,019 | 268 | 31,020 |
| Batocina | 125,880 | 79,843 | 362 | 45,675 | Novi Pazar | 3,252,795 | 125,689 | 8,161 | 3,118,944 | Higher Court in Prokuplje | 3,605,428 | 233,763 | 13,912 | 3,357,752 |
| Knic | 442,018 | 40,270 | 468 | 401,279 | Sjenica | 485,517 | 23,835 | 3,386 | 458,296 | Blace | 348,564 | 30,690 | 1,809 | 316,066 |
| Kragujevac | 6,606,205 | 557,887 | 7,007 | 6,041,310 | Tutin | 549,146 | 26,422 | 3,006 | 519,718 | Merosina | 81,046 | 43,529 | 385 | 37,133 |
| Raca | 130,679 | 43,138 | 173 | 87,368 | Higher Court in Novi Sad | 17,100,499 | 1,085,412 | 40,459 | 15,974,627 | Prokuplje | 2,343,121 | 95,773 | 7,823 | 2,239,525 |
| Topola | 552,117 | 61,608 | 3,966 | 486,543 | Bac | 137,816 | 16,484 | 342 | 120,990 | Zitorada | 832,696 | 63,772 | 3,896 | 765,028 |
| Higher Court in Kraljevo | 4,681,512 | 691,052 | 6,059 | 3,984,401 | Backa Palanka | 637,070 | 55,735 | 1,579 | 579,756 | Higher Court in Sabac | 6,961,439 | 1,323,039 | 25,307 | 5,613,092 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bogatic | 419,624 | 51,182 | 1,554 | 366,888 | Higher Court in Subotica | 5,454,192 | 758,733 | 21,099 | 4,674,360 | Valjevo | 2,900,100 | 89,458 | 15,458 | 2,795,184 |
| Koceljeva | 421,873 | 37,523 | 1,049 | 383,302 | Ada | 302,157 | 23,763 | 190 | 278,204 | Higher Court in Vrange | 3,458,743 | 52,700 | 6,380 | 3,399,663 |
| Krupanj | 279,317 | 228,779 | 447 | 50,091 | Backa Topola | 1,007,653 | 34,580 | 738 | 972,336 | Bujanovac | 743,825 | 13,197 | 427 | 730,201 |
| Ljubovija | 656,810 | 78,213 | 4,715 | 573,882 | Kanjiza | 1,025,558 | 34,729 | 13,594 | 977,235 | City of Vranje | 1,332,296 | 15,205 | 2,260 | 1,314,831 |
| Loznica | 2,086,474 | 643,237 | 6,308 | 1,436,929 | Mali Idos | 342,587 | 7,683 | 150 | 334,755 | Presevo | 912,403 | 10,763 | 2,528 | 899,112 |
| Sabac | 2,891,571 | 269,383 | 11,142 | 2,611,045 | Senta | 1,012,483 | 32,426 | 2,424 | 977,634 | Surdulica | 155,629 | 8,438 | 400 | 146,792 |
| Vladimirci | 205,770 | 14,722 | 93 | 190,955 | Subotica | 1,763,752 | 625,552 | 4,004 | 1,134,197 | Trgoviste | 85,565 | 2,210 | 154 | 83,201 |
| Higher Court in Smederevo | 4,179,259 | 443,304 | 14,524 | 3,721,431 | Higher Court in Uzice | 4,386,590 | 634,649 | 37,139 | 3,714,802 | Vladicin Han | 229,026 | 2,888 | 611 | 225,526 |
| Smederevo | 1,386,131 | 250,965 | 6,845 | 1,128,321 | Arilje | 232,003 | 39,511 | 1,256 | 191,235 | Higher Court in Zajecar | 4,373,362 | 0 | 0 | 4,373,362 |
| Smederevska Palanka | 1,585,137 | 76,601 | 4,559 | 1,503,978 | Bajina Basta | 506,072 | 34,164 | 4,669 | 467,239 | Boljevac | 383,630 | 0 | 0 | 383,630 |
| Velika Plana | 1,207,991 | 115,738 | 3,120 | 1,089,133 | Cajetina | 383,144 | 23,508 | 4,497 | 355,139 | Bor | 1,590,701 | 0 | 0 | 1,590,701 |
| Higher Court in Sombor | 6,691,478 | 337,474 | 19,152 | 6,334,852 | Ivanjica | 262,883 | 59,183 | 2,926 | 200,775 | Knjazevac | 439,861 | 0 | 0 | 439,861 |
| Apatin | 1,138,909 | 68,666 | 4,219 | 1,066,024 | Kosjeric | 42,664 | 13,996 | 358 | 28,311 | Sokobanja | 131,925 | 0 | 0 | 131,925 |
| Kula | 1,980,071 | 110,409 | 9,607 | 1,860,056 | Nova Varos | 184,433 | 38,608 | 1,326 | 144,499 | Zajecar | 1,827,244 | 0 | 0 | 1,827,244 |
| Odzaci | 1,179,708 | 48,544 | 1,771 | 1,129,393 | Pozega | 224,435 | 29,393 | 687 | 194,355 | Higher Court in Zrenjanin | 17,185,150 | 2,492,285 | 61,381 | 14,631,484 |
| Sombor | 2,392,789 | 109,856 | 3,555 | 2,279,379 | Priboj | 448,169 | 81,977 | 2,340 | 363,851 | Coka | 1,033,004 | 177,519 | 2,308 | 853,177 |
| Higher Court in Sremska Mitrovica | 7,679,941 | 215,838 | 11,985 | 7,452,119 | Prijepolje | 1,837,371 | 283,153 | 18,219 | 1,535,999 | Kikinda | 6,095,104 | 1,680,145 | 24,443 | 4,390,516 |
| Indija | 974,141 | 37,433 | 2,172 | 934,536 | Uzice | 265,416 | 31,155 | 862 | 233,399 | Nova Crnja | 523,635 | 80,449 | 582 | 442,604 |
| Irig | 88,667 | 28,898 | 421 | 59,349 | Higher Court in Valjevo | 3,822,938 | 155,170 | 20,603 | 3,647,165 | Novi Becej | 1,901,181 | 10,118 | 8,527 | 1,882,536 |
| Pecinci | 104,527 | 30,436 | 136 | 73,955 | Lajkovac | 39,209 | 13,181 | 60 | 25,969 | Novi Knezevac | 1,057,546 | 167,303 | 5,939 | 884,304 |
| Ruma | 2,393,473 | 43,225 | 3,342 | 2,346,906 | Ljig | 33,960 | 10,788 | 140 | 23,032 | Secanj | 922,380 | 45,897 | 2,622 | 873,861 |
| Sid | 724,070 | 15,899 | 542 | 707,629 | Mionica | 33,548 | 12,028 | 121 | 21,399 | Zitiste | 991,651 | 58,619 | 2,824 | 930,207 |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 2,331,117 | 35,280 | 2,771 | 2,293,066 | Osecina | 170,299 | 8,004 | 1,843 | 160,452 | Zrenjanin | 4,660,651 | 272,235 | 14,137 | 4,374,279 |
| Stara Pazova | 1,063,947 | 24,667 | 2,601 | 1,036,678 | Ub | 645,821 | 21,711 | 2,980 | 621,130 | Grand Total | 209,771,035 | 27,646,304 | 728,117 | 181,396,614 |


| Row Labels |  | Secondary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels |  | Secondary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost | Primary Total Target Pop Lit Casehours Cost |  |  | Secondary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bor | ,805 | 4,277,553 | 49,902 | 449,116 | 40,466 | 364,190 | 22,955 | 06, | 66,483 | 57,655 | Leskovac | 1,541,549 | 5,903,569 | 917,419 | 8,256,771 | 270,121 | 2,431,086 | 3,268 | 479,415 | 300, | 14,736,296 |
| Bor | 179,805 | 4,277,553 | 49,902 | 449,116 | 40,466 | 364,190 | 22,955 | 206,593 | 66,483 | 3,257,655 | Bojnik | 71,137 | 1,139,746 | 37,955 | 341,595 | 19,170 | 172,527 | 1,524 | 13,718 | 12,488 | 611,905 |
| Cacak | 482,663 | 10,226,5 | 194,003 | 1,7 | 108,487 | 976,382 | 08 | 297,969 | 147,0 | 206,180 | na Trav | 4,34 | 50, | 3,664 | 32,9 | 320 | 2,878 | 80 | 719 | 284 | 13,901 |
| Cacak | 363,971 | 7,861,680 | 145,240 | 1,307,162 | 78,474 | 706,269 | 25,608 | 230,468 | 114,649 | 5,617,781 | ebane | 137,824 | 2,059,207 | 76,051 | 684,455 | 38,261 | 344,351 | 3,042 | 27,381 | 20,470 | 1,003,020 |
|  | 68,57 | 375 | 28,22 | 254,0 | 17,08 | 153,7 | 4,310 | 38,79 | 18,955 | 928,79 | Leskovac | 909,983 | 17,880,300 | 464,745 | 4,182,708 | 160,13 | 1,441,183 | 42,844 | 385,599 | 242, | 70,809 |
| nova | 68,5 | , | 28,227 | 4,0 | 17,083 | 153, | 4,310 | 8,7 | 18,955 | 928, | Medveda | 81,243 | 1,145,618 | 27,830 | 250,469 | 40,494 | 364,447 | 2,558 | 3,018 | 10,361 | 507,683 |
| Lucani | 50, | 9,5 | 20,536 | 184,826 | 12,929 | 116,364 | 3,190 | 28,710 | 13,461 | 659,6 | Vlasotince | 169,368 | 1,593,267 | 164,810 | 1,483,290 | 1,604 | 14,436 | 1,230 | 11,073 | 1,724 | 84,467 |
|  | 3,129,947 |  | 1,214,443 | 10,929,991 | 480,276 |  |  |  | 1,023,2 | 50,139, | Vrnjacka Banja | 167,647 | 2,034,958 | 142 | 1,281,277 | 10,140 | 91,2 | 1,990 | 17,906 | 13,153 | 644,512 |
| in Belgrade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 | 50,139, | Loznica | 314,389 | 5,638,758 | 189,979 | 1,709,809 | 31,631 | 284,679 | 22,547 | 202,926 | 70,232 | 3,441,344 |
| Cukarica | 446,158 | 10,932,22 | 112,93 | 16, | 106,894 | 62,0 | 3,409 | 480,683 | 172,920 | 8,473,089 | Krupanj | 40,495 | 422,640 | 34,723 | 312,509 | 875 | 7,877 | 3,442 | 30,977 | 1,455 | 71,277 |
| Novi Beograd | 307,301 | 5,479,1 | 163,083 | 1,467 | 22,79 | 205,108 | 53,592 | 482,330 | 67,836 | 3,323,971 | Ljubovija | 52,020 | 1,218, | 17,1 | 154,266 | 13 | 118,007 | 3,011 | 27,097 | 18,756 | 919,066 |
| Palilula (Bel- |  |  |  |  | 43,296 |  | 81 | 736,177 | 294,234 | 14,417,468 | Loznica | 221,874 | 3,997,681 | 138,115 | 1,243,034 | 17,644 | 158,794 | 16,095 | 144,852 | 50,020 | 2,451,001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Negotin | 417,314 | 5,760,962 | 280,372 | 2,523,352 | 74,817 | 673,354 | 11,996 | 107,961 | 50,128 | 2,456,295 |
| Rakovica | 233,405 | 4,962,593 | 63,622 | 572,598 | 68,146 | 613,314 | 30,088 | 270,793 | 71,549 | 3,505,889 | Kladovo | 78,766 | 1,360,645 | 47,869 | 430,818 | , 03 | 113,430 | ,001 | 18,005 | 6,294 | 798,392 |
| Savski venac | 58,780 | 1,192,74 | 17,915 | 161,238 | 15,799 | 142,190 | 8,472 | 76,252 | 16,593 | 813,064 | Majdanpe | 99,414 | 1,937,625 | 47,196 | 424, | 22,286 | 200,578 | 3,858 | 34,724 | 26,073 | 1,277,554 |
| Stari grad | 100,583 | 2,168,295 | 54,133 | 487,19 | 3,272 | 29,452 | 11,601 | 104,413 | 31,576 | 1,547,237 | Negotin | 239,134 | 2,462,692 | 185,307 | 1,667,765 | 39,927 | 359,346 | 6,137 | 55,232 | 7,762 | 380,349 |
| Vozdovac | 116, | 2,323,7 | 1,02 | 369,198 | 12,59 | 113,3 | 30,529 | 274,762 | 31,970 | 1,566,515 | Nis | 2,179,922 | 36,385,020 | 1,344,836 | 12,103,524 | 313,193 | 2,818,740 | 102,750 | 924,749 | 419,14 | 0,538,006 |
| Vracar | 69,087 | 1,308,649 | 34,537 | 310,836 | 4,560 | 41,037 | 12,819 | 115,369 | 17,172 | 841,407 | leksinac | 87,035 | 2,159,285 | 43,215 | 388,932 | 2,863 | 25,766 | 6,558 | 59,025 | 34,399 | 1,685,562 |
| Zemun | 666,374 | 14,330,259 | 204,339 | 1,839,048 | 172,534 | 1,552,803 | 81,179 | 730,613 | 208,322 | 10,207,795 | City of Nis | 1,675,048 | 28,484,124 | 985,420 | 8,868,783 | 266,948 | 2,402,534 | 87,462 | 787,155 | 335, | 6,425,652 |
| Zvezdara | 331,151 | 7,423,813 | 141,187 | 1,270,686 | 30,396 | 273,560 | 48,482 | 436,334 | 111,086 | 5,443,233 | Doljevac | 253,165 | 2,993,049 | 207,520 | 1,867,680 | 26,696 | 240,260 | 1,086 | 9,772 | 17,864 | 875,337 |
| Jagodina | 367,345 | 10,006,324 | 126,253 | 1,136,278 | 55,520 | 499,6 | 18,067 | 162,603 | 167,505 | 8,207,766 | adzin H | ,6 | 1,174 | 27,60 | 248, | 4,8 | 133,843 | 4,8 | 43, | 83 | 748,891 |
| Jagodina | 260,5 | 7,087,245 | 94 | 847,0 | 32,9 | 296, | 14,84 | 133, | 118, | 5,809,583 | Razanj | 7,229 | 152,953 | 4,296 | 38,6 | 84 | 755 | , | 5,868 | 2,197 | 107,663 |
| Rekovac | 33,039 | 731,549 | 11,7 | 105,7 | 9,336 | 84,023 | 1,104 | 9,934 | 10,855 | 531,888 | Svrljig | 94,839 | 1,420,816 | 76,785 | 691,066 | 1,731 | 15,583 | 2,141 | 19,266 | 14,182 | 694,902 |
| Svilajnac | 73,780 | 2,187,530 | 20,390 | 183,511 | 13,186 | 118,670 | 2,117 | 19,055 | 38,088 | 1,866,294 | Novi Pazar | 641,848 | 16,082,724 | 269,546 | 2,425,917 | 69,255 | 623,293 | 45,394 | 408,549 | 257,65 | 2,624,966 |
| Kikinda | 838,720 | 14,297,037 | 497,818 | 4,480,366 | 136,118 | 1,225,063 | 36,070 | 324,627 | 168,714 | 8,266,981 | ali Zvornik | 88,162 | 1,315,574 | 69,715 | 627,435 | 3,203 | 28,829 | 2,191 | 19,716 | 13,053 | 639,595 |
| Coka | 80,031 | 1,690,400 | 40,678 | 366,099 | 9,638 | 86,738 | 5,462 | 49,160 | 24,253 | 1,188,402 | vi | 407,207 | 11,518,021 | 141,9 | 1,277,401 | 37,126 | 132 | 31,819 | 286,372 | 196,32 | 9,620,116 |
| Kikinda | 622,627 | 9,717,099 | 393,414 | 3,540,729 | 98,852 | 889,668 | 27,524 | 247,720 | 102,836 | 5,038,983 | Sjenica | 65,872 | 1,664,758 | 23,165 | 208,486 | 13,067 | 117,606 | 2,842 | 25,574 | 26,798 | 1,313,091 |
| Nova Crnja | 43,902 | 1,035,625 | 24,140 | 217,264 | 3,085 | 27,761 | 665 | 5,981 | 16,013 | 784,618 | uti | 80,607 | 1,584,372 | 34,733 | 312,595 | 15,859 | 142,727 | 8,543 | 76,887 | 21,473 | 1,052,163 |
| Novi Knezevac | 92,160 | 1,853,914 | 39,586 | 356,275 | 24,544 | 220,896 | 2,418 | 21,766 | 25,612 | 1,254,977 | Novi Sad | 2,415,586 | 54,157,899 | 875,278 | 7,877,502 | 478,895 | 4,310,057 | 250,97 | 2,258, | 810,4 | 9,711,590 |
| Kragujevac | 2,240,512 | 44,723,823 | 1,188,842 | 10,699,581 | 195,421 | 1,758,787 | 242,269 | 2,180, | 613,98 | 30,085,038 | Bac | 20,926 | 398,318 | 11,075 | 99,671 | 3,401 | 30,610 | 1,201 | 10,806 | 5,250 | 257,231 |
| Arandelovac | 325,521 | 6,105,528 | 135,638 | 1,220,739 | 56,650 | 509,848 | 53,838 | 484,541 | 79,396 | 3,890,399 | Backa | 102, | 148,863 | 47,082 | 23,737 | 9,7 | 177,666 | 5,104 | 45,938 | 0, | 1,501,522 |
| Bato | 71,600 | 733,1 | 2,92 | 66, | 2,3 | 21,094 | 4,110 | 36,988 | 2,219 | 108,7 | Becej | 329,242 | 6,928,840 | 73,455 | 661,093 | 146,743 | 1,320,684 | 9,903 | 89,124 | 99,142 | 4,857,939 |
| Knic | 92,645 | 1,880,655 | 51,675 | 465,073 | 4,595 | 41,356 | 10,204 | 91,834 | 26,171 | 1,282,392 | Beoci | 130,406 | 3,272,554 | 44,477 | 400,291 | 16,209 | 145,885 | 17,247 | 155,221 | 52,473 | 2,571,158 |
| Kragujevac | 1,556,453 | 32,817,736 | 831,905 | 7,487,144 | 90,037 | 810,333 | 164,270 | 1,478,429 | 470,241 | 23,041,830 | City of Novi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raca | 42,206 | 560,67 | 34,298 | 308,682 | 1,410 | 12,687 | 1,977 | 17,796 | 4,521 | 221,510 | Sad | 1,034,245 | 22,682,340 | 406,032 | 3,654,286 | 137,441 | 1,236,970 |  |  |  |  |
| Topola | 152,087 | 2,626,062 | 72,399 | 651,594 | 40,385 | 363,468 | 7,870 | 70,829 | 31,432 | 1,540,171 | Srbobran | 115,536 | 3,134,052 | 36,753 | 330,774 | 20,201 | 181,810 | 6,226 | 56,033 | 52,356 | 2,565,434 |
| Kraljevo | 605,644 | 12,029,433 | 256,992 | 2,312,925 | 106,716 | 960,446 | 77,471 | 697,236 | 164,466 | 8,058,826 | Srem | 91,847 | 782 | 49,914 | 449,222 | 8,271 | 74,436 | 9,773 | 87,959 | 3,8 |  |
| Kraljevo | 533,562 | 11,173,540 | 204,882 | 1,843,937 | 100,105 | 900,946 | 69,287 | 623,586 | 159,287 | 7,805,070 |  | 91,847 | 82, | 49,914 | 449,222 | 8,271 | 74,436 |  | 87,959 | 3,8 | 17 |
| Raska | 72,083 | 855,894 | 52,110 | 468,989 | 6,611 | 59,499 | 8,183 | 73,650 | 5,179 | 253,756 | emer | 32,727 | 947,376 | 10,109 | 90,985 | 4,510 | 40,593 | 1,786 | 6,077 | 16,321 | 799,720 |
| Krusevac | 738,628 | 14,323,300 | 345,136 | 3,106,224 | 158,277 | 1,424,491 | 43,324 | 389,919 | 191,891 | 9,402,666 | Titel | 95,866 | 2,033,933 | 12,763 | 114,871 | 51,187 | 460,680 | 2,637 | 23,734 | 29,279 | 1,434,648 |
| Aleksandrova | 55,193 | 1,133,011 | 19,479 | 175,314 | 16,179 | 145,611 | 3,627 | 32,646 | 15,907 | 779,440 | Vrbas | 287,148 | 7,127,537 | 101,255 | 911,298 | 55,160 | 496,439 | 17,153 | 154,373 | 113,580 | 5,565,427 |
| Brus | 53,720 | 993,585 | 25,457 | 229,110 | 12,373 | 111,360 | 3,137 | 28,237 | 12,753 | 624,879 | Zabalj | 175,074 | 3,701,883 | 82,364 | 741,272 | 16,032 | 144,285 | 23,524 | 211,712 | 53,155 | 2,604,613 |
| Cicevac | 27,382 | 290,090 | 24,389 | 219,502 | 1,399 | 12,595 | 502 | 4,519 | 1,091 | 53,473 | Pancevo | 635,264 | 16,732,765 | 191,018 | 1,719,160 | 122,379 | 1,101,410 | 46,482 | 418,342 | 275,385 | 13,493,854 |
| Krusevac | 442,460 | 9,352,399 | 172,277 | 1,550,492 | 108,505 | 976,545 | 27,421 | 246,789 | 134,257 | 6,578,573 | Alibunar | 67,546 | 871,007 | 45,964 | 413,677 | 11,607 | 104,463 | 3,398 | 30,579 | 6,577 | 322,288 |
| Lapovo | 34,293 | 427,805 | 27,268 | 245,412 | 2,468 | 22,213 | 1,578 | 14,199 | 2,979 | 145,980 | Kovacica | 63,305 | 1,561,650 | 14,933 | 134,398 | 19,624 | 176,616 | 3,951 | 35,557 | 24,798 | 1,215,079 |
| Trstenik | 86,678 | 1,748,048 | 39,818 | 358,366 | 16,212 | 145,912 | 6,448 | 58,035 | 24,199 | 1,185,734 | Kovin | 119,168 | 3,444,735 | 29,608 | 266,470 | 20,358 | 183,224 | 9,896 | 89,065 | 59,306 | 2,905,976 |
| Varvarin | 38,903 | 378,362 | 36,447 | 328,027 | 1,139 | 10,255 | 610 | 5,493 | 706 | 34,587 | Opovo | 49,671 | 1,353,469 | 14,106 | 126,953 | 8,802 | 79,214 | 4,103 | 36,930 | 22,661 | 1,110,372 |


| Row Labels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pancevo | 335,573 | 9,501,905 | 86,407 | 777,662 | 61,988 | 557,894 | 25,135 | 226,211 | 162,044 | 7,940,138 | Smederevo | 890,051 | 16,078,883 | 509,318 | 4,583,866 | 144,457 | 1,300,112 | 34,565 | 311,081 | 201,711 | 9,883,823 |
| Paracin | 284,998 | 4,196,475 | 169,242 | 1,523,181 | 52,528 | 472,753 | 22,440 | 201,962 | 40,787 | 1,998,580 | Smederevo | 406,432 | 5,850,709 | 278,600 | 2,507,400 | 63,927 | 575,339 | 9,085 | 81,761 | 54,821 | 2,686,209 |
| Cuprija | 22,525 | 342,430 | 13,425 | 120,822 | 3,186 | 28,671 | 2,422 | 21,794 | 3,493 | 171,142 | ka |  |  |  | 885,622 | 49,677 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Despotovac | 62,504 | 1,168,158 | 31,766 | 285,893 | 11,565 | 104,085 | 4,033 | 36,297 | 15,140 | 741,883 | Pala | 249,812 | 5,667, | 98,402 | 885,62 | 49,67 | 77,090 | 16,2 | 146,2 | 85, | 仡 |
| Paracin | 199,969 | 2,685,888 | 124,052 | 1,116,465 | 37,777 | 339,997 | 15,986 | 143,871 | 22,154 | 1,085,555 | Velika Plana | 233,807 | 4,560,738 | 132,316 | 1,190,844 | 30,854 | 277,683 | 9,225 | 83,024 | 61,412 | 3,009,187 |
| Pirot | 517,781 | 8,975,551 | 262,719 | 2,364,470 | 136,276 | 1,226,486 | 10,898 | 98,083 | 107,888 | 5,286,511 | Sombor | 723,310 | 18,455,966 | 189,688 | 1,707,195 | 206,543 | 1,858,887 | 28,425 | 255,822 | 298,654 | 14,634,061 |
| Babusnica | 98,652 | 1,723,328 | 41,983 | 377,849 | 34,530 | 310,767 | 1,253 | 11,279 | 20,886 | 1,023,433 | Apatin | 135,427 | 3,147,997 | 37,139 | 334,253 | 45,454 | 409,088 | 4,605 | 41,447 | 48,229 | 2,363,209 |
| Dimitrovgrad | 102,538 | 1,830,322 | 50,850 | 457,650 | 27,523 | 247,705 | 1,479 | 13,308 | 22,687 | 1,111,660 | Kula | 243,581 | 5,296,468 | 58,268 | 524,415 | 97,726 | 879,536 | 9,980 | 89,823 | 77,606 | 3,802,694 |
| Pirot | 316,591 | 5,421,901 | 169,886 | 1,528,971 | 74,224 | 668,015 | 8,166 | 73,496 | 64,315 | 3,151,418 | Odzac | 112,641 | 3,292,356 | 29,578 | 266,201 | 21,175 | 190,575 | 4,924 | 44,313 | 56,965 | 2,791,267 |
| Pozarevac | 617,113 | 13,591,553 | 233,965 | 2,105,689 | 161,226 | 1,451,034 | 20,983 | 188,849 | 200,938 | 9,845,981 | Sombor | 231,661 | 6,719,145 | 64,703 | 582,327 | 42,188 | 379,689 | 8,915 | 80,239 | 115,855 | 5,676,891 |
| City of Pozarevac | 400,957 | 7,538,701 | 146,666 | 1,319,994 | 143,190 | 1,288,707 | 12,849 | 115,642 | 98,252 | 4,814,358 | Sremska Mitrovica | 1,502,207 | 34,715,840 | 456,553 | 4,108,974 | 387,629 | 3,488,663 | 128,12 | 1,153 | 529,899 | 5,965,074 |
| Golubac | 19,127 | 504,522 | 8,809 | 79,282 | 1,244 | 11,199 | 763 | 6,871 | 8,310 | 407,170 | Indija | 220,982 | 4,121,498 | 73,312 | 659,808 | 58,654 | 527,890 | 35,699 | 321,289 | 53,317 | 2,612,510 |
| Kucevo | 31,892 | 894,759 | 12,875 | 115,871 | 2,714 | 24,430 | 1,109 | 9,984 | 15,193 | 744,473 | Irig | 45,802 | 478,173 | 34,986 | 314,872 | 4,902 | 44,118 | 4,266 | 38,390 | 1,649 | 80,794 |
| Malo Crnice | 4,803 | 77,877 | 2,495 | 22,458 | 1,008 | 9,071 | 433 | 3,897 | 866 | 42,45 | Pecinci | 59,843 | 615,627 | 47,947 | 431,522 | 4,125 | 37,124 | 5,846 | 52,612 | 1,926 | 94,370 |
| Petrovac na |  |  |  |  | 107 | 959 |  |  |  |  | Ruma | 451,049 | 11,252,866 | 111,412 | 1,002,708 | 118,797 | 1,069,170 | 41,005 | 369,042 | 179,836 | 8,811,946 |
| Mlavi |  |  |  |  | 107 | 959 | 1,785 | 16,069 |  |  | Sid | 124,348 | 3,583,055 | 37 | 340,763 | 18,859 | 169,727 | 6,029 | 54,260 | 61,598 | 3,018,305 |
| Veliko Gradiste | 87,370 | 2,587,834 | 32,043 | 288,385 | 7,513 | 67,613 | 2,777 | 24,992 | 45,038 | 2,206,843 | Sremska Mitrovica | 357,750 | 9,280,335 | 90,915 | 818,238 | 96,026 | 864,232 | 19,295 | 173,652 | 151,515 | 7,424,212 |
| Zabari | 32,826 | 998,537 | 10,327 | 92,940 | 4,213 | 37,918 | 709 | 6,379 | 17,578 | 861,299 | Stara Pazova | 242,432 | 5,384,286 | 60,118 | 541,063 | 86,267 | 776,403 | 15,987 | 143,885 | 80,060 | 3,922,936 |
| Zagubica | 11,249 | 172,993 | 7,661 | 68,950 | 1,237 | 11,135 | 557 | 5,014 | 1,794 | 87,894 | Subotica | 984,428 | 19,265,478 | 487,221 | 4,384,986 | 207,260 | 1,865,341 | 29,806 | 268,256 | 260,141 | 12,746,895 |
| Pozega | 94,878 | 1,531,015 | 60,995 | 548,953 | 8,566 | 77,094 | 8,390 | 75,509 | 16,928 | 829,458 | Ada | 36,679 | 967,643 | 17,769 | 159,917 | 1,763 | 15,867 | 1,210 | 10,887 | 15,938 | 780,973 |
| Arilje | 27,745 | 486,155 | 17,287 | 155,584 | 2,238 | 20,144 | 2,309 | 20,778 | 5,911 | 289,649 | Backa Top | 106,507 | 3,396,557 | 29,231 | 263,083 | 9,824 | 88,417 | 6,502 | 58,515 | 60,950 | 2,986,541 |
| Ivanjica | 37,361 | 554,538 | 23,845 | 214,609 | 4,482 | 40,335 | 3,577 | 32,191 | 5,457 | 267,403 | Kanjiza | 177,819 | 3,144,041 | 18,464 | 166,180 | 118,562 | 1,067,054 | 2,201 | 19,812 | 38,592 | 1,890,995 |
| Kosjeric | 6,876 | 81,6 | 5,33 | 48,02 | 526 | 4,73 | 522 | 4,695 | 493 | 24,1 | Mali Ido | 29,400 | 1,041,521 | 6,5 | 58,832 | 1,366 | 12,295 | 2,074 | 18,665 | 19,423 | 951,728 |
| Pozega | 22,896 | 408,720 | 14,527 | 130,739 | 1,320 | 11,881 | 1,983 | 17,845 | 5,066 | 248,256 | Senta | 124,716 | 3,544,015 | 25,968 | 233,710 | 33,257 | 299,315 | 4,952 | 44,565 | 60,539 | 2,966,425 |
| Prijepolje | 230,602 | 4,081,255 | 135,550 | 1,219,946 | 26,327 | 236,940 | 18,580 | 167,220 | 50,146 | 2,457,149 | Subotica | 509,306 | 7,171,702 | 389,252 | 3,503,264 | 42,488 | 382,394 | 12,868 | 115,812 | 64,699 | 3,170,231 |
| Nova Varos | 14,435 | 205,304 | 8,844 | 79,592 | 1,067 | 9,606 | 2,640 | 23,760 | 1,885 | 92,347 | Uzice | 129,211 | 2,813,431 | 41,652 | 374,872 | 36,688 | 330,190 | 9,607 | 86,466 | 41,263 | 2,021,903 |
| Priboj | 39,661 | 741,888 | 23,112 | 208,011 | 2,254 | 20,286 | 4,672 | 42,046 | 9,623 | 471,546 | Bajina Basta | 59,521 | 1,283,946 | 18,749 | 168,741 | 18,522 | 166,701 | 3,543 | 31,887 | 18,706 | 916,618 |
| Prijepolje | 176,50 | 3,134,062 | 103,594 | 932,344 | 23,005 | 207,048 | 11,268 | 101,415 | 38,638 | 1,893,256 | Cajetina | 43,918 | 978, | 11 | 100,45 | 15,78 | 142,029 | 2,395 | 21,555 | 14,580 | 714,431 |
| Prokuplje | 697,282 | 15,905,744 | 306,537 | 2,758,834 | 120,504 | 1,084,539 | 29,486 | 265,374 | 240,755 | 11,796,997 | Uzice | 25,773 | 551,018 | 11,742 | 105,679 | 2,384 | 21,460 | 3,669 | 33,023 | 7,977 | 390,854 |
| Blace | 75,709 | 1,589,698 | 36,517 | 328,650 | 12,851 | 115,662 | 3,633 | 32,693 | 22,708 | 1,112,693 | Valjevo | 727,333 | 17,345,992 | 200,255 | 1,802,291 | 211,455 | 1,903,095 | 45,623 | 410,607 | 270,000 | 13,229,999 |
| Merosina | 36,999 | 427,648 | 30,930 | 278,370 | 1,633 | 14,696 | 2,070 | 18,628 | 2,366 | 115,954 | Lajkovac | 14,456 | 182,614 | 11,414 | 102,724 | 325 | 2,922 | 1,405 | 12,642 | 1,313 | 64,326 |
| Prokuplje | 401,214 | 9,959,299 | 152,777 | 1,374,992 | 74,530 | 670,772 | 15,198 | 136,778 | 158,709 | 7,776,757 | Ljig | 11,628 | 150,729 | 8,595 | 77,355 | 761 | 6,845 | 1,120 | 10,082 | 1,152 | 56,447 |
| Zitorada | 183,361 | 3,929,099 | 86,314 | 776,822 | 31,490 | 283,409 | 8,586 | 77,275 | 56,971 | 2,791,593 | Mionica | 12,648 | 156,741 | 9,624 | 86,617 | 73 | 6,627 | 1,215 | 10,938 | 1,073 | 52,560 |
| Sabac | 407,427 | 9,734,596 | 138,602 | 1,247,419 | 70,391 | 633,518 | 46,740 | 420,659 | 151,694 | 7,433,000 | Osecin | 35,051 | 687,030 | 8,856 | 79,701 | 15,727 | 141,546 | 1,179 | 10,612 | 9,289 | 455,172 |
| Bogatic | 45,445 | 888,671 | 18,927 | 170,342 | 7,793 | 70,134 | 6,733 | 60,601 | 11,992 | 587,593 | Ub | 113,527 | 2,740,084 | 32,661 | 293,946 | 32,712 | 294,405 | 5,196 | 46,762 | 42,959 | 2,104,971 |
| Koceljeva | 44,306 | 1,133,928 | 15,917 | 143,249 | 6,252 | 56,268 | 3,758 | 33,822 | 18,379 | 900,590 | Valjevo | 540,023 | 13,428,794 | 129,105 | 1,161,948 | 161,195 | 1,450,751 | 35,508 | 319,572 | 214,215 | 10,496,523 |
| Sabac | 304,708 | 7,393,063 | 99,175 | 892,579 | 55,954 | 503,584 | 33,311 | 299,802 | 116,267 | 5,697,097 | Vranje | 1,305,406 | 19,070,640 | 913,802 | 8,224,222 | 162,289 | 1,460,601 | 46,265 | 416,384 | 183,050 | 8,969,432 |
| Vladimirci | 12,968 | 318,934 | 4,583 | 41,249 | 392 | 3,531 | 2,937 | 26,434 | 5,056 | 247,721 | Bujanovac | 335,790 | 4,816,915 | 269,501 | 2,425,507 | 12,582 | 113,238 | 8,837 | 79,532 | 44,870 | 2,198,638 |
| Second Basic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | City of Vranje | 416,190 | 6,397,938 | 274,087 | 2,466,782 | 58,741 | 528,672 | 17,056 | 153,501 | 66,306 | 3,248,984 |
| Cour | 998,645 | 18,198,484 | 454,141 | 4,087,267 | 216,285 | 1,946,569 | 97,952 | 881,567 | 230,267 | 1,283,082 | Presevo | 332,114 | 5,015,798 | 201,315 | 1,811,839 | 68,161 | 613,445 | 11,968 | 107,715 | 50,669 | 2,482,798 |
| grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surdulica | 106,823 | 1,163,161 | 93,124 | 838,120 | 6,356 | 57,208 | 2,298 | 20,679 | 5,044 | 247,154 |
| Barajevo | 36,781 | 868,738 | 11,706 | 105,358 | 6,232 | 56,088 | 5,400 | 48,604 | 13,443 | 658,688 | Trgoviste | 40,432 | 514,470 | 32,591 | 293,317 | 3,273 | 29,458 | 803 | 7,230 | 3,765 | 184,466 |
| Grocka | 46,373 | 1,051,550 | 16,109 | 144,980 | 6,977 | 62,795 | 7,431 | 66,883 | 15,855 | 776,892 | Vladicin Han | 74,058 | 1,162,357 | 43,184 | 388,657 | 13,176 | 118,580 | 5,303 | 47,728 | 12,396 | 607,393 |
| Lazarevac | 135,824 | 3,175,202 | 55,743 | 501,686 | 15,838 | 142,543 | 15,424 | 138,812 | 48,820 | 2,392,161 | Vrsac | 351,678 | 8,475,386 | 111,798 | 1,006,185 | 75,471 | 679,242 | 31,651 | 284,861 | 132,757 | 6,505,098 |
| Mladenovac | 173,175 | 3,171,210 | 55,231 | 497,082 | 56,803 | 511,223 | 20,826 | 187,431 | 40,316 | 1,975,472 | Bela Crkva | 128,000 | 2,518,538 | 36,123 | 325,103 | 46,600 | 419,399 | 11,114 | 100,022 | 34,164 | 1,674,013 |
| Obrenovac | 233,888 | 4,731,827 | 70,565 | 635,087 | 66,993 | 602,938 | 30,659 | 275,930 | 65,671 | 3,217,871 | Plandiste | 44,215 | 1,304,150 | 12,363 | 111,268 | 5,469 | 49,224 | 3,728 | 33,550 | 22,655 | 1,110,109 |
| Sopot | 48,470 | 1,074,578 | 11,843 | 106,585 | 15,440 | 138,958 | 5,228 | 47,056 | 15,959 | 781,979 | Vrsac | 179,463 | 4,652,697 | 63,313 | 569,814 | 23,402 | 210,619 | 16,810 | 151,289 | 75,938 | 3,720,976 |
| Surcin | 324,133 | 4,125,380 | 232,943 | 2,096,487 | 48,003 | 432,024 | 12,983 | 116,851 | 30,204 | 1,480,019 | Zajecar | 746,629 | 12,460,554 | 392,107 | 3,528,964 | 184,133 | 1,657,198 | 26,867 | 241,803 | 143,522 | 7,032,588 |


| Row Labels |  | Secondary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boljevac | 49,827 | 1,390,781 | 16,075 | 144,678 | 8,878 | 79,899 | 1,315 | 11,838 | 23,558 | 1,154,366 | Secanj | 98,953 | 2,397,610 | 23,850 | 214,654 | 28,294 | 254,645 | 9,132 | 82,192 | 37,676 | 1,846,120 |
| Knjazevac | 136,459 | 2,105,073 | 80,795 | 727,158 | 22,947 | 206,527 | 10,792 | 97,131 | 21,924 | 1,074,258 | Zitiste | 107,632 | 2,478,059 | 29,670 | 267,033 | 28,867 | 259,803 | 11,361 | 102,247 | 37,734 | 1,848,977 |
| Sokobanja | 22,666 | 576,428 | 7,769 | 69,920 | 4,710 | 42,393 | 876 | 7,883 | 9,311 | 456,232 | Zrenjanin | 499,507 | 11,497,494 | 134,424 | 1,209,817 | 138,564 | 1,247,079 | 51,471 | 463,235 | 175,048 | 8,577,363 |
| Zajecar | 537,678 | 8,388,272 | 287,468 | 2,587,209 | 147,598 | 1,328,379 | 13,883 | 124,951 | 88,729 | 4,347,733 |  | 28,818,94 |  | 3,198,93 |  | 5,328,50 | 47,956,57 | ,141,63 | 19,274,73 | 8,149,8 | 399,343,36 |
| Zrenjanin | 880,825 | 20,822,613 | 192,945 | 1,736,507 | 278,909 | 2,510,178 | 86,591 | 779,323 | 322,380 | 15,796,605 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 8,790,367 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 65 | 6 |
| Novi Becej | 174,733 | 4,449,450 | 5,000 | 45,003 | 83,183 | 748,651 | 14,628 | 131,651 | 71,921 | 3,524,145 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## IG1 Scenario 2

| Row Labels | Primary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost |  |  | Secondary Total Target Pop Lit Casehours Cost | Primary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels | Primary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost |  |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bor | 736,597 | 3,720,761 | 104,794 | 394,224 | 84,978 | 319,678 | 48,205 | 181,342 | 498,621 | 2,825,517 | Novi Knezevac | 331,840 | 1,614,234 | 83,131 | 312,730 | 51,542 | 193,898 | 5,079 | 19,106 | 192,088 | 1,088,500 |
| Bor | 736,597 | 3,720,761 | 104,794 | 394,224 | 84,978 | 319,678 | 48,205 | 181,342 | 498,621 | 2,825,517 | Kragujevac | 8,020,569 | 8,943,766 | 496,569 | 9,391,855 | 410,384 | 1,543,824 | 508,764 | 1,913,9 | 4,604,85 | 6,094,166 |
| Cacak | 1,807,742 | 8,901,481 | 407,407 | 1,532,626 | 227,822 | 857,046 | 69,526 | 261,551 | 1,102,987 | 6,250,258 | Arandelovac | 1,112,333 | 5,318,716 | 284,839 | 1,071,538 | 118,965 | 447,533 | 113,060 | 425,319 | 595,469 | 3,374,326 |
| Cacak | 1,383,441 | 6,842,210 | 305,004 | 1,147,398 | 164,796 | 619,947 | 53,776 | 202,300 | 859,864 | 4,872,565 | Batocina | 162,344 | 642,424 | 132,148 | 497,129 | 4,922 | 18,516 | 8,631 | 32,467 | 16,643 | 94,312 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 246,364 | 1,197,584 | 59,276 | 222,992 | 35,875 | 134,957 | 9,051 | 34,050 | 142,162 | 805,586 | Knic | 335,879 | 1,637,421 | 108,517 | 408,230 | 9,650 | 36,301 | 21,428 | 80,610 | 196,284 | 1,112,279 |
| Lucani | 177,937 | 861,686 | 43,126 | 162,236 | 27,152 | 102,142 | 6,699 | 25,201 | 100,960 | 572,107 | Kragujevac | 5,807,855 | 28,566,334 | 1,747,000 | 6,572,049 | 189,078 | 711,292 | 344,967 | 1,297,732 | 3,526,811 | 9,985,261 |
| First Basic Court in Belgrad | 12,098,485 | 60,131,327 | 2,550,33 | 9,594,103 | 1,008,579 | 3,794,17 | 865,136 | 3,254,56 | 7,674,439 43 | 43,488,486 | Raca | 113,043 | 489,837 | 72,026 | 270,954 | 2,960 | 11,137 | 4,152 | 15,621 | 33,905 | 192,126 |
| Cukarica | 1,870,701 | 9,507,686 | 237,163 | 892,186 | 224,477 | 844,461 | 112,159 | 421,932 | 1,296,901 | 7,349,107 | Topola | 489,115 | 2,289,034 | 152,039 | 571,955 | 84,809 | 319,045 | 16,527 | 62,172 | 235,740 | 1,335,862 |
| Novi Beograd | 1,011,648 | 4,774,810 | 342,474 | 1,288,356 | 47,858 | 180,039 | 112,544 | 423,379 | 508,771 | 2,883,036 | Kraljevo | 2,159,969 | 10,475,109 | 539,683 | 2,030,234 | 224,104 | 843,058 | 162,688 | 612,019 | 1,233,494 | 6,989,798 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 3,270,959 | 16,508,380 | 801,508 | 3,015,195 | 90,921 | 342,038 | 171,775 | 646,200 | 2,206,755 12 | 12,504,946 | Kraljevo | 1,980,630 | 9,726,471 | 430,252 | 1,618,567 | 210,221 | 790,831 | 145,504 | 547,370 | 1,194,654 | 6,769,704 |
| Rakovica | 876,513 | 4,319,485 | 133,606 | 502,613 | 143,107 | 538,353 | 63,185 | 237,696 | 536,616 | 3,040,822 | Raska | 179,339 | 748,637 | 109,431 | 411,668 | 13,883 | 52,227 | 17,185 | 64,648 | 38,840 | 220,094 |
| Savski venac | 213,041 | 1,038,483 | 37,622 | 141,531 | 33,178 | 124,812 | 17,792 | 66,932 | 124,449 | 705,208 | Krusevac | 2,587,332 | 12,474,597 | 724,786 | 2,726,574 | 332,381 | 1,250,387 | 90,981 | 342,263 | 1,439,184 | 8,155,373 |
| Stari grad | 381,735 | 1,887,142 | 113,679 | 427,648 | 6,872 | 25,852 | 24,363 | 91,651 | 236,822 | 1,341,991 | Aleksandrovac | 201,802 | 986,402 | 40,907 | 153,887 | 33,976 | 127,814 | 7,617 | 28,656 | 119,302 | 676,045 |
| Vozdovac | 416,469 | 2,023,427 | 86,146 | 324,074 | 26,439 | 99,461 | 64,111 | 241,180 | 239,773 | 1,358,712 | Brus | 181,676 | 865,629 | 53,459 | 201,107 | 25,984 | 97,749 | 6,589 | 24,786 | 95,645 | 541,987 |
| Vracar | 237,810 | 1,139,927 | 72,528 | 272,845 | 9,575 | 36,021 | 26,920 | 101,269 | 128,787 | 729,792 | Cicevac | 63,395 | 254,077 | 51,217 | 192,674 | 2,939 | 11,056 | 1,055 | 3,967 | 8,185 | 46,380 |
| Zemun | 2,524,326 | 12,472,307 | 429,111 | 1,614,275 | 362,321 | 1,363,016 | 170,476 | 641,316 | 1,562,418 | 8,853,700 | Krusevac | 1,654,151 | 8,140,708 | 361,782 | 1,360,988 | 227,860 | 857,189 | 57,584 | 216,626 | 1,006,924 | 5,705,905 |
| Zvezdara | 1,295,283 | 6,459,680 | 296,493 | 1,115,380 | 63,831 | 240,125 | 101,811 | 383,004 | 833,148 | 4,721,171 | Lapovo | 88,103 | 373,995 | 57,263 | 215,418 | 5,183 | 19,498 | 3,313 | 12,464 | 22,344 | 126,615 |
| Jagodina | 1,675,954 | 8,697,715 | 265,132 | 997,400 | 116,591 | 438,606 | 37,941 | 142,729 | 1,256,291 | 7,118,981 | Trstenik | 312,696 | 1,522,029 | 83,619 | 314,566 | 34,046 | 128,078 | 13,542 | 50,942 | 181,490 | 1,028,443 |
| Jagodina | 1,187,343 | 6,160,427 | 197,648 | 743,533 | 69,296 | 260,686 | 31,177 | 117,284 | 889,222 | 5,038,924 | Varvarin | 85,508 | 331,757 | 76,540 | 287,935 | 2,393 | 9,002 | 1,282 | 4,821 | 5,294 | 29,999 |
| Rekovac | 127,999 | 636,590 | 24,664 | 92,785 | 19,605 | 73,754 | 2,318 | 8,720 | 81,411 | 461,332 | Leskovac | 4,861,253 | 22,583,865 | 1,926,580 | 7,247,610 | 567,253 | 2,133,954 | 111,864 | 420,820 | 2,255,556 | 12,781,482 |
| Svilajnac | 360,612 | 1,900,698 | 42,819 | 161,082 | 27,690 | 104,166 | 4,446 | 16,726 | 285,657 | 1,618,725 | Bojnik | 216,822 | 994,061 | 79,706 | 299,845 | 40,256 | 151,441 | 3,201 | 12,042 | 93,659 | 530,734 |
| Kikinda | 2,672,367 | 12,463,390 | 1,045,419 | 3,932,766 | 285,848 | 1,075,333 | 75,746 | 284,950 | 1,265,354 | 7,170,340 | Crna Trava | 10,661 | 44,160 | 7,694 | 28,945 | 671 | 2,526 | 168 | 632 | 2,128 | 12,057 |
| Coka | 299,031 | 1,471,399 | 85,423 | 321,354 | 20,239 | 76,137 | 11,471 | 43,151 | 181,898 | 1,030,757 | Lebane | 399,967 | 1,797,064 | 159,706 | 600,800 | 80,349 | 302,264 | 6,389 | 24,034 | 153,523 | 869,966 |
| Kikinda | 1,862,833 | 8,476,893 | 826,170 | 3,107,973 | 207,589 | 780,931 | 57,801 | 217,443 | 771,273 | 4,370,546 | Leskovac | 3,219,175 | 15,571,108 | 975,965 | 3,671,488 | 336,276 | 1,265,039 | 89,973 | 338,470 | 1,816,961 | 10,296,110 |
| Nova Crnja | 178,663 | 900,864 | 50,695 | 190,709 | 6,478 | 24,368 | 1,396 | 5,250 | 120,095 | 680,536 | Medveda | 226,558 | 1,000,302 | 58,443 | 219,856 | 85,038 | 319,904 | 5,371 | 20,205 | 77,707 | 440,337 |


| Row Labels | Primary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost |  |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost |  |  |  | S.noчәseว |  | Row Labels | Primary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost |  |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost | Secondary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost | Primary Total Target Executed Casehours Cost |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vlasotince | 364,982 | 1,397,653 | 346,101 | 1,301,999 | 3,368 | 12,672 | 2,584 | 9,720 | 12,929 | 73,262 | Becej | 1,226,772 | 6,031,309 | 154,255 | 580,293 | 308,160 | 1,159,267 | 20,796 | 78,231 | 743,562 | 4,213,518 |
| Vrnjacka Banja | 423,087 | 1,779,518 | 298,965 | 1,124,676 | 21,295 | 80,109 | 4,178 | 15,717 | 98,650 | 559,015 | Beocin | 557,204 | 2,845,756 | 93,401 | 351,367 | 34,040 | 128,054 | 36,218 | 136,249 | 393,545 | 2,230,086 |
| Loznica | 1,039,466 | 4,913,680 | 398,955 | 1,500,832 | 66,425 | 249,885 | 47,349 | 178,124 | 526,736 | 2,984,839 | City of Novi Sad | 3,977,423 | 19,739,163 | 852,667 | 3,207,651 | 288,626 | 1,085,784 | 328,480 | 1,235,711 | 2,507,650 | 4,210,016 |
| Krupanj | 92,894 | 370,240 | 72,919 | 274,313 | 1,838 | 6,915 | 7,228 | 27,191 | 10,910 | 61,822 | Srbobran | 525,346 | 2,724,241 | 77,181 | 290,346 | 42,422 | 159,589 | 13,074 | 49,185 | 392,668 | 2,225,121 |
| Ljubovija | 210,527 | 1,059,930 | 35,995 | 135,411 | 27,535 | 103,584 | 6,323 | 23,785 | 140,673 | 797,149 | Sremski Karlovci | 321,882 | 1,552,168 | 104,819 | 394,317 | 17,368 | 65,338 | 20,524 | 77,208 | 179,171 | 1,015,304 |
| Loznica | 736,045 | 3,483,510 | 290,041 | 1,091,108 | 37,052 | 139,386 | 33,799 | 127,148 | 375,153 | 2,125,868 | Temerin | 156,859 | 823,244 | 21,230 | 79,865 | 9,472 | 35,632 | 3,751 | 14,112 | 122,406 | 693,635 |
| Negotin | 1,147,052 | 5,031,223 | 588,782 | 2,214,942 | 157,116 | 591,055 | 25,191 | 94,766 | 375,963 | 2,130,460 | Titel | 359,422 | 1,770,376 | 26,803 | 100,831 | 107,492 | 404,374 | 5,538 | 20,834 | 219,589 | 1,244,337 |
| Kladovo | 253,395 | 1,186,016 | 100,524 | 378,163 | 26,467 | 99,566 | 4,201 | 15,804 | 122,203 | 692,483 | Vrbas | 1,216,343 | 6,198,341 | 212,636 | 799,917 | 115,836 | 435,763 | 36,020 | 135,506 | 851,851 | 4,827,156 |
| Majdanpek | 349,560 | 1,687,478 | 99,113 | 372,852 | 46,802 | 176,063 | 8,102 | 30,480 | 195,544 | 1,108,083 | Zabalj | 654,695 | 3,222,262 | 172,963 | 650,672 | 33,667 | 126,650 | 49,399 | 185,836 | 398,665 | 2,259,103 |
| Negotin | 544,097 | 2,157,729 | 389,145 | 1,463,927 | 83,847 | 315,426 | 12,888 | 48,482 | 58,217 | 329,894 | Pancevo | 2,821,132 | 14,546,897 | 401,137 | 1,509,040 | 256,996 | 966,793 | 97,613 | 367,211 | 2,065,386 11 | 11,703,853 |
| Nis | 6,841,209 | 31,723,733 | 2,824,155 | 10,624,204 | 657,706 | 2,474,228 | 215,775 | 811,724 | 3,143,572 | 217,813,577 | Alibunar | 177,364 | 761,189 | 96,525 | 363,116 | 24,375 | 91,695 | 7,135 | 26,841 | 49,330 | 279,536 |
| Aleksinac | 368,529 | 1,877,790 | 90,751 | 341,396 | 6,012 | 22,617 | 13,773 | 51,811 | 257,994 | 1,461,967 | Kovacica | 266,848 | 1,358,108 | 31,360 | 117,972 | 41,210 | 155,029 | 8,297 | 31,211 | 185,982 | 1,053,895 |
| City of Nis | 5,327,774 | 24,831,397 | 2,069,383 | 7,784,821 | 560,591 | 2,108,891 | 183,670 | 690,947 | 2,514,130 | 14,246,739 | Kovin | 570,503 | 2,993,400 | 62,176 | 233,902 | 42,752 | 160,830 | 20,782 | 78,179 | 444,792 | 2,520,489 |
| Doljevac | 628,113 | 2,618,101 | 435,792 | 1,639,408 | 56,061 | 210,895 | 2,280 | 8,578 | 133,980 | 759,221 | Opovo | 226,677 | 1,176,463 | 29,622 | 111,436 | 18,483 | 69,532 | 8,617 | 32,416 | 169,955 | 963,078 |
| Gadzin Han | 214,003 | 1,023,396 | 57,959 | 218,038 | 31,230 | 117,484 | 10,188 | 38,326 | 114,626 | 649,548 | Pancevo | 1,579,739 | 8,257,738 | 181,454 | 682,614 | 130,175 | 489,707 | 52,783 | 198,563 | 1,215,327 | 6,886,854 |
| Razanj | 27,047 | 133,135 | 9,022 | 33,941 | 176 | 663 | 1,369 | 5,151 | 16,479 | 93,382 | Paracin | 818,747 | 3,662,726 | 355,409 | 1,337,014 | 110,309 | 414,972 | 47,124 | 177,278 | 305,905 | 1,733,462 |
| Svrljig | 275,743 | 1,239,913 | 161,249 | 606,602 | 3,636 | 13,678 | 4,495 | 16,911 | 106,363 | 602,721 | Cuprija | 66,162 | 298,792 | 28,192 | 106,055 | 6,690 | 25,167 | 5,085 | 19,130 | 26,195 | 148,440 |
| Novi Pazar | 2,739,203 | 13,985,369 | 566,047 | 2,129,416 | 145,435 | 547,113 | 95,328 | 358,615 | 1,932,393 | 10,950,225 | Despotovac | 213,018 | 1,017,645 | 66,708 | 250,951 | 24,287 | 91,364 | 8,469 | 31,861 | 113,553 | 643,470 |
| Mali Zvornik | 255,626 | 1,148,111 | 146,401 | 550,748 | 6,727 | 25,305 | 4,600 | 17,306 | 97,897 | 554,750 | Paracin | 539,567 | 2,346,289 | 260,509 | 980,008 | 79,333 | 298,441 | 33,570 | 126,287 | 166,156 | 941,553 |
| Novi Pazar | 1,915,311 | 10,009,917 | 298,060 | 1,121,274 | 77,964 | 293,293 | 66,820 | 251,371 | 1,472,467 | 8,343,979 | Pirot | 1,669,936 | 7,823,396 | 551,710 | 2,075,480 | 286,180 | 1,076,583 | 22,886 | 86,095 | 809,160 | 4,585,239 |
| Sjenica | 283,039 | 1,447,591 | 48,647 | 183,005 | 27,441 | 103,232 | 5,967 | 22,448 | 200,983 | 1,138,906 | Babusnica | 319,957 | 1,502,023 | 88,165 | 331,667 | 72,512 | 272,784 | 2,632 | 9,900 | 156,648 | 887,672 |
| Tutin | 285,227 | 1,379,751 | 72,939 | 274,389 | 33,303 | 125,283 | 17,940 | 67,489 | 161,045 | 912,591 | Dimitrovgrad | 337,840 | 1,595,021 | 106,785 | 401,715 | 57,798 | 217,430 | 3,105 | 11,681 | 170,152 | 964,195 |
| Novi Sad | 9,449,110 | 47,124,375 | 1,838,084 | 6,914,696 | 1,005,680 | 3,783,272 | 527,042 | 1,982,680 6 | 6,078,305 | 54,443,726 | Pirot | 1,012,139 | 4,726,352 | 356,760 | 1,342,097 | 155,870 | 586,369 | 17,149 | 64,514 | 482,360 | 2,733,373 |
| Bac | 72,292 | 346,951 | 23,257 | 87,489 | 7,142 | 26,868 | 2,521 | 9,485 | 39,372 | 223,109 | Pozarevac | 2,381,005 | 11,827,662 | 491,328 | 1,848,327 | 338,575 | 1,273,685 | 44,065 | 165,767 | 1,507,038 | 8,539,882 |
| Backa Palanka | 380,871 | 1,870,562 | 98,872 | 371,947 | 41,455 | 155,951 | 10,719 | 40,324 | 229,825 | 1,302,341 | City of Pozarevac | 1,372,572 | 6,567,087 | 307,999 | 1,158,661 | 300,698 | 1,131,199 | 26,983 | 101,508 | 736,891 | 4,175,718 |


| Row Labels |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Lit Casehours Cost |  | Primary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost | Secondary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost |  |  | S.noчәseว |  | Row Labels |  |  |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secondary Total Target Pop Uncontested } \\ & \text { Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ | Primary Total Target Executed Casehours Cost |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Golubac | 85,037 | 438,611 | 18,499 | 69,592 | 2,613 | 9,831 | 1,603 | 6,031 | 62,322 | 353,157 | Vladimirci | 54,533 | 277,369 | 9,625 | 36,207 | 824 | 3,099 | 6,168 | 23,203 | 37,916 | 214,860 |
| Kucevo | 149,017 | 777,634 | 27,037 | 101,709 | 5,700 | 21,444 | 2,330 | 8,764 | 113,950 | 645,716 | Second Basic Court in Belgrade | 3,340,596 | 15,856,533 | 953,696 | 3,587,712 | 454,199 | 1,708,655 | 205,699 | 773,820 | 1,727,002 | 9,786,347 |
| Malo Crnice | 14,764 | 67,916 | 5,240 | 19,713 | 2,117 | 7,963 | 909 | 3,420 | 6,498 | 36,820 | Barajevo | 149,831 | 755,688 | 24,584 | 92,481 | 13,087 | 49,233 | 11,341 | 42,663 | 100,820 | 571,311 |
| Petrovac na Mlavi | 135,772 | 709,448 | 27,489 | 103,410 | 224 | 842 | 3,749 | 14,105 | 104,310 | 591,091 | Grocka | 182,999 | 914,924 | 33,829 | 127,261 | 14,652 | 55,120 | 15,606 | 58,708 | 118,912 | 673,835 |
| Veliko Gradiste | 426,680 | 2,248,524 | 67,290 | 253,138 | 15,776 | 59,350 | 5,832 | 21,938 | 337,782 | 1,914,099 | Lazarevac | 548,857 | 2,762,169 | 117,060 | 440,369 | 33,260 | 125,121 | 32,389 | 121,846 | 366,147 | 2,074,833 |
| Zabari | 163,854 | 867,509 | 21,686 | 81,581 | 8,848 | 33,284 | 1,488 | 5,599 | 131,831 | 747,045 | Mladenovac | 581,374 | 2,763,012 | 115,986 | 436,328 | 119,285 | 448,741 | 43,734 | 164,523 | 302,368 | 1,713,420 |
| Zagubica | 33,310 | 150,933 | 16,088 | 60,523 | 2,598 | 9,774 | 1,170 | 4,401 | 13,453 | 76,235 | Obrenovac | 845,788 | 4,119,928 | 148,187 | 557,466 | 140,686 | 529,246 | 64,384 | 242,205 | 492,531 | 2,791,011 |
| Pozega | 290,654 | 1,335,239 | 128,089 | 481,859 | 17,989 | 67,672 | 17,619 | 66,280 | 126,958 | 719,428 | Sopot | 187,964 | 935,084 | 24,870 | 93,558 | 32,424 | 121,974 | 10,980 | 41,304 | 119,691 | 678,247 |
| Arilje | 90,185 | 423,715 | 36,303 | 136,568 | 4,700 | 17,682 | 4,848 | 18,239 | 44,334 | 251,226 | Surcin | 843,784 | 3,605,729 | 489,180 | 1,840,249 | 100,806 | 379,221 | 27,265 | 102,569 | 226,533 | 1,283,690 |
| Ivanjica | 107,927 | 483,972 | 50,075 | 188,379 | 9,411 | 35,405 | 7,511 | 28,256 | 40,929 | 231,931 | Smederevo | 2,958,344 | 14,010,589 1 | 1,069,569 | 4,023,616 | 303,360 | 1,141,210 | 72,586 | 273,060 | 1,512,830 | 8,572,703 |
| Kosjeric | 17,102 | 71,377 | 11,205 | 42,152 | 1,105 | 4,156 | 1,096 | 4,121 | 3,697 | 20,947 | Smederevo | 1,149,538 | 5,107,603 | 585,060 | 2,200,940 | 134,246 | 505,020 | 19,078 | 71,768 | 411,154 | 2,329,875 |
| Pozega | 75,440 | 356,176 | 30,506 | 114,759 | 2,772 | 10,428 | 4,164 | 15,664 | 37,998 | 215,324 | Smederevska Palanka | 986,188 | 4,931,060 | 206,645 | 777,380 | 104,321 | 392,446 | 34,136 | 128,416 | 641,086 | 3,632,819 |
| Prijepolje | 755,052 | 3,556,805 | 284,654 | 1,070,842 | 55,286 | 207,981 | 39,018 | 146,782 | 376,094 | 2,131,200 | Velika Plana | 822,618 | 3,971,926 | 277,864 | 1,045,296 | 64,793 | 243,744 | 19,372 | 72,876 | 460,590 | 2,610,009 |
| Nova Varos | 40,491 | 179,248 | 18,571 | 69,864 | 2,241 | 8,432 | 5,544 | 20,856 | 14,135 | 80,097 | Sombor | 3,131,685 | 16,047,591 | 398,346 | 1,498,538 | 433,740 | 1,631,690 | 59,692 | 224,555 | 2,239,907 | 12,692,808 |
| Priboj | 135,255 | 646,294 | 48,536 | 182,587 | 4,733 | 17,807 | 9,811 | 36,907 | 72,175 | 408,994 | Apatin | 544,833 | 2,738,592 | 77,992 | 293,400 | 95,454 | 359,088 | 9,671 | 36,381 | 361,716 | 2,049,722 |
| Prijepolje | 579,306 | 2,731,262 | 217,547 | 818,390 | 48,311 | 181,742 | 23,663 | 89,019 | 289,784 | 1,642,110 | Kula | 930,592 | 4,609,456 | 122,363 | 460,320 | 205,225 | 772,037 | 20,959 | 78,845 | 582,045 | 3,298,255 |
| Prokuplje | 2,764,370 | 13,838,656 | 643,728 | 2,421,643 | 253,059 | 951,984 | 61,921 | 232,939 | 1,805,663 | 10,232,090 | Odzaci | 544,155 | 2,860,841 | 62,113 | 233,665 | 44,467 | 167,282 | 10,340 | 38,897 | 427,235 | 2,420,997 |
| Blace | 281,611 | 1,383,795 | 76,685 | 288,482 | 26,988 | 101,525 | 7,628 | 28,697 | 170,310 | 965,091 | Sombor | 1,112,105 | 5,838,702 | 135,876 | 511,154 | 88,594 | 333,282 | 18,722 | 70,432 | 868,912 | 4,923,834 |
| Merosina | 90,477 | 374,170 | 64,953 | 244,347 | 3,429 | 12,900 | 4,346 | 16,351 | 17,748 | 100,573 | Sremska Mitrovica | 6,016,092 | 30,201,956 | 958,761 | 3,606,766 | 814,021 | 3,062,271 | 269,064 | 1,012,192 | 3,974,246 | 22,520,727 |
| Prokuplje | 1,699,580 | 8,660,934 | 320,831 | 1,206,938 | 156,513 | 588,789 | 31,915 | 120,061 | 1,190,320 | 6,745,146 | Indija | 751,971 | 3,590,508 | 153,955 | 579,165 | 123,174 | 463,370 | 74,968 | 282,021 | 399,874 | 2,265,953 |
| Zitorada | 692,703 | 3,419,757 | 181,258 | 681,877 | 66,129 | 248,770 | 18,031 | 67,830 | 427,285 | 2,421,279 | Irig | 105,088 | 418,887 | 73,470 | 276,387 | 10,294 | 38,726 | 8,958 | 33,698 | 12,367 | 70,077 |
| Sabac | 1,674,743 | 8,467,280 | 291,064 | 1,094,957 | 147,821 | 556,088 | 98,154 | 369,246 | 1,137,704 | 6,446,990 | Pecinci | 136,071 | 539,399 | 100,688 | 378,780 | 8,662 | 32,586 | 12,276 | 46,181 | 14,444 | 81,852 |
| Bogatic | 160,189 | 773,926 | 39,747 | 149,523 | 16,365 | 61,562 | 14,140 | 53,194 | 89,938 | 509,647 | Ruma | 1,918,315 | 9,785,600 | 233,965 | 880,155 | 249,473 | 938,494 | 86,110 | 323,936 | 1,348,767 | 7,643,014 |
| Koceljeva | 192,291 | 985,943 | 33,425 | 125,740 | 13,129 | 49,391 | 7,892 | 29,688 | 137,845 | 781,124 | Sid | 593,760 | 3,113,643 | 79,511 | 299,114 | 39,603 | 148,982 | 12,661 | 47,629 | 461,985 | 2,617,917 |
| Sabac | 1,267,730 | 6,430,041 | 208,269 | 783,486 | 117,503 | 442,035 | 69,954 | 263,160 | 872,005 | 4,941,360 | Sremska Mitrovica | 1,569,454 | 8,068,631 | 190,922 | 718,231 | 201,654 | 758,604 | 40,519 | 152,428 | 1,136,359 | 6,439,368 |


| Row Labels | Primary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost |  |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost |  |  |  | Primary Total Target Criminal Casehours Cost |  | Row Labels |  |  |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Uncontested Casehours Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stara Pazova | 941,431 | 4,685,288 | 126,248 | 474,933 | 181,161 | 681,509 | 33,573 | 126,299 | 600,449 | 3,402,546 | City of Vranje | 1,232,050 | 5,582,079 | 575,582 | 2,165,286 | 123,357 | 464,056 | 35,817 | 134,739 | 497,293 | 2,817,996 |
| Subotica | 3,472,058 | 16,777,848 | 1,023,163 | 3,849,043 | 435,246 | 1,637,355 | 62,593 | 235,469 | 1,951,055 | 11,055,980 | Presevo | 971,053 | 4,376,858 | 422,763 | 1,590,392 | 143,137 | 538,469 | 25,133 | 94,550 | 380,020 | 2,153,448 |
| Ada | 163,093 | 841,229 | 37,314 | 140,371 | 3,702 | 13,927 | 2,540 | 9,556 | 119,537 | 677,375 | Surdulica | 251,565 | 1,018,419 | 195,561 | 735,683 | 13,349 | 50,216 | 4,825 | 18,152 | 37,830 | 214,368 |
| Backa Topola | 552,794 | 2,950,270 | 61,386 | 230,928 | 20,631 | 77,611 | 13,654 | 51,363 | 457,124 | 2,590,368 | Trgoviste | 105,236 | 449,666 | 68,441 | 257,467 | 6,874 | 25,858 | 1,687 | 6,346 | 28,235 | 159,996 |
| Kanjiza | 581,815 | 2,740,045 | 38,775 | 145,869 | 248,979 | 936,636 | 4,623 | 17,390 | 289,438 | 1,640,149 | Vladicin Han | 222,460 | 1,013,956 | 90,687 | 341,154 | 27,669 | 104,087 | 11,136 | 41,894 | 92,968 | 526,820 |
| Mali Idos | 166,624 | 904,296 | 13,728 | 51,642 | 2,869 | 10,792 | 4,355 | 16,384 | 145,673 | 825,479 | Vrsac | 1,455,412 | 7,371,652 | 234,776 | 883,207 | 158,490 | 596,223 | 66,468 | 250,045 | 995,678 | 5,642,177 |
| Senta | 588,816 | 3,079,916 | 54,532 | 205,146 | 69,840 | 262,732 | 10,398 | 39,118 | 454,045 | 2,572,920 | Bela Crkva | 453,282 | 2,193,256 | 75,857 | 285,368 | 97,860 | 368,139 | 23,339 | 87,797 | 256,227 | 1,451,950 |
| Subotica | 1,418,916 | 6,262,092 | 817,428 | 3,075,087 | 89,225 | 335,657 | 27,023 | 101,658 | 485,239 | 2,749,690 | Plandiste | 215,191 | 1,133,175 | 25,962 | 97,668 | 11,486 | 43,208 | 7,828 | 29,449 | 169,915 | 962,849 |
| Uzice | 494,165 | 2,448,477 | 87,470 | 329,055 | 77,044 | 289,833 | 20,175 | 75,898 | 309,475 | 1,753,692 | Vrsac | 786,939 | 4,045,221 | 132,957 | 500,170 | 49,144 | 184,876 | 35,301 | 132,798 | 569,537 | 3,227,377 |
| Bajina Basta | 226,009 | 1,117,458 | 39,373 | 148,117 | 38,897 | 146,326 | 7,440 | 27,990 | 140,299 | 795,026 | Zajecar | 2,342,942 | 10,864,241 | 823,425 | 3,097,646 | 386,679 | 1,454,651 | 56,421 | 212,250 | 1,076,417 | 6,099,694 |
| Cajetina | 170,960 | 851,425 | 23,439 | 88,175 | 33,140 | 124,670 | 5,030 | 18,921 | 109,352 | 619,660 | Boljevac | 231,852 | 1,208,755 | 33,758 | 126,995 | 18,643 | 70,133 | 2,762 | 10,391 | 176,689 | 1,001,236 |
| Uzice | 97,196 | 479,594 | 24,659 | 92,763 | 5,007 | 18,837 | 7,705 | 28,987 | 59,825 | 339,006 | Knjazevac | 404,951 | 1,836,581 | 169,670 | 638,283 | 48,190 | 181,285 | 22,664 | 85,259 | 164,427 | 931,754 |
| Valjevo | 2,985,398 | 15,087,927 | 420,535 | 1,582,011 | 444,056 | 1,670,495 | 95,808 | 360,422 | 2,025,000 11 | 11,474,999 | Sokobanja | 97,877 | 501,217 | 16,315 | 61,374 | 9,892 | 37,212 | 1,839 | 6,920 | 69,831 | 395,711 |
| Lajkovac | 37,446 | 159,624 | 23,969 | 90,169 | 682 | 2,565 | 2,950 | 11,097 | 9,846 | 55,793 | Zajecar | 1,608,262 | 7,317,688 | 603,682 | 2,270,995 | 309,955 | 1,166,021 | 29,155 | 109,679 | 665,469 | 3,770,993 |
| Ljig | 30,639 | 131,717 | 18,050 | 67,901 | 1,597 | 6,008 | 2,352 | 8,850 | 8,640 | 48,959 | Zrenjanin | 3,590,583 | 18,112,855 | 405,185 | 1,524,267 | 585,708 | 2,203,378 | 181,842 | 684,073 | 2,417,848 | 13,701,137 |
| Mionica | 32,354 | 137,036 | 20,211 | 76,030 | 1,546 | 5,817 | 2,552 | 9,601 | 8,045 | 45,588 | Novi Becej | 755,315 | 3,868,869 | 10,501 | 39,503 | 174,685 | 657,149 | 30,718 | 115,560 | 539,410 | 3,056,656 |
| Osecina | 123,769 | 598,312 | 18,597 | 69,960 | 33,027 | 124,246 | 2,476 | 9,315 | 69,669 | 394,792 | Secanj | 411,250 | 2,085,312 | 50,086 | 188,418 | 59,417 | 223,522 | 19,178 | 72,146 | 282,569 | 1,601,226 |
| Ub | 470,382 | 2,383,228 | 68,587 | 258,019 | 68,695 | 258,422 | 10,911 | 41,046 | 322,189 | 1,825,740 | Zitiste | 429,793 | 2,155,899 | 62,308 | 234,396 | 60,621 | 228,049 | 23,858 | 89,750 | 283,007 | 1,603,705 |
| Valjevo | 2,290,807 | 11,678,010 | 271,121 | 1,019,932 | 338,509 | 1,273,437 | 74,567 | 280,513 | 1,606,611 | 9,104,127 | Zrenjanin | 1,994,225 | 10,002,775 | 282,291 | 1,061,950 | 290,985 | 1,094,658 | 108,088 | 406,617 | 1,312,862 | 7,439,549 |
| Vranje | 3,729,821 | 16,646,225 | 1,918,985 | 7,219,039 | 340,807 | 1,282,084 | 97,156 | 365,493 | 1,372,872 | 7,779,610 | Grand Total | 104,529,04 | 509,654,94 | 27,717,75 | 104,271,54 | 11,189,86 | 42,095,21 | 4,497,43 | 16,918,93 | 61,123,98 | 346,369,24 |
| Bujanovac | 947,458 | 4,205,247 | 565,952 | 2,129,056 | 26,422 | 99,398 | 18,557 | 69,812 | 336,526 | 1,906,982 | Grand Totar | 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 6 |

## IG2 Scenario 1

| Row Labels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Court in Belgrade | 3,393,264 | 53,161,135 | 619,356 | 9,703,247 | 10,361 | 162,324 | 2,763,547 | 43,295,563 | Rekovac | 26,943 | 422,106 | 2,533 | 39,683 | 366 | 5,733 | 24,044 | 376,690 |
| Barajevo | 75,663 | 1,185,389 | 15,550 | 243,618 | 223 | 3,494 | 59,890 | 938,277 | Svilajnac | 61,660 | 966,013 | 4,296 | 67,301 | 485 | 7,600 | 56,880 | 891,112 |
| Cukarica | 275,707 | 4,319,412 | 14,480 | 226,853 | 737 | 11,544 | 260,490 | 4,081,016 | Higher Court in Kragujevac | 557,980 | 8,741,692 | 53,488 | 837,984 | 1,035 | 16,222 | 503,457 | 7,887,486 |
| Grocka | 91,920 | 1,440,075 | 20,190 | 316,309 | 242 | 3,788 | 71,488 | 1,119,978 | Arandelovac | 86,566 | 1,356,207 | 6,524 | 102,203 | 317 | 4,963 | 79,726 | 1,249,041 |
| Lazarevac | 266,959 | 4,182,365 | 46,746 | 732,354 | 328 | 5,139 | 219,885 | 3,444,872 | Batocina | 7,553 | 118,327 | 4,791 | 75,052 | 22 | 340 | 2,740 | 42,934 |
| Mladenovac | 321,988 | 5,044,480 | 77,757 | 1,218,192 | 2,190 | 34,307 | 242,041 | 3,791,982 | Knic | 26,521 | 415,497 | 2,416 | 37,854 | 28 | 440 | 24,077 | 377,203 |
| Novi Beograd | 142,528 | 2,232,934 | 23,247 | 364,201 | 172 | 2,689 | 119,109 | 1,866,044 | Kragujevac | 396,372 | 6,209,832 | 33,473 | 524,414 | 420 | 6,587 | 362,479 | 5,678,832 |
| Obrenovac | 468,384 | 7,338,020 | 92,212 | 1,444,657 | 2,295 | 35,949 | 373,878 | 5,857,414 | Raca | 7,841 | 122,839 | 2,588 | 40,550 | 10 | 163 | 5,242 | 82,126 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 395,342 | 6,193,691 | 41,206 | 645,566 | 255 | 3,987 | 353,881 | 5,544,139 | Topola | 33,127 | 518,990 | 3,696 | 57,912 | 238 | 3,728 | 29,193 | 457,350 |
| Rakovica | 126,844 | 1,987,224 | 9,452 | 148,083 | 544 | 8,527 | 116,848 | 1,830,614 | Higher Court in Kraljevo | 280,891 | 4,400,621 | 41,463 | 649,588 | 364 | 5,696 | 239,064 | 3,745,337 |
| Savski venac | 33,463 | 524,257 | 2,850 | 44,649 | 158 | 2,470 | 30,456 | 477,139 | Kraljevo | 257,259 | 4,030,386 | 33,132 | 519,069 | 342 | 5,353 | 223,785 | 3,505,963 |
| Sopot | 90,288 | 1,414,514 | 17,493 | 274,061 | 618 | 9,685 | 72,177 | 1,130,768 | Raska | 23,632 | 370,235 | 8,331 | 130,519 | 22 | 342 | 15,279 | 239,374 |
| Stari grad | 55,943 | 876,447 | 7,926 | 124,170 | 27 | 428 | 47,990 | 751,849 | Higher Court in Krusevac | 209,178 | 3,277,122 | 18,067 | 283,054 | 637 | 9,978 | 190,474 | 2,984,090 |
| Surcin | 396,778 | 6,216,192 | 192,080 | 3,009,258 | 1,027 | 16,086 | 203,671 | 3,190,849 | Aleksandrovac | 19,891 | 311,623 | 757 | 11,860 | 54 | 847 | 19,080 | 298,915 |
| Vozdovac | 76,379 | 1,196,602 | 7,617 | 119,328 | 117 | 1,830 | 68,645 | 1,075,444 | Brus | 17,131 | 268,378 | 1,543 | 24,181 | 61 | 951 | 15,526 | 243,246 |
| Vracar | 38,938 | 610,030 | 6,393 | 100,154 | 38 | 598 | 32,507 | 509,278 | Cicevac | 2,902 | 45,469 | 1,742 | 27,288 | 9 | 143 | 1,151 | 18,037 |
| Zemun | 351,243 | 5,502,808 | 25,673 | 402,218 | 1,167 | 18,278 | 324,403 | 5,082,312 | Krusevac | 136,316 | 2,135,614 | 7,897 | 123,716 | 432 | 6,766 | 127,987 | 2,005,131 |
| Zvezdara | 184,895 | 2,896,694 | 18,484 | 289,577 | 225 | 3,526 | 166,187 | 2,603,591 | Lapovo | 5,048 | 79,085 | 1,695 | 26,552 | 13 | 210 | 3,340 | 52,324 |
| Higher Court in Cacak | 137,583 | 2,155,465 | 9,193 | 144,028 | 251 | 3,934 | 128,139 | 2,007,503 | Trstenik | 24,169 | 378,653 | 1,672 | 26,189 | 60 | 940 | 22,438 | 351,523 |
| Cacak | 104,289 | 1,633,860 | 6,844 | 107,222 | 182 | 2,850 | 97,263 | 1,523,787 | Varvarin | 3,721 | 58,301 | 2,762 | 43,266 | 8 | 121 | 952 | 14,914 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 19,168 | 300,295 | 1,336 | 20,935 | 40 | 632 | 17,791 | 278,728 | Higher Court in Leskovac | 342,703 | 5,369,015 | 58,366 | 914,396 | 814 | 12,754 | 283,523 | 4,441,865 |
| Lucani | 14,126 | 221,310 | 1,013 | 15,870 | 29 | 452 | 13,084 | 204,988 | Bojnik | 16,101 | 252,244 | 2,899 | 45,410 | 75 | 1,168 | 13,128 | 205,666 |
| Higher Court in Jagodina | 439,293 | 6,882,253 | 83,366 | 1,306,068 | 3,973 | 62,239 | 351,954 | 5,513,946 | Crna Trava | 83 | 1,295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 1,295 |
| Cuprija | 12,260 | 192,070 | 5,304 | 83,090 | 134 | 2,100 | 6,822 | 106,879 | Lebane | 29,304 | 459,096 | 5,820 | 91,174 | 142 | 2,229 | 23,342 | 365,693 |
| Despotovac | 39,141 | 613,210 | 8,618 | 135,017 | 336 | 5,265 | 30,187 | 472,928 | Leskovac | 235,375 | 3,687,536 | 23,740 | 371,926 | 400 | 6,268 | 211,235 | 3,309,342 |
| Jagodina | 199,622 | 3,127,412 | 21,168 | 331,635 | 1,294 | 20,274 | 177,160 | 2,775,504 | Medveda | 21,741 | 340,606 | 2,614 | 40,953 | 158 | 2,482 | 18,968 | 297,171 |
| Paracin | 99,666 | 1,561,442 | 41,447 | 649,342 | 1,357 | 21,267 | 56,862 | 890,832 | Vlasotince | 15,542 | 243,499 | 12,900 | 202,096 | 6 | 99 | 2,636 | 41,303 |


| Row Labels |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Primary Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Civil Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Primary Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Civil Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vrnjacka Banja | 24,558 | 384,738 | 10,394 | 162,837 | 32 | 508 | 14,132 | 221,394 | Zabalj | 71,164 | 1,114,903 | 5,968 | 93,504 | 78 | 1,221 | 65,118 | 1,020,177 |
| Higher Court in Negotin | 95,636 | 1,498,291 | 27,630 | 432,867 | 1,523 | 23,862 | 66,483 | 1,041,562 | Higher Court in Pancevo | 803,591 | 12,589,590 | 86,932 | 1,361,937 | 3,924 | 61,477 | 712,735 | 11,166,176 |
| Kladovo | 23,132 | 362,403 | 3,430 | 53,735 | 192 | 3,002 | 19,511 | 305,666 | Alibunar | 21,288 | 333,519 | 8,903 | 139,478 | 171 | 2,685 | 12,214 | 191,356 |
| Majdanpek | 34,344 | 538,049 | 3,269 | 51,216 | 340 | 5,326 | 30,735 | 481,508 | Bela Crkva | 145,206 | 2,274,896 | 23,347 | 365,769 | 1,780 | 27,888 | 120,079 | 1,881,239 |
| Negotin | 38,160 | 597,839 | 20,931 | 327,916 | 992 | 15,535 | 16,238 | 254,388 | Kovacica | 38,911 | 609,608 | 2,391 | 37,463 | 244 | 3,825 | 36,276 | 568,320 |
| Higher Court in Nis | 570,732 | 8,941,460 | 140,901 | 2,207,444 | 1,325 | 20,751 | 428,506 | 6,713,265 | Kovin | 81,944 | 1,283,783 | 3,249 | 50,896 | 179 | 2,807 | 78,516 | 1,230,080 |
| Aleksinac | 43,051 | 674,471 | 3,905 | 61,183 | 11 | 167 | 39,135 | 613,121 | Opovo | 30,115 | 471,799 | 1,729 | 27,086 | 92 | 1,445 | 28,294 | 443,269 |
| City of $\mathrm{Ni}_{-}$ | 441,969 | 6,924,176 | 105,539 | 1,653,450 | 1,118 | 17,510 | 335,312 | 5,253,216 | Pancevo | 209,605 | 3,283,815 | 11,642 | 182,397 | 644 | 10,084 | 197,319 | 3,091,334 |
| Doljevac | 41,124 | 644,269 | 19,953 | 312,604 | 104 | 1,623 | 21,066 | 330,042 | Plandiste | 58,630 | 918,544 | 5,322 | 83,385 | 149 | 2,331 | 53,159 | 832,828 |
| Gadzin Han | 21,463 | 336,249 | 3,108 | 48,689 | 84 | 1,324 | 18,270 | 286,237 | Vrsac | 217,891 | 3,413,627 | 30,349 | 475,463 | 665 | 10,413 | 186,878 | 2,927,751 |
| Razanj | 2,912 | 45,629 | 546 | 8,555 | 1 | 9 | 2,366 | 37,064 | Higher Court in Pirot | 123,809 | 1,939,667 | 7,987 | 125,124 | 111 | 1,747 | 115,710 | 1,812,796 |
| Svrljig | 20,213 | 316,666 | 7,849 | 122,963 | 8 | 118 | 12,357 | 193,585 | Babusnica | 27,218 | 426,415 | 1,445 | 22,644 | 31 | 481 | 25,742 | 403,291 |
| Higher Court in Novi Pazar | 278,153 | 4,357,729 | 15,226 | 238,548 | 927 | 14,519 | 262,000 | 4,104,661 | Dimitrovgrad | 26,473 | 414,747 | 1,493 | 23,398 | 22 | 350 | 24,957 | 391,000 |
| Mali Zvornik | 20,905 | 327,518 | 4,670 | 73,158 | 54 | 839 | 16,182 | 253,521 | Pirot | 70,117 | 1,098,504 | 5,048 | 79,083 | 58 | 916 | 65,011 | 1,018,506 |
| Novi Pazar | 195,168 | 3,057,627 | 7,541 | 118,148 | 490 | 7,672 | 187,137 | 2,931,807 | Higher Court in Pozarevac | 259,510 | 4,065,658 | 32,861 | 514,816 | 2,126 | 33,302 | 224,524 | 3,517,541 |
| Sjenica | 29,131 | 456,386 | 1,430 | 22,405 | 203 | 3,183 | 27,498 | 430,798 | City of Po_arevac | 145,692 | 2,282,510 | 22,776 | 356,817 | 1,947 | 30,508 | 120,969 | 1,895,185 |
| Tutin | 32,949 | 516,197 | 1,585 | 24,836 | 180 | 2,826 | 31,183 | 488,535 | Golubac | 8,938 | 140,029 | 882 | 13,817 | 10 | 154 | 8,046 | 126,058 |
| Higher Court in Novi Sad | 1,026,030 | 16,074,469 | 65,125 | 1,020,287 | 2,428 | 38,032 | 958,478 | 15,016,150 | Kucevo | 17,370 | 272,125 | 1,537 | 24,082 | 24 | 376 | 15,809 | 247,667 |
| Bac | 8,269 | 129,547 | 989 | 15,495 | 21 | 321 | 7,259 | 113,730 | Malo Crnice | 1,746 | 27,348 | 473 | 7,415 | 18 | 275 | 1,255 | 19,658 |
| Backa Palanka | 38,224 | 598,846 | 3,344 | 52,391 | 95 | 1,484 | 34,785 | 544,971 | Petrovac na Mlavi | 16,391 | 256,795 | 1,743 | 27,309 | 0 | 0 | 14,648 | 229,486 |
| Becej | 143,335 | 2,245,587 | 6,030 | 94,476 | 821 | 12,856 | 136,484 | 2,138,256 | Veliko Gradiste | 47,375 | 742,208 | 3,265 | 51,148 | 78 | 1,218 | 44,032 | 689,842 |
| Beocin | 63,033 | 987,523 | 3,282 | 51,415 | 71 | 1,118 | 59,680 | 934,991 | Zabari | 19,100 | 299,235 | 1,164 | 18,230 | 33 | 519 | 17,903 | 280,486 |
| City of Novi Sad | 437,902 | 6,860,462 | 30,396 | 476,210 | 694 | 10,879 | 406,811 | 6,373,373 | Zagubica | 2,898 | 45,409 | 1,021 | 15,998 | 16 | 252 | 1,861 | 29,159 |
| Srbobran | 47,354 | 741,877 | 2,004 | 31,398 | 75 | 1,171 | 45,275 | 709,309 | Higher Court in Prokuplje | 216,326 | 3,389,102 | 14,026 | 219,737 | 835 | 13,078 | 201,465 | 3,156,287 |
| Sremski Karlovci | 37,134 | 581,759 | 5,975 | 93,611 | 44 | 694 | 31,114 | 487,455 | Blace | 20,914 | 327,650 | 1,841 | 28,848 | 109 | 1,700 | 18,964 | 297,102 |
| Temerin | 19,414 | 304,152 | 574 | 8,989 | 22 | 338 | 18,819 | 294,824 | Merosina | 4,863 | 76,183 | 2,612 | 40,917 | 23 | 362 | 2,228 | 34,905 |
| Titel | 43,909 | 687,902 | 822 | 12,881 | 295 | 4,626 | 42,791 | 670,395 | Prokuplje | 140,587 | 2,202,534 | 5,746 | 90,026 | 469 | 7,354 | 134,371 | 2,105,153 |
| Vrbas | 116,292 | 1,821,911 | 5,739 | 89,918 | 212 | 3,323 | 110,341 | 1,728,670 | Zitorada | 49,962 | 782,734 | 3,826 | 59,946 | 234 | 3,662 | 45,902 | 719,127 |


| Row Labels |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secondary Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Civil Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Row Labels |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Primary Total Target Pop Lit } \\ & \text { Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secondary Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Lit Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Court in Sabac | 417,686 | 6,543,752 | 79,382 | 1,243,657 | 1,518 | 23,789 | 336,786 | 5,276,306 | Kanjiza | 61,533 | 964,025 | 2,084 | 32,646 | 816 | 12,778 | 58,634 | 918,601 |
| Bogatic | 25,177 | 394,447 | 3,071 | 48,111 | 93 | 1,461 | 22,013 | 344,875 | Mali Idos | 20,555 | 322,032 | 461 | 7,222 | 9 | 141 | 20,085 | 314,669 |
| Koceljeva | 25,312 | 396,561 | 2,251 | 35,272 | 63 | 986 | 22,998 | 360,304 | Senta | 60,749 | 951,734 | 1,946 | 30,480 | 145 | 2,278 | 58,658 | 918,976 |
| Krupanj | 16,759 | 262,558 | 13,727 | 215,053 | 27 | 420 | 3,005 | 47,085 | Subotica | 105,825 | 1,657,927 | 37,533 | 588,019 | 240 | 3,764 | 68,052 | 1,066,145 |
| Ljubovija | 39,409 | 617,402 | 4,693 | 73,520 | 283 | 4,432 | 34,433 | 539,449 | Higher Court in Uzice | 263,195 | 4,123,395 | 38,079 | 596,570 | 2,228 | 34,911 | 222,888 | 3,491,914 |
| Loznica | 125,188 | 1,961,285 | 38,594 | 604,642 | 378 | 5,929 | 86,216 | 1,350,714 | Arilje | 13,920 | 218,083 | 2,371 | 37,140 | 75 | 1,181 | 11,474 | 179,761 |
| Sabac | 173,494 | 2,718,076 | 16,163 | 253,220 | 669 | 10,474 | 156,663 | 2,454,383 | Bajina Basta | 30,364 | 475,708 | 2,050 | 32,114 | 280 | 4,388 | 28,034 | 439,205 |
| Vladimirci | 12,346 | 193,424 | 883 | 13,839 | 6 | 88 | 11,457 | 179,497 | Cajetina | 22,989 | 360,155 | 1,410 | 22,098 | 270 | 4,227 | 21,308 | 333,831 |
| Higher Court in Smederevo | 250,756 | 3,928,503 | 26,598 | 416,706 | 871 | 13,652 | 223,286 | 3,498,145 | Ivanjica | 15,773 | 247,110 | 3,551 | 55,632 | 176 | 2,750 | 12,046 | 188,728 |
| Smederevo | 83,168 | 1,302,963 | 15,058 | 235,907 | 411 | 6,434 | 67,699 | 1,060,621 | Kosjeric | 2,560 | 40,105 | 840 | 13,156 | 21 | 336 | 1,699 | 26,612 |
| Smederevska Palanka | 95,108 | 1,490,029 | 4,596 | 72,005 | 274 | 4,285 | 90,239 | 1,413,739 | Nova Varos | 11,066 | 173,367 | 2,316 | 36,291 | 80 | 1,246 | 8,670 | 135,829 |
| Velika Plana | 72,479 | 1,135,511 | 6,944 | 108,794 | 187 | 2,933 | 65,348 | 1,023,785 | Pozega | 13,466 | 210,969 | 1,764 | 27,630 | 41 | 646 | 11,661 | 182,693 |
| Higher Court in Sombor | 401,489 | 6,289,989 | 20,248 | 317,226 | 1,149 | 18,003 | 380,091 | 5,954,761 | Priboj | 26,890 | 421,279 | 4,919 | 77,059 | 140 | 2,200 | 21,831 | 342,020 |
| Apatin | 68,335 | 1,070,574 | 4,120 | 64,546 | 253 | 3,966 | 63,961 | 1,002,063 | Prijepolje | 110,242 | 1,727,129 | 16,989 | 266,164 | 1,093 | 17,126 | 92,160 | 1,443,839 |
| Kula | 118,804 | 1,861,267 | 6,625 | 103,784 | 576 | 9,030 | 111,603 | 1,748,453 | Uzice | 15,925 | 249,491 | 1,869 | 29,286 | 52 | 810 | 14,004 | 219,395 |
| Odzaci | 70,782 | 1,108,926 | 2,913 | 45,632 | 106 | 1,665 | 67,764 | 1,061,629 | Higher Court in Valjevo | 229,376 | 3,593,561 | 9,310 | 145,860 | 1,236 | 19,367 | 218,830 | 3,428,335 |
| Sombor | 143,567 | 2,249,222 | 6,591 | 103,264 | 213 | 3,342 | 136,763 | 2,142,616 | Lajkovac | 2,353 | 36,857 | 791 | 12,390 | 4 | 56 | 1,558 | 24,411 |
| Higher Court in Sremska Mitrovica | 460,796 | 7,219,145 | 12,950 | 202,888 | 719 | 11,266 | 447,127 | 7,004,992 | Ljig | 2,038 | 31,923 | 647 | 10,141 | 8 | 132 | 1,382 | 21,650 |
| Indija | 58,448 | 915,692 | 2,246 | 35,187 | 130 | 2,041 | 56,072 | 878,464 | Mionica | 2,013 | 31,535 | 722 | 11,306 | 7 | 114 | 1,284 | 20,115 |
| Irig | 5,320 | 83,347 | 1,734 | 27,164 | 25 | 395 | 3,561 | 55,788 | Osecina | 10,218 | 160,081 | 480 | 7,524 | 111 | 1,733 | 9,627 | 150,824 |
| Pecinci | 6,272 | 98,255 | 1,826 | 28,609 | 8 | 128 | 4,437 | 69,518 | Ub | 38,749 | 607,072 | 1,303 | 20,409 | 179 | 2,801 | 37,268 | 583,862 |
| Ruma | 143,608 | 2,249,864 | 2,593 | 40,631 | 201 | 3,141 | 140,814 | 2,206,092 | Valjevo | 174,006 | 2,726,094 | 5,367 | 84,090 | 927 | 14,531 | 167,711 | 2,627,473 |
| Sid | 43,444 | 680,625 | 954 | 14,945 | 33 | 509 | 42,458 | 665,171 | Higher Court in Vrange | 207,525 | 3,251,218 | 3,162 | 49,538 | 383 | 5,997 | 203,980 | 3,195,683 |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 139,867 | 2,191,250 | 2,117 | 33,164 | 166 | 2,605 | 137,584 | 2,155,482 | Bujanovac | 44,629 | 699,195 | 792 | 12,405 | 26 | 402 | 43,812 | 686,389 |
| Stara Pazova | 63,837 | 1,000,110 | 1,480 | 23,187 | 156 | 2,445 | 62,201 | 974,478 | City of Vranje | 79,938 | 1,252,358 | 912 | 14,292 | 136 | 2,125 | 78,890 | 1,235,941 |
| Higher Court in Subotica | 327,252 | 5,126,940 | 45,524 | 713,209 | 1,266 | 19,833 | 280,462 | 4,393,898 | Presevo | 54,744 | 857,658 | 646 | 10,117 | 152 | 2,376 | 53,947 | 845,165 |
| Ada | 18,129 | 284,028 | 1,426 | 22,337 | 11 | 179 | 16,692 | 261,512 | Surdulica | 9,338 | 146,291 | 506 | 7,931 | 24 | 376 | 8,808 | 137,984 |
| Backa Topola | 60,459 | 947,194 | 2,075 | 32,505 | 44 | 693 | 58,340 | 913,996 | Trgoviste | 5,134 | 80,431 | 133 | 2,077 | 9 | 145 | 4,992 | 78,209 |


| Row Labels |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Primary Total Target Pop Lit } \\ & \text { Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vladicin Han | 13,742 | 215,284 | 173 | 2,715 | 37 | 575 | 13,532 | 211,995 | Kikinda | 365,706 | 5,729,397 | 100,809 | 1,579,336 | 1,467 | 22,976 | 263,431 | 4,127,085 |
| Higher Court in Zajecar | 262,402 | 4,110,960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 262,402 | 4,110,960 | Nova Crnja | 31,418 | 492,217 | 4,827 | 75,622 | 35 | 547 | 26,556 | 416,048 |
| Boljevac | 23,018 | 360,612 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23,018 | 360,612 | Novi Becej | 114,071 | 1,787,110 | 607 | 9,511 | 512 | 8,015 | 112,952 | 1,769,584 |
| Bor | 95,442 | 1,495,259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95,442 | 1,495,259 | Novi Knezevac | 63,453 | 994,093 | 10,038 | 157,265 | 356 | 5,583 | 53,058 | 831,246 |
| Knjazevac | 26,392 | 413,470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,392 | 413,470 | Secanj | 55,343 | 867,037 | 2,754 | 43,143 | 157 | 2,464 | 52,432 | 821,429 |
| Sokobanja | 7,916 | 124,010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,916 | 124,010 | Zitiste | 59,499 | 932,152 | 3,517 | 55,102 | 169 | 2,655 | 55,812 | 874,395 |
| Zajecar | 109,635 | 1,717,610 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109,635 | 1,717,610 | Zrenjanin | 279,639 | 4,381,012 | 16,334 | 255,901 | 848 | 13,288 | 262,457 | 4,111,823 |
| Higher Court in Zrenjanin | 1,031,109 | 16,154,041 | 149,537 | 2,342,748 | 3,683 | 57,698 | 877,889 | 13,753,595 | Grand Total | 12,586,262 | 197,184,773 | 1,658,778 | 25,987,526 | 43,687 | 684,430 | 10,883,797 | 170,512,817 |
| Coka | 61,980 | 971,023 | 10,651 | 166,867 | 138 | 2,169 | 51,191 | 801,987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## IG2 Scenario 2

| Row Labels | Primary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost |  |  |  |  |  | Primary Total Target Criminal Casehours Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Court in Belgrade | 8，943，751 | 47，610，648 | 1，548，391 | 8，774，213 | 25，903 | 146，783 | 7，369，458 | 38，689，652 |
| Barajevo | 199，139 | 1，061，912 | 38，875 | 220，293 | 558 | 3，160 | 159，707 | 838，460 |
| Cukarica | 732，683 | 3，862，437 | 36，200 | 205，133 | 1，842 | 10，439 | 694，641 | 3，646，865 |
| Grocka | 241，714 | 1，290，281 | 50，475 | 286，024 | 605 | 3，426 | 190，634 | 1，000，831 |
| Lazarevac | 704，046 | 3，745，278 | 116，865 | 662，235 | 820 | 4，647 | 586，361 | 3，078，396 |
| Mladenovac | 845，310 | 4，521，158 | 194，392 | 1，101，556 | 5，474 | 31，022 | 645，444 | 3，388，580 |
| Novi Beograd | 376，171 | 1，999，291 | 58，117 | 329，330 | 429 | 2，431 | 317，625 | 1，667，529 |
| Obrenovac | 1，233，274 | 6，573，131 | 230，530 | 1，306，339 | 5，736 | 32，507 | 997，007 | 5，234，285 |
| Palilula（Belgrade） | 1，047，335 | 5，541，698 | 103，016 | 583，756 | 636 | 3，605 | 943，683 | 4，954，337 |
| Rakovica | 336，585 | 1，777，483 | 23，630 | 133，905 | 1，361 | 7，711 | 311，594 | 1，635，868 |
| Savski venac | 88，734 | 468，987 | 7，125 | 40，374 | 394 | 2，233 | 81，215 | 426，379 |
| Sopot | 237，750 | 1，267，052 | 43，733 | 247，822 | 1，545 | 8，758 | 192，471 | 1，010，473 |
| Stari grad | 147，857 | 784，534 | 19，814 | 112，281 | 68 | 387 | 127，974 | 671，865 |
| Surcin | 1，025，891 | 5，587，080 | 480，201 | 2，721，137 | 2，567 | 14，546 | 543，123 | 2，851，397 |
| Vozdovac | 202，388 | 1，070，593 | 19，042 | 107，903 | 292 | 1，655 | 183，054 | 961，035 |
| Vracar | 102，763 | 546，205 | 15，982 | 90，564 | 95 | 541 | 86，686 | 455，099 |
| Zemun | 932，175 | 4，921，876 | 64，184 | 363，708 | 2，917 | 16，528 | 865，074 | 4，541，640 |
| Zvezdara | 489，936 | 2，591，653 | 46，209 | 261，852 | 563 | 3，188 | 443，164 | 2，326，614 |
| Higher Court in Cacak | 365，314 | 1，927，734 | 22，983 | 130，238 | 628 | 3，557 | 341，703 | 1，793，939 |
| Cacak | 276，933 | 1，461，216 | 17，110 | 96，956 | 455 | 2，577 | 259，368 | 1，361，682 |
| Gornji Milanovac | 50，885 | 268，578 | 3，341 | 18，931 | 101 | 571 | 47，443 | 249，076 |
| Lucani | 37，496 | 197，940 | 2，532 | 14，351 | 72 | 408 | 34，892 | 183，181 |
| Higher Court in Jagodina | 1，156，891 | 6，164，655 | 208，415 | 1，181，019 | 9，932 | 56，280 | 938，544 | 4，927，356 |
| Cuprija | 31，787 | 172，543 | 13，259 | 75，135 | 335 | 1，899 | 18，192 | 95，509 |
| Despotovac | 102，884 | 549，467 | 21，545 | 122，090 | 840 | 4，761 | 80，498 | 422，616 |
| Jagodina | 528，582 | 2，798，452 | 52，920 | 299，882 | 3，235 | 18，333 | 472，426 | 2，480，237 |


| Row Labels | Primary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost |  |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Civil Casehours Cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secondary Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Civil Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paracin | 258，643 | 1，402，465 | 103，618 | 587，171 | 3，394 | 19，231 | 151，631 | 796，063 |
| Rekovac | 71，365 | 377，685 | 6，332 | 35，883 | 915 | 5，184 | 64，118 | 336，617 |
| Svilajnac | 163，631 | 864，042 | 10，739 | 60，857 | 1，213 | 6，872 | 151，679 | 796，313 |
| Higher Court in Kragujevac | 1，478，860 | 7，820，812 | 133，721 | 757，751 | 2，589 | 14，669 | 1，342，551 | 7，048，392 |
| Arandelovac | 229，704 | 1，213，070 | 16，309 | 92，418 | 792 | 4，488 | 212，603 | 1，116，164 |
| Batocina | 19，339 | 106，541 | 11，976 | 67，866 | 54 | 308 | 7，308 | 38，367 |
| Knic | 70，315 | 371，702 | 6，040 | 34，229 | 70 | 398 | 64，205 | 337，075 |
| Kragujevac | 1，051，344 | 5，554，861 | 83，683 | 474，204 | 1，051 | 5，956 | 966，610 | 5，074，701 |
| Raca | 20，476 | 110，204 | 6，471 | 36，667 | 26 | 147 | 13，979 | 73，389 |
| Topola | 87，683 | 464，434 | 9，241 | 52，367 | 595 | 3，371 | 77，847 | 408，696 |
| Higher Court in Kraljevo | 742，071 | 3，939，441 | 103，658 | 587，394 | 909 | 5，150 | 637，504 | 3，346，897 |
| Kraljevo | 680，444 | 3，607，200 | 82，830 | 469，371 | 854 | 4，841 | 596，760 | 3，132，989 |
| Raska | 61，627 | 332，241 | 20，828 | 118，023 | 55 | 310 | 40，744 | 213，908 |
| Higher Court in Krusevac | 554，691 | 2，931，609 | 45，168 | 255，953 | 1，592 | 9，023 | 507，930 | 2，666，634 |
| Aleksandrovac | 52，907 | 278，606 | 1，893 | 10，725 | 135 | 766 | 50，879 | 267，116 |
| Brus | 45，414 | 240，094 | 3，859 | 21，866 | 152 | 860 | 41，404 | 217，368 |
| Cicevac | 7，448 | 40，923 | 4，355 | 24，676 | 23 | 129 | 3，070 | 16，118 |
| Krusevac | 362，121 | 1，909，809 | 19，742 | 111，871 | 1，080 | 6，119 | 341，299 | 1，791，819 |
| Lapovo | 13，177 | 70，957 | 4，237 | 24，009 | 33 | 190 | 8，906 | 46，758 |
| Trstenik | 64，163 | 338，659 | 4，179 | 23，682 | 150 | 850 | 59，834 | 314，127 |
| Varvarin | 9，462 | 52，560 | 6，904 | 39，124 | 19 | 109 | 2，539 | 13，327 |
| Higher Court in Leskovac | 904，012 | 4，807，706 | 145，914 | 826，847 | 2，035 | 11，533 | 756，062 | 3，969，326 |
| Bojnik | 42，440 | 225，905 | 7，246 | 41，062 | 186 | 1，056 | 35，007 | 183，787 |
| Crna Trava | 221 | 1，158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 1，158 |
| Lebane | 77，150 | 411，250 | 14，549 | 82，445 | 356 | 2，016 | 62，246 | 326，790 |
| Leskovac | 623，642 | 3，299，268 | 59，350 | 336，316 | 1，000 | 5，668 | 563，292 | 2，957，284 |


| Row Labels |  |  | シ <br>  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Civil Casehours Cost |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Lit Casehours Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medveda | 57,513 | 304,833 | 6,535 | 37,032 | 396 | 2,245 | 50,582 | 265,557 | Temerin | 51,671 | 271,894 | 1,434 | 8,129 | 54 | 306 | 50,183 | 263,460 |
| Vlasotince | 39,296 | 219,746 | 32,249 | 182,746 | 16 | 90 | 7,030 | 36,909 | Titel | 116,903 | 614,907 | 2,056 | 11,648 | 738 | 4,183 | 114,110 | 599,076 |
| Vrnjacka Banja | 63,750 | 345,547 | 25,985 | 147,246 | 81 | 459 | 37,684 | 197,841 | Vrbas | 309,121 | 1,629,083 | 14,349 | 81,309 | 530 | 3,005 | 294,242 | 1,544,769 |
| Higher Court in Negotin | 250,170 | 1,343,758 | 69,074 | 391,422 | 3,808 | 21,578 | 177,287 | 930,758 | Zabalj | 188,763 | 997,304 | 14,921 | 84,552 | 195 | 1,104 | 173,647 | 911,648 |
| Kladovo | 61,082 | 324,453 | 8,575 | 48,590 | 479 | 2,715 | 52,028 | 273,148 | Higher Court in Pancevo | 2,127,766 | 11,265,415 | 217,330 | 1,231,539 | 9,810 | 55,591 | 1,900,626 | 9,978,285 |
| Majdanpek | 90,981 | 481,412 | 8,173 | 46,312 | 850 | 4,816 | 81,959 | 430,284 | Alibunar | 55,257 | 299,550 | 22,257 | 126,124 | 428 | 2,428 | 32,571 | 170,999 |
| Negotin | 98,106 | 537,892 | 52,327 | 296,520 | 2,479 | 14,047 | 43,300 | 227,326 | Bela Crkva | 383,028 | 2,037,074 | 58,367 | 330,749 | 4,450 | 25,218 | 320,211 | 1,681,107 |
| Higher Court in Nis | 1,498,246 | 8,013,945 | 352,252 | 1,996,093 | 3,311 | 18,765 | 1,142,683 | 5,999,088 | Kovacica | 103,324 | 545,195 | 5,978 | 33,876 | 610 | 3,458 | 96,735 | 507,860 |
| Aleksinac | 114,151 | 603,371 | 9,763 | 55,325 | 27 | 151 | 104,361 | 547,895 | Kovin | 217,945 | 1,147,782 | 8,122 | 46,023 | 448 | 2,538 | 209,375 | 1,099,221 |
| City of $\mathrm{Ni}_{-}$ | 1,160,807 | 6,205,338 | 263,848 | 1,495,141 | 2,794 | 15,833 | 894,165 | 4,694,364 | Opovo | 80,003 | 421,911 | 4,322 | 24,492 | 231 | 1,306 | 75,450 | 396,112 |
| Doljevac | 106,320 | 579,073 | 49,884 | 282,674 | 259 | 1,468 | 56,177 | 294,931 | Pancevo | 556,900 | 2,936,520 | 29,106 | 164,933 | 1,609 | 9,119 | 526,184 | 2,762,468 |
| Gadzin Han | 56,702 | 301,010 | 7,770 | 44,027 | 211 | 1,197 | 48,721 | 255,786 | Plandiste | 155,436 | 821,738 | 13,306 | 75,402 | 372 | 2,108 | 141,758 | 744,229 |
| Razanj | 7,676 | 40,866 | 1,365 | 7,736 | 2 | 9 | 6,309 | 33,121 | Vrsac | 575,874 | 3,055,644 | 75,872 | 429,940 | 1,662 | 9,416 | 498,341 | 2,616,288 |
| Surljig | 52,591 | 284,288 | 19,622 | 111,190 | 19 | 106 | 32,951 | 172,991 | Higher Court in Pirot | 328,806 | 1,734,669 | 19,967 | 113,144 | 279 | 1,579 | 308,561 | 1,619,946 |
| Higher Court in Novi Pazar | 739,049 | 3,896,833 | 38,066 | 215,708 | 2,317 | 13,129 | 698,666 | 3,667,995 | Babusnica | 72,335 | 381,298 | 3,613 | 20,476 | 77 | 435 | 68,645 | 360,387 |
| Mali Zvornik | 54,961 | 293,463 | 11,674 | 66,154 | 134 | 759 | 43,152 | 226,550 | Dimitrovgrad | 70,343 | 370,878 | 3,734 | 21,157 | 56 | 317 | 66,553 | 349,404 |
| Novi Pazar | 519,109 | 2,733,686 | 18,853 | 106,836 | 1,224 | 6,937 | 499,031 | 2,619,913 | Pirot | 186,128 | 982,493 | 12,620 | 71,511 | 146 | 828 | 173,363 | 910,154 |
| Sjenica | 77,411 | 408,107 | 3,575 | 20,260 | 508 | 2,878 | 73,327 | 384,968 | Higher Court in Pozarevac | 686,196 | 3,638,972 | 82,151 | 465,525 | 5,314 | 30,113 | 598,730 | 3,143,334 |
| Tutin | 87,569 | 461,577 | 3,963 | 22,459 | 451 | 2,555 | 83,155 | 436,563 | City of Po_arevac | 384,392 | 2,043,810 | 56,939 | 322,654 | 4,868 | 27,587 | 322,585 | 1,693,569 |
| Higher Court in Novi Sad | 2,724,821 | 14,375,677 | 162,812 | 922,600 | 6,069 | 34,390 | 2,555,940 | 13,418,687 | Golubac | 23,686 | 125,281 | 2,205 | 12,494 | 25 | 139 | 21,457 | 112,648 |
| Bac | 21,882 | 115,933 | 2,473 | 14,011 | 51 | 291 | 19,358 | 101,631 | Kucevo | 46,059 | 243,435 | 3,843 | 21,776 | 60 | 340 | 42,156 | 221,320 |
| Backa Palanka | 101,358 | 535,712 | 8,360 | 47,375 | 237 | 1,342 | 92,761 | 486,995 | Malo Crnice | 4,573 | 24,520 | 1,183 | 6,705 | 44 | 248 | 3,346 | 17,567 |
| Becej | 381,086 | 2,007,837 | 15,076 | 85,430 | 2,051 | 11,625 | 363,958 | 1,910,782 | Petrovac na Mlavi | 43,419 | 229,767 | 4,358 | 24,694 | 0 | 0 | 39,061 | 205,073 |
| Beocin | 167,530 | 883,026 | 8,204 | 46,492 | 178 | 1,011 | 159,147 | 835,523 | Veliko Gradiste | 125,776 | 663,806 | 8,162 | 46,251 | 194 | 1,101 | 117,420 | 616,454 |
| City of Novi Sad | 1,162,556 | 6,135,808 | 75,991 | 430,615 | 1,736 | 9,838 | 1,084,829 | 5,695,355 | Zabari | 50,734 | 267,601 | 2,909 | 16,485 | 83 | 470 | 47,742 | 250,647 |
| Srbobran | 125,931 | 663,301 | 5,010 | 28,391 | 187 | 1,059 | 120,733 | 633,850 | Zagubica | 7,556 | 40,751 | 2,553 | 14,466 | 40 | 228 | 4,963 | 26,057 |
| Sremski Karlovci | 98,020 | 520,873 | 14,938 | 84,648 | 111 | 627 | 82,971 | 435,598 | Higher Court in Prokuplje | 574,392 | 3,031,036 | 35,064 | 198,699 | 2,087 | 11,826 | 537,240 | 2,820,512 |


| Row Labels |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Lit Casehours Cost |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Primary Total Target Pop New } \\ & \text { Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secondary Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { New Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ | Primary Total Target Pop Lit Casehours Cost |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secondary Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Civil Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blace | 55,445 | 293,119 | 4,603 | 26,086 | 271 | 1,537 | 50,571 | 265,495 | Sremska Mitrovica | 372,598 | 1,958,519 | 5,292 | 29,988 | 416 | 2,355 | 366,890 | 1,926,175 |
| Merosina | 12,528 | 68,518 | 6,529 | 36,999 | 58 | 327 | 5,941 | 31,191 | Stara Pazova | 169,959 | 893,988 | 3,700 | 20,967 | 390 | 2,211 | 165,869 | 870,810 |
| Prokuplje | 373,863 | 1,969,258 | 14,366 | 81,407 | 1,174 | 6,650 | 358,324 | 1,881,201 | Higher Court in Subotica | 864,872 | 4,589,319 | 113,810 | 644,923 | 3,165 | 17,934 | 747,898 | 3,926,462 |
| Zitorada | 132,555 | 700,141 | 9,566 | 54,206 | 584 | 3,311 | 122,405 | 642,624 | Ada | 48,106 | 254,052 | 3,564 | 20,199 | 29 | 162 | 44,513 | 233,691 |
| Higher Court in Sabac | 1,100,347 | 5,861,092 | 198,456 | 1,124,583 | 3,796 | 21,511 | 898,095 | 4,714,997 | Backa Topola | 160,871 | 846,782 | 5,187 | 29,393 | 111 | 627 | 155,574 | 816,762 |
| Bogatic | 66,612 | 353,012 | 7,677 | 43,505 | 233 | 1,321 | 58,702 | 308,186 | Kanjiza | 163,606 | 861,952 | 5,209 | 29,520 | 2,039 | 11,555 | 156,358 | 820,877 |
| Koceljeva | 67,114 | 354,759 | 5,628 | 31,895 | 157 | 891 | 61,328 | 321,973 | Mali Idos | 54,736 | 287,852 | 1,152 | 6,531 | 22 | 127 | 53,561 | 281,194 |
| Krupanj | 42,398 | 236,918 | 34,317 | 194,463 | 67 | 380 | 8,015 | 42,076 | Senta | 161,649 | 850,834 | 4,864 | 27,562 | 364 | 2,060 | 156,421 | 821,212 |
| Ljubovija | 104,260 | 552,550 | 11,732 | 66,481 | 707 | 4,008 | 91,821 | 482,061 | Subotica | 275,905 | 1,487,848 | 93,833 | 531,719 | 601 | 3,403 | 181,471 | 952,725 |
| Loznica | 327,340 | 1,759,133 | 96,485 | 546,751 | 946 | 5,362 | 229,909 | 1,207,021 | Higher Court in Uzice | 695,137 | 3,691,454 | 95,197 | 539,452 | 5,571 | 31,568 | 594,368 | 3,120,434 |
| Sabac | 459,846 | 2,431,725 | 40,407 | 228,975 | 1,671 | 9,471 | 417,767 | 2,193,278 | Arilje | 36,713 | 195,290 | 5,927 | 33,584 | 188 | 1,068 | 30,598 | 160,638 |
| Vladimirci | 32,775 | 172,995 | 2,208 | 12,514 | 14 | 79 | 30,553 | 160,402 | Bajina Basta | 80,583 | 425,489 | 5,125 | 29,040 | 700 | 3,968 | 74,758 | 392,481 |
| Higher Court in Smederevo | 664,103 | 3,515,156 | 66,496 | 376,808 | 2,179 | 12,345 | 595,429 | 3,126,002 | Cajetina | 61,023 | 322,121 | 3,526 | 19,982 | 674 | 3,822 | 56,822 | 298,317 |
| Smederevo | 219,203 | 1,166,928 | 37,645 | 213,321 | 1,027 | 5,818 | 180,531 | 947,789 | Ivanjica | 41,440 | 221,443 | 8,877 | 50,305 | 439 | 2,487 | 32,124 | 168,651 |
| Smederevska Palanka | 252,810 | 1,332,327 | 11,490 | 65,111 | 684 | 3,875 | 240,636 | 1,263,341 | Kosjeric | 6,683 | 35,982 | 2,099 | 11,897 | 54 | 304 | 4,530 | 23,781 |
| Velika Plana | 192,090 | 1,015,901 | 17,361 | 98,377 | 468 | 2,652 | 174,261 | 914,872 | Nova Varos | 29,110 | 155,323 | 5,791 | 32,817 | 199 | 1,127 | 23,120 | 121,379 |
| Higher Court in Sombor | 1,067,070 | 5,624,408 | 50,621 | 286,853 | 2,873 | 16,279 | 1,013,576 | 5,321,275 | Pozega | 35,609 | 188,826 | 4,409 | 24,984 | 103 | 584 | 31,097 | 163,258 |
| Apatin | 181,497 | 957,412 | 10,300 | 58,366 | 633 | 3,586 | 170,564 | 895,460 | Priboj | 70,864 | 377,305 | 12,297 | 69,681 | 351 | 1,989 | 58,216 | 305,635 |
| Kula | 315,611 | 1,664,460 | 16,561 | 93,847 | 1,441 | 8,166 | 297,609 | 1,562,447 | Prijepolje | 290,966 | 1,546,405 | 42,473 | 240,680 | 2,733 | 15,486 | 245,760 | 1,290,239 |
| Odzaci | 188,250 | 991,458 | 7,282 | 41,263 | 266 | 1,506 | 180,703 | 948,690 | Uzice | 42,146 | 223,270 | 4,673 | 26,482 | 129 | 733 | 37,344 | 196,055 |
| Sombor | 381,712 | 2,011,077 | 16,478 | 93,377 | 533 | 3,022 | 364,701 | 1,914,678 | Higher Court in Valjevo | 609,912 | 3,213,025 | 23,276 | 131,895 | 3,090 | 17,512 | 583,546 | 3,063,619 |
| Higher Court in Sremska Mitrovica | 1,226,512 | 6,453,429 | 32,376 | 183,462 | 1,798 | 10,187 | 1,192,339 | 6,259,780 | Lajkovac | 6,141 | 33,068 | 1,977 | 11,204 | 9 | 51 | 4,155 | 21,814 |
| Indija | 155,466 | 818,674 | 5,615 | 31,818 | 326 | 1,846 | 149,526 | 785,010 | Ljig | 5,324 | 28,636 | 1,618 | 9,170 | 21 | 119 | 3,685 | 19,347 |
| Irig | 13,894 | 74,773 | 4,335 | 24,563 | 63 | 358 | 9,496 | 49,853 | Mionica | 5,246 | 28,302 | 1,804 | 10,224 | 18 | 103 | 3,424 | 17,975 |
| Pecinci | 16,419 | 88,108 | 4,565 | 25,870 | 20 | 116 | 11,833 | 62,122 | Osecina | 27,149 | 143,150 | 1,201 | 6,803 | 277 | 1,567 | 25,672 | 134,779 |
| Ruma | 382,490 | 2,010,983 | 6,484 | 36,741 | 501 | 2,841 | 375,505 | 1,971,401 | Ub | 103,084 | 542,737 | 3,257 | 18,455 | 447 | 2,533 | 99,381 | 521,749 |
| Sid | 115,687 | 608,383 | 2,385 | 13,514 | 81 | 461 | 113,221 | 594,408 | Valjevo | 462,967 | 2,437,133 | 13,419 | 76,039 | 2,319 | 13,140 | 447,229 | 2,347,955 |


| Row Labels | Primary Total Target Pop New Casehours Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  | Primary Total Target Pop Lit Casehours Cost |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secondary Total Target Pop } \\ & \text { Civil Casehours Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher Court in Vrange | 552,808 | 2,905,935 | 7,905 | 44,795 | 957 | 5,423 | 543,946 | 2,855,717 | Zajecar | 292,359 | 1,534,885 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 292,359 | 1,534,885 |
| Bujanovac | 118,876 | 624,949 | 1,979 | 11,217 | 64 | 363 | 116,832 | 613,369 | Higher Court in Zrenjanin | 2,724,087 | 14,461,063 | 373,843 | 2,118,442 | 9,207 | 52,173 | 2,341,037 | 12,290,447 |
| City of Vranje | 212,993 | 1,119,303 | 2,281 | 12,924 | 339 | 1,921 | 210,373 | 1,104,458 | Coka | 163,482 | 869,521 | 26,628 | 150,891 | 346 | 1,962 | 136,508 | 716,669 |
| Presevo | 145,852 | 766,551 | 1,614 | 9,148 | 379 | 2,149 | 143,858 | 755,254 | Kikinda | 958,171 | 5,136,933 | 252,022 | 1,428,123 | 3,666 | 20,776 | 702,482 | 3,688,033 |
| Surdulica | 24,812 | 130,817 | 1,266 | 7,172 | 60 | 340 | 23,487 | 123,305 | Nova Crnja | 82,971 | 440,664 | 12,067 | 68,382 | 87 | 494 | 70,817 | 371,787 |
| Trgoviste | 13,667 | 71,898 | 332 | 1,879 | 23 | 131 | 13,312 | 69,889 | Novi Becej | 304,002 | 1,597,178 | 1,518 | 8,600 | 1,279 | 7,248 | 301,206 | 1,581,330 |
| Vladicin Han | 36,609 | 192,417 | 433 | 2,455 | 92 | 520 | 36,084 | 189,442 | Novi Knezevac | 167,475 | 890,071 | 25,095 | 142,208 | 891 | 5,048 | 141,489 | 742,815 |
| Higher Court in Zajecar | 699,738 | 3,673,624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 699,738 | 3,673,624 | Secanj | 147,096 | 775,284 | 6,885 | 39,012 | 393 | 2,228 | 139,818 | 734,043 |
| Boljevac | 61,381 | 322,249 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61,381 | 322,249 | Zitiste | 158,050 | 833,601 | 8,793 | 49,826 | 424 | 2,401 | 148,833 | 781,374 |
| Bor | 254,512 | 1,336,189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254,512 | 1,336,189 | Zrenjanin | 742,841 | 3,917,811 | 40,835 | 231,400 | 2,120 | 12,016 | 699,885 | 3,674,395 |
| Knjazevac | 70,378 | 369,484 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70,378 | 369,484 | Grand Total | 33,279,621 | 176,491,414 | 4,146,946 | 23,499,358 | 109,218 | 618,900 | 29,023,458 | 152,373,156 |
| Sokobanja | 21,108 | 110,817 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21,108 | 110,817 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

IG3 50\% Tariff

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |
| Bor |  | 18,112,395 |  | 1,772,637 |  | 659,627 |  | 1,866,735 |  | 13,813,396 | Majdanpek |  | 7,770,785 |  | 1,676,536 |  | 363,291 |  | 313,760 |  | 5,417,197 |
| Bor |  | 18,112,395 |  | 1,772,637 |  | 659,627 |  | 1,866,735 |  | 13,813,396 | Negotin |  | 9,345,285 |  | 6,582,576 |  | 650,853 |  | 499,068 |  | 1,612,787 |
| Cacak |  | 41,908,597 |  | 6,891,478 |  | 1,768,440 |  | 2,692,397 |  | 30,556,281 | Nis | 17,483,260 | 130,836,964 | 10,099,223 | 37,672,703 | 463,387 | 4,641,966 | 262,385 | 8,093,493 | 6,658,265 | 80,428,802 |
| Cacak |  | 32,341,982 |  | 5,159,295 |  | 1,279,207 |  | 2,082,468 |  | 23,821,012 | Aleksinac |  | 9,262,368 |  | 1,535,092 |  | 46,668 |  | 533,341 |  | 7,147,267 |
| Gornji Milanovac |  | 5,570,017 |  | 1,002,686 |  | 278,472 |  | 350,507 |  | 3,938,353 | City of Nis |  | 116,118,198 |  | 35,004,587 |  | 4,351,512 |  | 7,112,600 |  | 69,649,499 |
| Lucani |  | 3,996,598 |  | 729,497 |  | 210,761 |  | 259,422 |  | 2,796,917 | Doljevac | 11,606,770 |  | 7,371,626 |  | 435,163 |  | 88,300 |  | 3,711,681 |  |
| First Basic Court in | 246,021,577 | 51,056,463 | 38,491,934 | 4,648,124 | 5,828,945 | 2,000,009 | 28,470,021 | 5,032,368 | 173,230,677 | 39,375,962 | Gadzin Han |  | 4,792,869 |  | 980,410 |  | 242,419 |  | 394,529 |  | 3,175,512 |
| Belgrade |  |  |  |  | 5,828,445 | 2,00,009 | 28,470,021 | 5,32,368 | 17,230,677 | 3,37,962 | Razanj |  | 663,528 |  | 152,614 |  | 1,367 |  | 53,023 |  | 456,524 |
| Cukarica |  | 46,025,904 |  | 4,011,729 |  | 1,742,471 |  | 4,343,366 |  | 35,928,338 | Svrljig | 5,876,490 |  | 2,727,597 |  | 28,224 |  | 174,085 |  | 2,946,584 |  |
| Novi Beograd | 24,617,461 |  | 5,793,117 |  | 371,495 |  | 4,358,253 |  | 14,094,596 |  | Novi Pazar | 55,675,203 | 12,253,744 | 6,275,621 | 3,299,335 | 863,695 | 265,225 | 3,282,344 | 409,233 | 45,253,542 | 8,279,951 |
| Palilua (Belgrade) | 82,049,837 |  | 13,557,881 |  | 705,766 |  | 6,651,972 |  | 61,134,219 |  | Mali Zvornik |  | 5,418,883 |  | 2,476,450 |  | 52,215 |  | 178,152 |  | 2,712,065 |
| Rakovica | 20,683,675 |  | 2,260,010 |  | 1,110,846 |  | 2,446,841 |  | 14,865,978 |  | Novi Pazar | 49,026,690 |  | 5,041,828 |  | 605,185 |  | 2,587,610 |  | 40,792,066 |  |
| Savski venac |  | 5,030,559 |  | 636,396 |  | 257,538 |  | 689,002 |  | 3,447,624 | Sjenica |  | 6,834,861 |  | 822,884 |  | 213,010 |  | 231,081 |  | 5,567,885 |
| Stari grad | 9,480,453 |  | 1,922,926 |  | 53,343 |  | 943,454 |  | 6,560,730 |  | Tutin | 6,648,513 |  | 1,233,793 |  | 258,510 |  | 694,734 |  | 4,461,476 |  |
| Vozdovac | 10,787,613 |  | 1,457,203 |  | 205,229 |  | 2,482,706 |  | 6,642,475 |  | Novi Sad | 106,520,317 | 121,176,462 | 16,196,316 | 14,895,742 | 2,375,242 | 5,431,211 | 13,515,170 | 6,894,509 | 74,433,590 | 93,955,000 |
| Vracar | 5,911,446 |  | 1,226,850 |  | 74,326 |  | 1,042,459 |  | 3,567,810 |  | Bac |  | 1,637,210 |  | 393,397 |  | 55,441 |  | 97,638 |  | 1,090,735 |
| Zemun | 59,956,774 |  | 7,258,619 |  | 2,812,464 |  | 6,601,697 |  | 43,283,994 |  | Backa Palanka |  | 8,776,235 |  | 1,672,467 |  | 321,791 |  | 415,090 |  | 6,366,887 |
| Zvezdara | 32,534,319 |  | 5,015,327 |  | 495,476 |  | 3,942,639 |  | 23,080,876 |  | Becej |  | 26,405,715 |  | 2,609,299 |  | 2,392,047 |  | 805,309 |  | 20,599,060 |
| Jagodina |  | 41,662,394 |  | 4,484,825 |  | 905,024 |  | 1,469,251 |  | 34,803,294 | Beocin |  | 14,149,153 |  | 1,579,927 |  | 264,229 |  | 1,402,546 |  | 10,902,450 |
| Jagodina |  | 29,722,837 |  | 3,343,310 |  | 537,904 |  | 1,207,315 |  | 24,634,308 | City of Novi Sad | 98,854,040 |  | 14,423,261 |  | 2,240,422 |  | 12,720,388 |  | 69,469,969 |  |
| Rekovac |  | 2,914,511 |  | 417,208 |  | 152,184 |  | 89,759 |  | 2,255,360 | Srbobran |  | 13,019,332 |  | 1,305,548 |  | 329,298 |  | 506,307 |  | 10,878,179 |
| Svilajnac |  | 9,025,046 |  | 724,306 |  | 214,937 |  | 172,177 |  | 7,913,626 | Sremski Karlovci | 7,666,278 |  | 1,773,055 |  | 134,820 |  | 794,782 |  | 4,963,621 |  |
| Kikinda | 4,288,864 | 53,601,399 | 857,528 | 16,826,226 | 50,281 | 2,168,576 | 54,047 | 2,879,225 | 3,327,008 | 31,727,372 | Temerin |  | 3,968,954 |  | 359,113 |  | 73,524 |  | 145,273 |  | 3,391,044 |
| Coka |  | 7,085,443 |  | 1,444,972 |  | 157,102 |  | 444,200 |  | 5,039,169 | tel |  | 7,585,561 |  | 453,389 |  | 834,391 |  | 214,460 |  | 6,083,320 |
| Kikinda |  | 39,191,531 |  | 13,975,057 |  | 1,611,382 |  | 2,238,352 |  | 21,366,739 | Vrbas |  | 29,489,906 |  | 3,596,842 |  | 899,159 |  | 1,394,892 |  | 23,599,013 |
| Nova Crnja | 4,288,864 |  | 857,528 |  | 50,281 |  | 54,047 |  | 3,327,008 |  | Zabalj |  | 16,144,396 |  | 2,925,759 |  | 261,332 |  | 1,912,993 |  | 11,044,311 |
| Novi Knezevac |  | 7,324,425 |  | 1,406,196 |  | 400,091 |  | 196,673 |  | 5,321,464 | Pancevo | 5,686,540 | 64,091,674 | 501,076 | 6,284,351 | 143,473 | 1,851,420 | 333,693 | 3,446,372 | 4,708,297 | 52,509,531 |
| Kragujevac | 142,081,859 | 50,605,441 | 29,551,336 | 12,679,310 | 1,467,690 | 1,717,857 | 13,358,833 | 6,343,042 | 97,704,001 | 29,865,234 | Alibunar |  | 3,464,862 |  | 1,632,759 |  | 189,205 |  | 276,302 |  | 1,366,596 |
| Arandelovac |  | 26,616,279 |  | 4,818,188 |  | 923,446 |  | 4,378,230 |  | 16,496,415 | Kovacica |  | 6,323,926 |  | 530,462 |  | 319,889 |  | 321,288 |  | 5,152,287 |
| Batocina |  | 3,068,848 |  | 2,235,351 |  | 38,206 |  | 334,219 |  | 461,073 | Kovin |  | 14,510,551 |  | 1,051,743 |  | 331,858 |  | 804,773 |  | 12,322,176 |
| Knic |  | 8,178,032 |  | 1,835,616 |  | 74,905 |  | 829,800 |  | 5,437,711 | Opovo | 5,686,540 |  | 501,076 |  | 143,473 |  | 333,693 |  | 4,708,297 |  |
| Kragujevac | 142,081,859 |  | 29,551,336 |  | 1,467,690 |  | 13,358,833 |  | 97,704,001 |  | Pancevo |  | 39,792,335 |  | 3,069,387 |  | 1,010,467 |  | 2,044,008 |  | 33,668,473 |
| Raca |  | 2,341,393 |  | 1,218,349 |  | 22,980 |  | 160,798 |  | 939,266 | Paracin |  | 17,167,615 |  | 6,011,909 |  | 856,258 |  | 1,824,892 |  | 8,474,555 |
| Topola |  | 10,400,890 |  | 2,571,807 |  | 658,321 |  | 639,994 |  | 6,530,768 | Cuprija |  | 1,451,429 |  | 476,879 |  | 51,929 |  | 196,928 |  | 725,693 |
| Kraljevo |  | 51,340,416 |  | 9,128,986 |  | 1,739,576 |  | 6,300,109 |  | 34,171,744 | Despotovac |  | 4,790,694 |  | 1,128,404 |  | 188,521 |  | 327,972 |  | 3,145,797 |
| Kraljevo |  | 47,640,093 |  | 7,277,914 |  | 1,631,810 |  | 5,634,621 |  | 33,095,747 | Paracin |  | 10,925,491 |  | 4,406,626 |  | 615,808 |  | 1,299,992 |  | 4,603,066 |
| Raska |  | 3,700,323 |  | 1,851,072 |  | 107,766 |  | 665,487 |  | 1,075,997 | Pirot | 21,271,707 | 13,584,749 | 6,034,764 | 3,297,668 | 1,209,921 | 1,011,513 | 664,102 | 222,159 | 13,362,920 | 9,053,409 |
| Krusevac |  | 58,233,413 |  | 12,260,090 |  | 2,580,065 |  | 3,523,245 |  | 39,870,013 | Babusnica |  | 6,495,780 |  | 1,491,348 |  | 562,866 |  | 101,913 |  | 4,339,652 |
| Aleksandrovac |  | 4,555,721 |  | 691,954 |  | 263,734 |  | 294,983 |  | 3,305,050 | Dimitrovgrad |  | 7,088,970 |  | 1,806,319 |  | 448,647 |  | 120,246 |  | 4,713,758 |
| Brus |  | 4,010,793 |  | 904,283 |  | 201,697 |  | 255,148 |  | 2,649,666 | Pirot | 21,271,707 |  | 6,034,764 |  | 1,209,921 |  | 664,102 |  | 13,362,920 |  |
| Cicevac |  | 1,156,754 |  | 866,364 |  | 22,813 |  | 40,837 |  | 226,741 | Pozarevac | 6,333,768 | 48,061,612 | 1,050,047 | 7,260,990 | 42,190 | 2,585,949 | 252,588 | 1,453,817 | 4,988,943 | 36,760,855 |
| Krusevac |  | 38,013,433 |  | 6,119,706 |  | 1,768,736 |  | 2,229,947 |  | 27,895,044 | City of Pozarevac |  | 29,003,260 |  | 5,209,942 |  | 2,334,130 |  | 1,044,924 |  | 20,414,264 |
| Lapovo |  | 1,756,162 |  | 968,629 |  | 40,233 |  | 128,303 |  | 618,997 | Golubac | 2,121,808 |  | 312,922 |  | 20,285 |  | 62,085 |  | 1,726,517 |  |
| Trstenik |  | 7,230,984 |  | 1,414,452 |  | 264,278 |  | 524,399 |  | 5,027,855 | Kucevo |  | 3,748,582 |  | 457,336 |  | 44,248 |  | 90,218 |  | 3,156,780 |
| Varvarin |  | 1,509,567 |  | 1,294,703 |  | 18,575 |  | 49,630 |  | 146,660 | Malo Crnice |  | 320,285 |  | 88,641 |  | 16,430 |  | 35,209 |  | 180,005 |
| Leskovac | 80,764,777 | 23,045,521 | 19,210,422 | 13,378,589 | 3,233,992 | 1,169,236 | 3,731,619 | 600,296 | 54,588,745 | 7,897,400 | Petrovac na Mlavi | 3,501,648 |  | 464,984 |  | 1,737 |  | 145,198 |  | 2,889,730 |  |
| Bojnik |  | 4,379,353 |  | 1,348,258 |  | 312,484 |  | 123,957 |  | 2,594,654 | Veliko Gradiste |  | 10,844,180 |  | 1,138,240 |  | 122,463 |  | 225,827 |  | 9,357,650 |
| Crna Trava |  | 200,810 |  | 130,152 |  | 5,212 |  | 6,501 |  | 58,945 | Zabari |  | 4,145,304 |  | 366,830 |  | 68,678 |  | 57,640 |  | 3,652,156 |
| Lebane | 7,825,703 |  | 2,701,507 |  | 623,695 |  | 247,409 |  | 4,253,092 |  | Zagubica | 710,311 |  | 272,141 |  | 20,168 |  | 45,306 |  | 372,696 |  |
| Leskovac | 72,939,074 |  | 16,508,914 |  | 2,610,297 |  | 3,484,210 |  | 50,335,653 |  | Pozega |  | 6,505,752 |  | 2,166,686 |  | 139,634 |  | 682,289 |  | 3,517,142 |
| Medveda |  | 4,009,393 |  | 988,588 |  | 660,094 |  | 207,990 |  | 2,152,721 | Arilje |  | 2,066,509 |  | 614,081 |  | 36,485 |  | 187,749 |  | 1,228,194 |
| Vlasotince |  | 6,338,829 |  | 5,854,463 |  | 26,147 |  | 100,054 |  | 358,164 | Ivanjica |  | 2,344,843 |  | 847,050 |  | 73,055 |  | 290,871 |  | 1,133,867 |
| Vrnjacka Banja |  | 8,117,136 |  | 5,057,128 |  | 165,298 |  | 161,794 |  | 2,732,916 | Kosjeric |  | 342,945 |  | 189,537 |  | 8,576 |  | 42,426 |  | 102,406 |
| Loznica |  | 23,690,032 |  | 6,748,519 |  | 515,615 |  | 1,833,608 |  | 14,592,291 | Pozega |  | 1,751,455 |  | 516,018 |  | 21,518 |  | 161,243 |  | 1,052,676 |
| Krupanj |  | 1,829,860 |  | 1,233,454 |  | 14,268 |  | 279,905 |  | 302,234 | Prijepolje |  | 17,174,200 |  | 4,815,060 |  | 429,150 |  | 1,510,972 |  | 10,419,018 |
| Ljubovija |  | 4,964,568 |  | 608,880 |  | 213,737 |  | 244,845 |  | 3,897,106 | Nova Varos |  | 937,811 |  | 314,145 |  | 17,398 |  | 214,691 |  | 391,578 |
| Loznica |  | 16,895,605 |  | 4,906,185 |  | 287,611 |  | 1,308,858 |  | 10,392,951 | Priboj |  | 3,237,156 |  | 821,008 |  | 36,742 |  | 379,916 |  | 1,999,489 |
| Negotin | 5,453,965 | 17,116,070 | 1,700,416 | 8,259,112 | 205,446 | 1,014,145 | 162,689 | 812,829 | 3,385,413 | 7,029,985 | Prijepolje |  | 12,999,233 |  | 3,679,908 |  | 375,010 |  | 916,365 |  | 8,027,951 |
| Kladovo | 5,453,965 |  | 1,700,416 |  | 205,446 |  | 162,689 |  | 3,385,413 |  | Prokuplje | 40,853,529 | 24,420,314 | 5,427,016 | 5,461,946 | 1,214,914 | 749,422 | 1,235,907 | 1,161,968 | 32,975,691 | 17,046,978 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |
| Blace |  | 6,520,199 |  | 1,297,164 |  | 209,489 |  | 295,406 |  | 4,718,140 | Mali Idos | 4,458,734 |  | 232,207 |  | 22,269 |  | 168,655 |  | 4,035,602 |  |
| Merosina |  | 1,785,325 |  | 1,098,709 |  | 26,618 |  | 168,318 |  | 491,680 | Senta | 14,445,745 |  | 922,442 |  | 542,124 |  | 402,681 |  | 12,578,498 |  |
| Prokuplje | 40,853,529 |  | 5,427,016 |  | 1,214,914 |  | 1,235,907 |  | 32,975,691 |  | Subotica |  | 29,008,940 |  | 13,827,186 |  | 692,599 |  | 1,046,461 |  | 13,442,695 |
| Zitorada |  | 16,114,790 |  | 3,066,073 |  | 513,315 |  | 698,244 |  | 11,837,158 | Uzice | 2,411,709 | 9,020,677 | 417,111 | 1,062,489 | 38,869 | 559,177 | 298,394 | 482,894 | 1,657,335 | 6,916,117 |
| Sabac | 31,301,357 | 10,088,644 | 3,522,961 | 1,400,531 | 912,101 | 235,337 | 2,708,962 | 1,092,045 | 24,157,333 | 7,360,730 | Bajina Basta |  | 5,142,791 |  | 666,010 |  | 301,931 |  | 288,126 |  | 3,886,723 |
| Bogatic |  | 3,838,503 |  | 672,332 |  | 127,028 |  | 547,579 |  | 2,491,564 | Cajetina |  | 3,877,886 |  | 396,479 |  | 257,245 |  | 194,768 |  | 3,029,394 |
| Koceljeva |  | 4,791,678 |  | 565,394 |  | 101,914 |  | 305,610 |  | 3,818,760 | Uzice | 2,411,709 |  | 417,111 |  | 38,869 |  | 298,394 |  | 1,657,335 |  |
| Sabac | 31,301,357 |  | 3,522,961 |  | 912,101 |  | 2,708,962 |  | 24,157,333 |  | Valjevo |  | 70,369,645 |  | 7,113,541 |  | 3,446,921 |  | 3,710,174 |  | 56,099,010 |
| Vladimirci |  | 1,458,463 |  | 162,806 |  | 6,395 |  | 238,856 |  | 1,050,407 | Lajkovac |  | 797,731 |  | 405,446 |  | 5,292 |  | 114,231 |  | 272,762 |
| Second Basic Court in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ljig |  | 648,162 |  | 305,318 |  | 12,397 |  | 91,097 |  | 239,350 |
| Belgrade | 33,774,423 | 41,692,657 | 10,670,686 | 5,461,526 | 1,142,252 | 2,383,409 | 2,749,302 | 5,216,385 | 19,212,183 | 28,631,337 | Mionica |  | 675,575 |  | 341,871 |  | 12,002 |  | 98,831 |  | 222,870 |
| Barajevo | 3,749,633 |  | 415,843 |  | 101,587 |  | 439,177 |  | 2,793,026 |  | Osecina |  | 2,596,892 |  | 314,574 |  | 256,370 |  | 95,887 |  | 1,930,061 |
| Grocka |  | 4,584,555 |  | 572,230 |  | 113,735 |  | 604,344 |  | 3,294,246 | Ub |  | 11,041,634 |  | 1,160,187 |  | 533,232 |  | 422,531 |  | 8,925,683 |
| Lazarevac | 13,636,036 |  | 1,980,128 |  | 258,176 |  | 1,254,281 |  | 10,143,452 |  | Valjevo |  | 54,609,651 |  | 4,586,145 |  | 2,627,627 |  | 2,887,597 |  | 44,508,283 |
| Mladenovac |  | 12,958,064 |  | 1,961,957 |  | 925,937 |  | 1,693,598 |  | 8,376,572 | Vranje | 25,857,424 | 51,043,938 | 9,736,250 | 22,724,290 | 957,540 | 1,687,928 | 1,387,006 | 2,375,369 | 13,776,629 | 24,256,350 |
| Obrenovac |  | 19,736,665 |  | 2,506,654 |  | 1,092,053 |  | 2,493,256 |  | 13,644,702 | Bujanovac |  | 19,819,935 |  | 9,573,338 |  | 205,099 |  | 718,639 |  | 9,322,859 |
| Sopot |  | 4,413,372 |  | 420,686 |  | 251,683 |  | 425,187 |  | 3,315,816 | City of Vranje | 25,857,424 |  | 9,736,250 |  | 957,540 |  | 1,387,006 |  | 13,776,629 |  |
| Surcin | 16,388,753 |  | 8,274,715 |  | 782,489 |  | 1,055,844 |  | 6,275,705 |  | Presevo |  | 19,763,384 |  | 7,151,228 |  | 1,111,083 |  | 973,291 |  | 10,527,781 |
| Smederevo | 65,168,184 |  | 18,092,262 |  | 2,354,787 |  | 2,810,878 |  | 41,910,257 |  | Surdulica |  | 4,646,486 |  | 3,308,012 |  | 103,617 |  | 186,855 |  | 1,048,002 |
| Smederevo | 23,067,713 |  | 9,896,566 |  | 1,042,065 |  | 738,782 |  | 11,390,300 |  | Trgoviste |  | 2,058,572 |  | 1,157,705 |  | 53,355 |  | 65,325 |  | 782,187 |
| Smederevska Pal- | 23,387,324 |  | 3,495,501 |  | 809,777 |  | 1,321,908 |  | 17,760,138 |  | Vladicin Han |  | 4,755,560 |  | 1,534,007 |  | 214,774 |  | 431,259 |  | 2,575,520 |
| anka | 23,387,324 |  | 3,495,501 |  | 809,777 |  | 1,321,908 |  | 17,760,138 |  | Vrsac |  | 35,359,057 |  | 3,971,355 |  | 1,230,255 |  | 2,573,957 |  | 27,583,489 |
| Velika Plana | 18,713,146 |  | 4,700,194 |  | 502,945 |  | 750,188 |  | 12,759,819 |  | Bela Crkva |  | 10,044,872 |  | 1,283,164 |  | 759,624 |  | 903,786 |  | 7,098,299 |
| Sombor | 27,782,788 | 46,686,468 | 2,298,412 | 4,439,791 | 687,699 | 2,679,151 | 725,024 | 1,586,541 | 24,071,653 | 37,980,985 | Plandiste |  | 5,538,655 |  | 439,167 |  | 89,155 |  | 303,152 |  | 4,707,181 |
| Apatin |  | 12,455,423 |  | 1,319,277 |  | 740,947 |  | 374,510 |  | 10,020,689 | Vrsac |  | 19,775,529 |  | 2,249,023 |  | 381,476 |  | 1,367,020 |  | 15,778,010 |
| Kula |  | 20,599,012 |  | 2,069,836 |  | 1,593,031 |  | 811,627 |  | 16,124,517 | Zajecar | 32,182,228 | 16,753,037 | 10,211,569 | 3,717,054 | 2,405,983 | 595,563 | 1,129,036 | 1,055,858 | 18,435,639 | 11,384,563 |
| Odzaci |  | 13,632,033 |  | 1,050,679 |  | 345,173 |  | 400,403 |  | 11,835,778 | Boljevac |  | 5,717,561 |  | 571,036 |  | 144,714 |  | 106,967 |  | 4,894,844 |
| Sombor | 27,782,788 |  | 2,298,412 |  | 687,699 |  | 725,024 |  | 24,071,653 |  | Knjazevac |  | 8,676,935 |  | 2,870,050 |  | 374,065 |  | 877,657 |  | 4,555,163 |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 17,541,262 | 125,514,238 | 2,604,225 | 13,613,664 | 956,124 | 5,362,606 | 2,903,117 | 7,516,370 | 11,077,797 | 99,021,599 | Sokobanja |  | 2,358,541 |  | 275,969 |  | 76,784 |  | 71,234 |  | 1,934,555 |
| Indija | 17,541,262 |  | 2,604,225 |  | 956,124 |  | 2,903,117 |  | 11,077,797 |  | Zajecar | 32,182,228 |  | 10,211,569 |  | 2,405,983 |  | 1,129,036 |  | 18,435,639 |  |
| Irig |  | 2,012,161 |  | 1,242,780 |  | 79,907 |  | 346,882 |  | 342,592 | Zrenjanin |  | 85,424,369 |  | 6,853,896 |  | 4,546,479 |  | 7,041,835 |  | 66,982,159 |
| Pecinci |  | 2,645,977 |  | 1,703,191 |  | 67,239 |  | 475,390 |  | 400,156 | Novi Becej |  | 17,666,561 |  | 177,626 |  | 1,355,971 |  | 1,189,573 |  | 14,943,391 |
| Ruma |  | 46,593,921 |  | 3,957,634 |  | 1,936,501 |  | 3,334,597 |  | 37,365,190 | Secanj |  | 9,879,195 |  | 847,227 |  | 461,217 |  | 742,670 |  | 7,828,080 |
| Sid |  | 14,941,155 |  | 1,344,972 |  | 307,412 |  | 490,288 |  | 12,798,483 | Zitiste |  | 10,288,601 |  | 1,053,964 |  | 470,559 |  | 923,882 |  | 7,840,196 |
| Sremska Mitrovica |  | 37,844,746 |  | 3,229,540 |  | 1,565,313 |  | 1,569,093 |  | 31,480,800 | Zrenjanin |  | 47,590,012 |  | 4,775,079 |  | 2,258,732 |  | 4,185,710 |  | 36,370,491 |
| Stara Pazova |  | 21,476,279 |  | 2,135,546 |  | 1,406,234 |  | 1,300,120 |  | 16,634,378 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subotica | 44,081,474 | 33,078,781 | 2,848,926 | 14,458,367 | 2,657,203 | 721,337 | 1,279,083 | 1,144,832 | 37,296,262 | 16,754,245 | Grand Total | 1012536217 | 1410676737 | 195,798,101 | 273,060,793 | $\begin{gathered} 29,211,7 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |  | $81,314,200$ | $\begin{gathered} 92,849 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 706,212,181 | $\begin{gathered} 987,118,79 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| Ada |  | 4,069,841 |  | 631,182 |  | 28,738 |  | 98,371 |  | 3,311,551 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backa Topola | 14,391,044 |  | 1,038,373 |  | 160,143 |  | 528,732 |  | 12,663,796 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kanjiza | 10,785,951 |  | 655,904 |  | 1,932,667 |  | 179,015 |  | 8,018,366 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## IG3 Hourly

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{y}{訁} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{3}{z} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Row Labels | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | Row Labels | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |
| Bor |  | 4,457,358 |  | 499,018 |  | 404,655 |  | 229,547 |  | 3,324,138 | Arandelovac |  | 6,431,049 |  | 1,356,377 |  | 566,498 |  | 538,379 |  | 3,969,795 |
| Bor |  | 4,457,358 |  | 499,018 |  | 404,655 |  | 229,547 |  | 3,324,138 | Batocina |  | 804,769 |  | 629,278 |  | 23,438 |  | 41,098 |  | 110,955 |
| Cacak |  | 10,709,223 |  | 1,940,032 |  | 1,084,869 |  | 331,077 |  | 7,353,245 | Knic |  | 1,973,300 |  | 516,747 |  | 45,951 |  | 102,038 |  | 1,308,563 |
| Cacak |  | 8,225,651 |  | 1,452,402 |  | 784,743 |  | 256,075 |  | 5,732,430 | Kragujevac | 34,374,189 |  | 8,319,049 |  | 900,370 |  | 1,642,699 |  | 23,512,071 |  |
| Gornji Milanovac |  | 1,443,949 |  | 282,268 |  | 170,831 |  | 43,101 |  | 947,749 | Raca |  | 602,880 |  | 342,980 |  | 14,097 |  | 19,773 |  | 226,031 |
| Lucani |  | 1,039,623 |  | 205,362 |  | 129,294 |  | 31,900 |  | 673,067 | Topola |  | 2,778,149 |  | 723,994 |  | 403,854 |  | 78,698 |  | 1,571,603 |
| First Basic Court in Belgrade | 59,599,901 | 12,629,911 1 | 10,835,932 | 1,308,502 | 3,575,829 | 1,226,927 | 3,500,880 | 618,816 | 41,687,260 | 9,475,665 | Kraljevo |  | 12,635,077 |  | 2,569,917 |  | 1,067,162 |  | 774,707 |  | 8,223,292 |
| Cukarica |  | 11,378,387 |  | 1,129,349 |  | 1,068,938 |  | 534,092 |  | 8,646,009 | Kraljevo |  | 11,707,101 |  | 2,048,819 |  | 1,001,051 |  | 692,874 |  | 7,964,357 |
| Novi Beograd | 5,786,458 |  | 1,630,831 |  | 227,897 |  | 535,922 |  | 3,391,807 |  | Raska |  | 927,976 |  | 521,099 |  | 66,110 |  | 81,833 |  | 258,934 |
| Palilula (Belgrade) | 19,779,339 |  | 3,816,703 |  | 432,959 |  | 817,975 |  | 14,711,702 |  | Krusevac |  | 15,061,929 |  | 3,451,360 |  | 1,582,768 |  | 433,244 |  | 9,594,557 |
| Rakovica | 5,195,998 |  | 636,219 |  | 681,460 |  | 300,881 |  | 3,577,437 |  | Aleksandrovac |  | 1,188,204 |  | 194,793 |  | 161,790 |  | 36,273 |  | 795,347 |
| Savski venac |  | 1,251,524 |  | 179,153 |  | 157,989 |  | 84,725 |  | 829,657 | Brus |  | 1,047,306 |  | 254,566 |  | 123,733 |  | 31,375 |  | 637,631 |
| Stari grad | 2,268,877 |  | 541,326 |  | 32,724 |  | 116,014 |  | 1,578,813 |  | Cicevac |  | 317,472 |  | 243,892 |  | 13,995 |  | 5,022 |  | 54,564 |
| Vozdovac | 2,439,896 |  | 410,220 |  | 125,900 |  | 305,292 |  | 1,598,485 |  | Krusevac |  | 9,794,859 |  | 1,722,769 |  | 1,085,050 |  | 274,210 |  | 6,712,829 |
| Vracar | 1,377,737 |  | 345,373 |  | 45,596 |  | 128,188 |  | 858,579 |  | Lapovo |  | 462,098 |  | 272,680 |  | 24,681 |  | 15,777 |  | 148,959 |
| Zemun | 14,996,633 |  | 2,043,387 |  | 1,725,336 |  | 811,792 |  | 10,416,117 |  | Trstenik |  | 1,834,726 |  | 398,185 |  | 162,124 |  | 64,484 |  | 1,209,933 |
| Zvezdara | 7,754,963 |  | 1,411,874 |  | 303,955 |  | 484,815 |  | 5,554,319 |  | Varvarin |  | 417,265 |  | 364,474 |  | 11,395 |  | 6,103 |  | 35,293 |
| Jagodina |  | 10,373,669 |  | 1,262,531 |  | 555,197 |  | 180,670 |  | 8,375,271 | Leskovac | 20,987,314 | 6,457,804 | 5,407,960 | 3,766,230 | 1,983,927 | 717,280 | 458,867 | 73,817 | 13,136,560 | 1,900,477 |
| Jagodina |  | 7,347,770 |  | 941,181 |  | 329,983 |  | 148,460 |  | 5,928,146 | Bojnik |  | 1,210,883 |  | 379,550 |  | 191,697 |  | 15,243 |  | 624,393 |
| Rekovac |  | 764,589 |  | 117,449 |  | 93,359 |  | 11,037 |  | 542,743 | Crna Trava |  | 54,821 |  | 36,639 |  | 3,197 |  | 799 |  | 14,185 |
| Svilajnac |  | 2,261,310 |  | 203,901 |  | 131,855 |  | 21,172 |  | 1,904,382 | Lebane | 2,197,031 |  | 760,506 |  | 382,612 |  | 30,423 |  | 1,023,489 |  |
| Kikinda | 1,079,527 | 14,056,230 | 241,404 | 4,736,781 | 30,846 | 1,330,336 | 6,646 | 354,050 | 800,631 | 7,635,063 | Leskovac | 18,790,283 |  | 4,647,454 |  | 1,601,315 |  | 428,444 |  | 12,113,071 |  |
| Coka |  | 1,770,430 |  | 406,777 |  | 96,376 |  | 54,622 |  | 1,212,656 | Medveda |  | 1,226,860 |  | 278,299 |  | 404,942 |  | 25,576 |  | 518,044 |
| Kikinda |  | 10,339,726 |  | 3,934,143 |  | 988,520 |  | 275,244 |  | 5,141,819 | Vlasotince |  | 1,762,635 |  | 1,648,100 |  | 16,040 |  | 12,303 |  | 86,191 |
| Nova Crnja | 1,079,527 |  | 241,404 |  | 30,846 |  | 6,646 |  | 800,631 |  | Vrnjacka Banja |  | 2,202,605 |  | 1,423,641 |  | 101,404 |  | 19,895 |  | 657,665 |
| Novi Knezevac |  | 1,946,074 |  | 395,861 |  | 245,440 |  | 24,184 |  | 1,280,589 | Loznica |  | 5,953,146 |  | 1,899,787 |  | 316,310 |  | 225,474 |  | 3,511,576 |
| Kragujevac | 34,374,189 | 12,590,146 | 8,319,049 | 3,569,375 | 900,370 | 1,053,838 | 1,642,699 | 779,986 | 23,512,071 | 7,186,947 | Krupanj |  | 463,135 |  | 347,232 |  | 8,753 |  | 34,419 |  | 72,731 |


| Row Labels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Litigation Casehours } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ |  | LAC42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |
| Ljubovija |  | 1,270,457 |  | 171,407 |  | 131,119 |  | 30,108 |  | 937,823 | Vrbas |  | 7,414,685 |  | 1,012,553 |  | 551,599 |  | 171,526 |  | 5,679,007 |
| Loznica |  | 4,219,555 |  | 1,381,149 |  | 176,438 |  | 160,947 |  | 2,501,021 | Zabalj |  | 3,876,957 |  | 823,636 |  | 160,317 |  | 235,235 |  | 2,657,769 |
| Negotin | 1,439,412 | 4,738,864 | 478,687 | 2,325,037 | 126,033 | 622,138 | 20,005 | 99,951 | 814,686 | 1,691,737 | Pancevo | 1,403,140 | 15,964,889 | 141,059 | 1,769,119 | 88,015 | 1,135,773 | 41,033 | 423,791 | 1,133,033 | 12,636,206 |
| Kladovo | 1,439,412 |  | 478,687 |  | 126,033 |  | 20,005 |  | 814,686 |  | Alibunar |  | 938,553 |  | 459,641 |  | 116,070 |  | 33,976 |  | 328,866 |
| Majdanpek |  | 2,037,038 |  | 471,965 |  | 222,865 |  | 38,582 |  | 1,303,627 | Kovacica |  | 1,624,956 |  | 149,331 |  | 196,239 |  | 39,508 |  | 1,239,877 |
| Negotin |  | 2,701,825 |  | 1,853,073 |  | 399,273 |  | 61,369 |  | 388,111 | Kovin |  | 3,563,902 |  | 296,078 |  | 203,582 |  | 98,961 |  | 2,965,282 |
| Nis | 4,761,869 | 33,803,073 | 2,843,050 | 10,605,309 | 284,270 | 2,847,664 | 32,265 | 995,234 | 1,602,284 | 19,354,865 | Opovo | 1,403,140 |  | 141,059 |  | 88,015 |  | 41,033 |  | 1,133,033 |  |
| Aleksinac |  | 2,246,320 |  | 432,146 |  | 28,629 |  | 65,584 |  | 1,719,961 | Pancevo |  | 9,837,478 |  | 864,068 |  | 619,882 |  | 251,346 |  | 8,102,181 |
| City of Nis |  | 30,159,171 |  | 9,854,203 |  | 2,669,482 |  | 874,617 |  | 16,760,869 | Paracin |  | 4,481,473 |  | 1,692,423 |  | 525,281 |  | 224,402 |  | 2,039,367 |
| Doljevac | 3,246,214 |  | 2,075,199 |  | 266,956 |  | 10,858 |  | 893,201 |  | Cuprija |  | 364,954 |  | 134,247 |  | 31,856 |  | 24,216 |  | 174,635 |
| Gadzin Han |  | 1,237,399 |  | 275,997 |  | 148,714 |  | 48,514 |  | 764,174 | Despotovac |  | 1,230,663 |  | 317,659 |  | 115,651 |  | 40,330 |  | 757,023 |
| Razanj |  | 160,182 |  | 42,963 |  | 839 |  | 6,520 |  | 109,861 | Paracin |  | 2,885,856 |  | 1,240,517 |  | 377,774 |  | 159,856 |  | 1,107,709 |
| Surljig | 1,515,655 |  | 767,851 |  | 17,314 |  | 21,407 |  | 709,084 |  | Pirot | 5,738,491 | 3,754,840 | 1,698,857 | 928,332 | 742,239 | 620,524 | 81,663 | 27,318 | 3,215,733 | 2,178,666 |
| Novi Pazar | 13,590,206 | 3,134,366 | 1,766,661 | 928,802 | 529,843 | 162,705 | 403,621 | 50,322 | 10,890,081 | 1,992,537 | Babusnica |  | 1,821,980 |  | 419,832 |  | 345,296 |  | 12,532 |  | 1,044,320 |
| Mali Zvornik |  | 1,403,736 |  | 697,150 |  | 32,032 |  | 21,907 |  | 652,648 | Dimitrovgrad |  | 1,932,860 |  | 508,500 |  | 275,227 |  | 14,786 |  | 1,134,347 |
| Novi Pazar | 11,925,228 |  | 1,419,334 |  | 371,257 |  | 318,191 |  | 9,816,445 |  | Pirot | 5,738,491 |  | 1,698,857 |  | 742,239 |  | 81,663 |  | 3,215,733 |  |
| Sjenica |  | 1,730,629 |  | 231,652 |  | 130,673 |  | 28,415 |  | 1,339,889 | Pozarevac | 1,553,111 | 12,655,555 | 295,601 | 2,044,054 | 25,882 | 1,586,378 | 31,060 | 178,772 | 1,200,569 | 8,846,351 |
| Tutin | 1,664,979 |  | 347,327 |  | 158,586 |  | 85,430 |  | 1,073,636 |  | City of Pozarevac |  | 7,939,658 |  | 1,466,660 |  | 1,431,897 |  | 128,491 |  | 4,912,610 |
| Novi Sad | 25,590,636 | 30,982,850 | 4,559,453 | 4,193,327 | 1,457,117 | 3,331,835 | 1,661,923 | 847,799 | 17,912,141 | 22,609,890 | Golubac | 523,649 |  | 88,091 |  | 12,444 |  | 7,634 |  | 415,479 |  |
| Bac |  | 419,244 |  | 110,746 |  | 34,011 |  | 12,006 |  | 262,481 | Kucevo |  | 926,650 |  | 128,746 |  | 27,145 |  | 11,094 |  | 759,666 |
| Backa Palanka |  | 2,251,433 |  | 470,819 |  | 197,406 |  | 51,042 |  | 1,532,165 | Malo Crnice |  | 82,680 |  | 24,954 |  | 10,079 |  | 4,330 |  | 43,318 |
| Becej |  | 7,258,082 |  | 734,548 |  | 1,467,427 |  | 99,027 |  | 4,957,080 | Petrovac na Mlavi | 845,220 |  | 130,899 |  | 1,065 |  | 17,855 |  | 695,402 |  |
| Beocin |  | 3,402,960 |  | 444,768 |  | 162,094 |  | 172,467 |  | 2,623,630 | Veliko Gradiste |  | 2,675,204 |  | 320,428 |  | 75,126 |  | 27,769 |  | 2,251,881 |
| City of Novi Sad | 23,716,586 |  | 4,060,318 |  | 1,374,411 |  | 1,564,191 |  | 16,717,666 |  | Zabari |  | 1,031,363 |  | 103,267 |  | 42,131 |  | 7,088 |  | 878,876 |
| Srbobran |  | 3,249,587 |  | 367,527 |  | 202,011 |  | 62,259 |  | 2,617,790 | Zagubica | 184,242 |  | 76,611 |  | 12,372 |  | 5,571 |  | 89,688 |  |
| Sremski Karlovci | 1,874,050 |  | 499,136 |  | 82,707 |  | 97,732 |  | 1,194,475 |  | Pozega |  | 1,625,893 |  | 609,948 |  | 85,660 |  | 83,899 |  | 846,386 |
| Temerin |  | 980,103 |  | 101,095 |  | 45,104 |  | 17,864 |  | 816,041 | Arilje |  | 513,900 |  | 172,871 |  | 22,382 |  | 23,087 |  | 295,560 |
| Titel |  | 2,129,799 |  | 127,634 |  | 511,866 |  | 26,372 |  | 1,463,926 | Ivanjica |  | 591,899 |  | 238,455 |  | 44,816 |  | 35,768 |  | 272,860 |


| Row Labels | LAC42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 5 <br> 5 <br> LAC42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |
| Kosjeric |  | 88,479 |  | 53,357 |  | 5,261 |  | 5,217 |  | 24,644 | Sombor | 6,950,806 | 12,228,470 | 647,030 | 1,249,854 | 421,876 | 1,643,554 | 89,154 | 195,093 | 5,792,746 | 9,139,970 |
| Pozega |  | 431,615 |  | 145,265 |  | 13,201 |  | 19,828 |  | 253,322 | Apatin |  | 3,283,425 |  | 371,392 |  | 454,542 |  | 46,053 |  | 2,411,438 |
| Prijepolje |  | 4,311,857 |  | 1,355,496 |  | 263,267 |  | 185,800 |  | 2,507,294 | Kula |  | 5,540,049 |  | 582,683 |  | 977,262 |  | 99,804 |  | 3,880,300 |
| Nova Varos |  | 219,740 |  | 88,435 |  | 10,673 |  | 26,400 |  | 94,232 | Odzaci |  | 3,404,997 |  | 295,778 |  | 211,750 |  | 49,236 |  | 2,848,232 |
| Priboj |  | 781,550 |  | 231,123 |  | 22,540 |  | 46,717 |  | 481,169 | Sombor | 6,950,806 |  | 647,030 |  | 421,876 |  | 89,154 |  | 5,792,746 |  |
| Prijepolje |  | 3,310,568 |  | 1,035,937 |  | 230,054 |  | 112,683 |  | 1,931,894 | Sremska Mitrovica | 4,342,479 | 31,875,568 | 733,120 | 3,832,407 | 586,544 | 3,289,748 | 356,988 | 924,267 | 2,665,827 | 23,829,146 |
| Prokuplje | 10,360,513 | 6,242,513 | 1,527,769 | 1,537,602 | 745,302 | 459,741 | 151,976 | 142,884 | 7,935,466 | 4,102,286 | Indija | 4,342,479 |  | 733,120 |  | 586,544 |  | 356,988 |  | 2,665,827 |  |
| Blace |  | 1,665,407 |  | 365,167 |  | 128,513 |  | 36,325 |  | 1,135,401 | Irig |  | 523,975 |  | 349,857 |  | 49,020 |  | 42,655 |  | 82,443 |
| Merosina |  | 464,647 |  | 309,300 |  | 16,329 |  | 20,698 |  | 118,321 | Pecinci |  | 675,470 |  | 479,468 |  | 41,248 |  | 58,457 |  | 96,296 |
| Prokuplje | 10,360,513 |  | 1,527,769 |  | 745,302 |  | 151,976 |  | 7,935,466 |  | Ruma |  | 11,703,915 |  | 1,114,120 |  | 1,187,967 |  | 410,046 |  | 8,991,781 |
| Zitorada |  | 4,112,459 |  | 863,136 |  | 314,899 |  | 85,861 |  | 2,848,564 | Sid |  | 3,707,403 |  | 378,625 |  | 188,585 |  | 60,289 |  | 3,079,903 |
| Sabac | 7,697,771 | 2,444,252 | 991,755 | 394,266 | 559,538 | 144,370 | 333,114 | 134,286 | 5,813,364 | 1,771,330 | Sremska Mitrovica |  | 9,638,085 |  | 909,154 |  | 960,258 |  | 192,947 |  | 7,575,727 |
| Bogatic |  | 934,115 |  | 189,269 |  | 77,927 |  | 67,334 |  | 599,585 | Stara Pazova |  | 5,626,719 |  | 601,181 |  | 862,670 |  | 159,872 |  | 4,002,996 |
| Koceljeva |  | 1,178,234 |  | 159,165 |  | 62,520 |  | 37,580 |  | 918,969 | Subotica | 11,564,575 | 8,685,330 | 802,006 | 4,070,201 | 1,630,090 | 442,512 | 157,285 | 140,777 | 8,975,194 | 4,031,841 |
| Sabac | 7,697,771 |  | 991,755 |  | 559,538 |  | 333,114 |  | 5,813,364 |  | Ada |  | 1,004,322 |  | 177,685 |  | 17,630 |  | 12,096 |  | 796,911 |
| Vladimirci |  | 331,902 |  | 45,832 |  | 3,923 |  | 29,371 |  | 252,776 | Backa Topola | 3,503,064 |  | 292,314 |  | 98,242 |  | 65,017 |  | 3,047,491 |  |
| Second Basic Court in Belgrade | 8,666,058 | 10,531,071 | 3,003,924 | 1,537,484 | 700,727 | 1,462,128 | 338,074 | 641,444 | 4,623,334 | 6,890,015 | Kanjiza | 3,321,860 |  | 184,645 |  | 1,185,615 |  | 22,013 |  | 1,929,587 |  |
| Barajevo | 905,519 |  | 117,065 |  | 62,320 |  | 54,004 |  | 672,130 |  | Mali Idos | 1,070,921 |  | 65,369 |  | 13,661 |  | 20,739 |  | 971,151 |  |
| Grocka |  | 1,097,923 |  | 161,089 |  | 69,772 |  | 74,314 |  | 792,747 | Senta | 3,668,731 |  | 259,678 |  | 332,572 |  | 49,517 |  | 3,026,964 |  |
| Lazarevac | 3,311,026 |  | 557,429 |  | 158,381 |  | 154,235 |  | 2,440,981 |  | Subotica |  | 7,681,008 |  | 3,892,516 |  | 424,882 |  | 128,680 |  | 3,234,930 |
| Mladenovac |  | 3,344,385 |  | 552,314 |  | 568,026 |  | 208,257 |  | 2,015,788 | Uzice | 576,790 | 2,365,852 | 117,422 | 299,103 | 23,845 | 343,033 | 36,693 | 59,380 | 398,831 | 1,664,336 |
| Obrenovac |  | 4,965,715 |  | 705,653 |  | 669,931 |  | 306,589 |  | 3,283,542 | Bajina Basta |  | 1,343,467 |  | 187,490 |  | 185,223 |  | 35,430 |  | 935,324 |
| Sopot |  | 1,123,048 |  | 118,428 |  | 154,398 |  | 52,284 |  | 797,938 | Cajetina |  | 1,022,385 |  | 111,614 |  | 157,810 |  | 23,950 |  | 729,011 |
| Surcin | 4,449,513 |  | 2,329,430 |  | 480,026 |  | 129,834 |  | 1,510,223 |  | Uzice | 576,790 |  | 117,422 |  | 23,845 |  | 36,693 |  | 398,831 |  |
| Smederevo | 16,968,933 |  | 5,093,185 |  | 1,444,569 |  | 345,646 |  | 10,085,533 |  | Valjevo |  | 18,073,325 |  | 2,002,546 |  | 2,114,551 |  | 456,230 |  | 13,499,999 |
| Smederevo | 6,257,141 |  | 2,786,000 |  | 639,266 |  | 90,846 |  | 2,741,030 |  | Lajkovac |  | 197,070 |  | 114,138 |  | 3,246 |  | 14,047 |  | 65,639 |
| Smederevska Palanka | 5,917,248 |  | 984,025 |  | 496,767 |  | 162,551 |  | 4,273,905 |  | Ljig |  | 162,356 |  | 85,950 |  | 7,605 |  | 11,202 |  | 57,599 |
| Velika Plana | 4,794,544 |  | 1,323,160 |  | 308,537 |  | 92,249 |  | 3,070,599 |  | Mionica |  | 169,390 |  | 96,241 |  | 7,363 |  | 12,153 |  | 53,633 |


| Row Labels | LAC42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |
| Osecina |  | 722,082 |  | 88,556 |  | 157,273 |  | 11,791 |  | 464,461 | Vrsac |  | 4,832,160 |  | 633,127 |  | 234,021 |  | 168,099 |  | 3,796,914 |
| Ub |  | 2,853,610 |  | 326,606 |  | 327,117 |  | 51,957 |  | 2,147,930 | Zajecar | 8,925,950 | 4,281,234 | 2,874,677 | 1,046,395 | 1,475,976 | 365,354 | 138,834 | 129,836 | 4,436,462 | 2,739,649 |
| Valjevo |  | 13,968,817 |  | 1,291,054 |  | 1,611,946 |  | 355,080 |  | 10,710,738 | Boljevac |  | 1,440,607 |  | 160,753 |  | 88,776 |  | 13,153 |  | 1,177,924 |
| Vranje | 6,814,128 | 13,561,917 | 2,740,869 | 6,397,155 | 587,413 | 1,035,477 | 170,556 | 292,093 | 3,315,290 | 5,837,192 | Knjazevac |  | 2,241,532 |  | 807,953 |  | 229,474 |  | 107,923 |  | 1,096,182 |
| Bujanovac |  | 5,152,705 |  | 2,695,007 |  | 125,820 |  | 88,369 |  | 2,243,508 | Sokobanja |  | 599,095 |  | 77,688 |  | 47,104 |  | 8,759 |  | 465,543 |
| City of Vranje | 6,814,128 |  | 2,740,869 |  | 587,413 |  | 170,556 |  | 3,315,290 |  | Zajecar | 8,925,950 |  | 2,874,677 |  | 1,475,976 |  | 138,834 |  | 4,436,462 |  |
| Presevo |  | 5,347,912 |  | 2,013,155 |  | 681,606 |  | 119,683 |  | 2,533,468 | Zrenjanin |  | 21,703,438 |  | 1,929,452 |  | 2,789,086 |  | 865,915 |  | 16,118,985 |
| Surdulica |  | 1,269,984 |  | 931,244 |  | 63,565 |  | 22,977 |  | 252,197 | Novi Becej |  | 4,624,183 |  | 50,004 |  | 831,835 |  | 146,279 |  | 3,596,066 |
| Trgoviste |  | 554,902 |  | 325,908 |  | 32,731 |  | 8,033 |  | 188,230 | Secanj |  | 2,496,563 |  | 238,504 |  | 282,939 |  | 91,324 |  | 1,883,796 |
| Vladicin Han |  | 1,236,416 |  | 431,841 |  | 131,755 |  | 53,031 |  | 619,789 | Zitiste |  | 2,585,691 |  | 296,703 |  | 288,670 |  | 113,607 |  | 1,886,711 |
| Vrsac |  | 8,827,064 |  | 1,117,983 |  | 754,713 |  | 316,512 |  | 6,637,855 | Zrenjanin |  | 11,997,001 |  | 1,344,241 |  | 1,385,643 |  | 514,705 |  | 8,752,411 |
| Bela Crkva |  | 2,646,538 |  | 361,226 |  | 465,999 |  | 111,136 |  | 1,708,177 | Grand Total | $\begin{gathered} 252,985,80 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 361,198,18 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55,119,47 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76,869,82 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17,920,25 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35,364,83 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{3}{9,998,98}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,417,39 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169,947,09 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 237,546,13 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| Plandiste |  | 1,348,366 |  | 123,631 |  | 54,693 |  | 37,278 |  | 1,132,764 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






## IG4 Hourly

|  | LAC42 |  | $\begin{aligned} & ⿱ 艹 \stackrel{a}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}{\underset{i}{3}}$ |  |  |  | Row Labels | LAC42 | 荅 |  |  | LAC42 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}$ | LAC42 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Row Labels |  | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  |  | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | MLSP |  | MLSP |
| Higher Court in Belgrade | 35，191，889 | 21，362，509 | 6，572，900 | 3，749，704 | 68，705 | 103，981 | 28，550，285 | 17，508，825 | Svilajnac |  | 1，027，673 |  | 71，596 |  | 8，085 |  | 947，992 |
| Barajevo | 1，261，052 |  | 259，168 |  | 3，717 |  | 998，167 |  | Higher Court in Kraguje－ vac | 6，606，205 | 2，693，468 | 557，887 | 333，585 | 7，007 | 10，250 | 6，041，310 | 2，349，632 |
| Cukarica |  | 4，595，120 |  | 241，333 |  | 12，281 |  | 4，341，506 | Arandelovac |  | 1，442，773 |  | 108，727 |  | 5，280 |  | 1，328，767 |
| Grocka |  | 1，531，994 |  | 336，499 |  | 4，030 |  | 1，191，465 | Batocina |  | 125，880 |  | 79，843 |  | 362 |  | 45，675 |
| Lazarevac | 4，449，324 |  | 779，100 |  | 5，467 |  | 3，664，757 |  | Knic |  | 442，018 |  | 40，270 |  | 468 |  | 401，279 |
| Mladenovac |  | 5，366，468 |  | 1，295，948 |  | 36，496 |  | 4，034，023 | Kragujevac | 6，606，205 |  | 557，887 |  | 7，007 |  | 6，041，310 |  |
| Novi Beograd | 2，375，461 |  | 387，448 |  | 2，861 |  | 1，985，153 |  | Raca |  | 130，679 |  | 43，138 |  | 173 |  | 87，368 |
| Obrenovac |  | 7，806，405 |  | 1，536，869 |  | 38，243 |  | 6，231，292 | Topola |  | 552，117 |  | 61，608 |  | 3，966 |  | 486，543 |
| Palilula（Belgrade） | 6，589，033 |  | 686，772 |  | 4，242 |  | 5，898，020 |  | Higher Court in Kraljevo |  | 4，681，512 |  | 691，052 |  | 6，059 |  | 3，984，401 |
| Rakovica | 2，114，068 |  | 157，535 |  | 9，072 |  | 1，947，462 |  | Kraljevo |  | 4，287，645 |  | 552，201 |  | 5，695 |  | 3，729，748 |
| Savski venac |  | 557，721 |  | 47，499 |  | 2，627 |  | 507，594 | Raska |  | 393，867 |  | 138，850 |  | 364 |  | 254，653 |
| Sopot |  | 1，504，802 |  | 291，555 |  | 10，303 |  | 1，202，944 | Higher Court in Krusevac |  | 3，486，300 |  | 301，121 |  | 10，615 |  | 3，174，564 |
| Stari grad | 932，391 |  | 132，096 |  | 455 |  | 799，839 |  | Aleksandrovac |  | 331，513 |  | 12，618 |  | 901 |  | 317，995 |
| Surcin | 6，612，971 |  | 3，201，338 |  | 17，113 |  | 3，394，520 |  | Brus |  | 285，508 |  | 25，725 |  | 1，012 |  | 258，772 |
| Vozdovac | 1，272，981 |  | 126，945 |  | 1，947 |  | 1，144，089 |  | Cicevac |  | 48，371 |  | 29，030 |  | 152 |  | 19，189 |
| Vracar | 648，968 |  | 106，546 |  | 636 |  | 541，785 |  | Krusevac |  | 2，271，930 |  | 131，613 |  | 7，198 |  | 2，133，118 |
| Zemun | 5，854，051 |  | 427，891 |  | 19，445 |  | 5，406，715 |  | Lapovo |  | 84，133 |  | 28，246 |  | 223 |  | 55，664 |
| Zvezdara | 3，081，589 |  | 308，061 |  | 3，751 |  | 2，769，778 |  | Trstenik |  | 402，822 |  | 27，861 |  | 1，000 |  | 373，961 |
| Higher Court in Cacak |  | 2，293，048 |  | 153，221 |  | 4，185 |  | 2，135，642 | Varvarin |  | 62，022 |  | 46，028 |  | 129 |  | 15，866 |
| Cacak |  | 1，738，149 |  | 114，066 |  | 3，032 |  | 1，621，050 | Higher Court in Leskovac | 4，411，311 | 1，300，406 | 492，660 | 480，102 | 9，040 | 4，529 | 3，909，612 | 815，776 |
| Gornji Milanovac |  | 319，462 |  | 22，271 |  | 672 |  | 296，519 | Bojnik |  | 268，344 |  | 48，309 |  | 1，242 |  | 218，794 |
| Lucani |  | 235，436 |  | 16，883 |  | 480 |  | 218，073 | Crna Trava |  | 1，378 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1，378 |
| Higher Court in Jagodina |  | 7，321，546 |  | 1，389，434 |  | 66，212 |  | 5，865，900 | Lebane | 488，400 |  | 96，994 |  | 2，371 |  | 389，035 |  |
| Cuprija |  | 204，330 |  | 88，394 |  | 2，235 |  | 113，701 | Leskovac | 3，922，911 |  | 395，666 |  | 6，668 |  | 3，520，576 |  |
| Despotovac |  | 652，351 |  | 143，635 |  | 5，601 |  | 503，115 | Medveda |  | 362，347 |  | 43，567 |  | 2，641 |  | 316，139 |
| Jagodina |  | 3，327，034 |  | 352，803 |  | 21，568 |  | 2，952，664 | Vlasotince |  | 259，041 |  | 214，996 |  | 106 |  | 43，940 |
| Paracin |  | 1，661，108 |  | 690，790 |  | 22，625 |  | 947，694 | Vrnjacka Banja |  | 409，296 |  | 173，230 |  | 541 |  | 235，525 |
| Rekovac |  | 449，049 |  | 42，216 |  | 6，099 |  | 400，734 | Higher Court in Negotin | 385，536 | 1，208，391 | 57，165 | 403，332 | 3，194 | 22，192 | 325，177 | 782，868 |


|  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\overleftarrow{\Delta}}{i}$ |  |  |  | Row Labels |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & ⿱ 艹 \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\leftrightarrows} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | LAC42 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Row Labels | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |  | MLSP |
| Kladovo | 385,536 |  | 57,165 |  | 3,194 |  | 325,177 |  | Bela Crkva |  | 2,420,102 |  | 389,116 |  | 29,668 |  | 2,001,318 |
| Majdanpek |  | 572,393 |  | 54,485 |  | 5,666 |  | 512,242 | Kovacica |  | 648,519 |  | 39,855 |  | 4,069 |  | 604,596 |
| Negotin |  | 635,998 |  | 348,847 |  | 16,526 |  | 270,626 | Kovin |  | 1,365,727 |  | 54,144 |  | 2,986 |  | 1,308,596 |
| Higher Court in Nis | 1,022,271 | 8,489,920 | 463,369 | 1,884,975 | 1,852 | 20,224 | 557,050 | 6,584,721 | Opovo | 501,914 |  | 28,815 |  | 1,537 |  | 471,562 |  |
| Aleksinac |  | 717,522 |  | 65,088 |  | 178 |  | 652,256 | Pancevo |  | 3,493,420 |  | 194,039 |  | 10,728 |  | 3,288,653 |
| City of $\mathrm{Ni}_{\text {_ }}$ |  | 7,366,145 |  | 1,758,989 |  | 18,628 |  | 5,588,528 | Plandiste |  | 977,174 |  | 88,708 |  | 2,480 |  | 885,987 |
| Doljevac | 685,393 |  | 332,558 |  | 1,727 |  | 351,108 |  | Vrsac |  | 3,631,518 |  | 505,811 |  | 11,078 |  | 3,114,629 |
| Gadzin Han |  | 357,712 |  | 51,797 |  | 1,408 |  | 304,507 | Higher Court in Pirot | 1,168,622 | 894,854 | 84,130 | 48,980 | 974 | 884 | 1,083,517 | 844,990 |
| Razanj |  | 48,541 |  | 9,101 |  | 10 |  | 39,430 | Babusnica |  | 453,633 |  | 24,089 |  | 511 |  | 429,032 |
| Svrljig | 336,879 |  | 130,812 |  | 125 |  | 205,942 |  | Dimitrovgrad |  | 441,221 |  | 24,891 |  | 372 |  | 415,957 |
| Higher Court in Novi Pazar | 3,801,941 | 833,941 | 152,111 | 101,663 | 11,167 | 4,279 | 3,638,662 | 727,999 | Pirot | 1,168,622 |  | 84,130 |  | 974 |  | 1,083,517 |  |
| Mali Zvornik |  | 348,424 |  | 77,828 |  | 893 |  | 269,703 | Higher Court in Pozarevac | 470,461 | 3,854,707 | 60,770 | 486,906 | 433 | 34,995 | 409,258 | 3,332,806 |
| Novi Pazar | 3,252,795 |  | 125,689 |  | 8,161 |  | 3,118,944 |  | City of Po_arevac |  | 2,428,202 |  | 379,593 |  | 32,455 |  | 2,016,154 |
| Sjenica |  | 485,517 |  | 23,835 |  | 3,386 |  | 458,296 | Golubac | 148,967 |  | 14,699 |  | 164 |  | 134,104 |  |
| Tutin | 549,146 |  | 26,422 |  | 3,006 |  | 519,718 |  | Kucevo |  | 289,494 |  | 25,619 |  | 400 |  | 263,476 |
| Higher Court in Novi Sad | 7,917,257 | 9,183,242 | 606,192 | 479,220 | 12,312 | 28,147 | 7,298,753 | 8,675,874 | Malo Crnice |  | 29,093 |  | 7,888 |  | 292 |  | 20,913 |
| Bac |  | 137,816 |  | 16,484 |  | 342 |  | 120,990 | Petrovac na Mlavi | 273,186 |  | 29,052 |  | 0 |  | 244,134 |  |
| Backa Palanka |  | 637,070 |  | 55,735 |  | 1,579 |  | 579,756 | Veliko Gradiste |  | 789,583 |  | 54,413 |  | 1,296 |  | 733,874 |
| Becej |  | 2,388,923 |  | 100,506 |  | 13,677 |  | 2,274,740 | Zabari |  | 318,335 |  | 19,394 |  | 552 |  | 298,389 |
| Beocin |  | 1,050,556 |  | 54,697 |  | 1,189 |  | 994,671 | Zagubica | 48,308 |  | 17,019 |  | 268 |  | 31,020 |  |
| City of Novi Sad | 7,298,364 |  | 506,606 |  | 11,574 |  | 6,780,184 |  | Higher Court in Prokuplje | 2,343,121 | 1,262,307 | 95,773 | 137,990 | 7,823 | 6,089 | 2,239,525 | 1,118,227 |
| Srbobran |  | 789,231 |  | 33,402 |  | 1,246 |  | 754,584 | Blace |  | 348,564 |  | 30,690 |  | 1,809 |  | 316,066 |
| Sremski Karlovci | 618,893 |  | 99,586 |  | 738 |  | 518,569 |  | Merosina |  | 81,046 |  | 43,529 |  | 385 |  | 37,133 |
| Temerin |  | 323,566 |  | 9,563 |  | 359 |  | 313,643 | Prokuplje | 2,343,121 |  | 95,773 |  | 7,823 |  | 2,239,525 |  |
| Titel |  | 731,810 |  | 13,703 |  | 4,921 |  | 713,186 | Zitorada |  | 832,696 |  | 63,772 |  | 3,896 |  | 765,028 |
| Vrbas |  | 1,938,204 |  | 95,658 |  | 3,535 |  | 1,839,010 | Higher Court in Sabac | 2,891,571 | 4,069,868 | 269,383 | 1,053,656 | 11,142 | 14,165 | 2,611,045 | 3,002,046 |
| Zabalj |  | 1,186,067 |  | 99,473 |  | 1,299 |  | 1,085,295 | Bogatic |  | 419,624 |  | 51,182 |  | 1,554 |  | 366,888 |
| Higher Court in Pancevo | 501,914 | 12,891,267 | 28,815 | 1,420,055 | 1,537 | 63,864 | 471,562 | 11,407,348 | Koceljeva |  | 421,873 |  | 37,523 |  | 1,049 |  | 383,302 |
| Alibunar |  | 354,807 |  | 148,381 |  | 2,856 | - | 203,570 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{u}{0} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{\overleftarrow{U}}{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 莍 } \\ & \frac{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苟 } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { 咅 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Row Labels | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | Row Labels | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP | LAC42 | MLSP |
| Krupanj |  | 279，317 |  | 228，779 |  | 447 |  | 50，091 | Subotica |  | 1，763，752 |  | 625，552 |  | 4，004 |  | 1，134，197 |
| Ljubovija |  | 656，810 |  | 78，213 |  | 4，715 |  | 573，882 | Higher Court in Uzice | 265，416 | 4，121，174 | 31，155 | 603，493 | 862 | 36，277 | 233，399 | 3，481，403 |
| Loznica |  | 2，086，474 |  | 643，237 |  | 6，308 |  | 1，436，929 | Arilje |  | 232，003 |  | 39，511 |  | 1，256 |  | 191，235 |
| Sabac | 2，891，571 |  | 269，383 |  | 11，142 |  | 2，611，045 |  | Bajina Basta |  | 506，072 |  | 34，164 |  | 4，669 |  | 467，239 |
| Vladimirci |  | 205，770 |  | 14，722 |  | 93 |  | 190，955 | Cajetina |  | 383，144 |  | 23，508 |  | 4，497 |  | 355，139 |
| Higher Court in Sme－ derevo | 4，179，259 |  | 443，304 |  | 14，524 |  | 3，721，431 |  | Ivanjica |  | 262，883 |  | 59，183 |  | 2，926 |  | 200，775 |
| Smederevo | 1，386，131 |  | 250，965 |  | 6，845 |  | 1，128，321 |  | Kosjeric |  | 42，664 |  | 13，996 |  | 358 |  | 28，311 |
| Smederevska Palanka | 1，585，137 |  | 76，601 |  | 4，559 |  | 1，503，978 |  | Nova Varos |  | 184，433 |  | 38，608 |  | 1，326 |  | 144，499 |
| Velika Plana | 1，207，991 |  | 115，738 |  | 3，120 |  | 1，089，133 |  | Pozega |  | 224，435 |  | 29，393 |  | 687 |  | 194，355 |
| Higher Court in Sombor | 2，392，789 | 4，298，688 | 109，856 | 227，619 | 3，555 | 15，597 | 2，279，379 | 4，055，473 | Priboj |  | 448，169 |  | 81，977 |  | 2，340 |  | 363，851 |
| Apatin |  | 1，138，909 |  | 68，666 |  | 4，219 |  | 1，066，024 | Prijepolje |  | 1，837，371 |  | 283，153 |  | 18，219 |  | 1，535，999 |
| Kula |  | 1，980，071 |  | 110，409 |  | 9，607 |  | 1，860，056 | Uzice | 265，416 |  | 31，155 |  | 862 |  | 233，399 |  |
| Odzaci |  | 1，179，708 |  | 48，544 |  | 1，771 |  | 1，129，393 | Higher Court in Valjevo |  | 3，822，938 |  | 155，170 |  | 20，603 |  | 3，647，165 |
| Sombor | 2，392，789 |  | 109，856 |  | 3，555 |  | 2，279，379 |  | Lajkovac |  | 39，209 |  | 13，181 |  | 60 |  | 25，969 |
| Higher Court in Sremska Mitrovica | 974，141 | 6，705，800 | 37，433 | 178，405 | 2，172 | 9，813 | 934，536 | 6，517，583 | Ljig |  | 33，960 |  | 10，788 |  | 140 |  | 23，032 |
| Indija | 974，141 |  | 37，433 |  | 2，172 |  | 934，536 |  | Mionica |  | 33，548 |  | 12，028 |  | 121 |  | 21，399 |
| Irig |  | 88，667 |  | 28，898 |  | 421 |  | 59，349 | Osecina |  | 170，299 |  | 8，004 |  | 1，843 |  | 160，452 |
| Pecinci |  | 104，527 |  | 30，436 |  | 136 |  | 73，955 | Ub |  | 645，821 |  | 21，711 |  | 2，980 |  | 621，130 |
| Ruma |  | 2，393，473 |  | 43，225 |  | 3，342 |  | 2，346，906 | Valjevo |  | 2，900，100 |  | 89，458 |  | 15，458 |  | 2，795，184 |
| Sid |  | 724，070 |  | 15，899 |  | 542 |  | 707，629 | Higher Court in Vrange | 1，332，296 | 2，126，447 | 15，205 | 37，495 | 2，260 | 4，120 | 1，314，831 | 2，084，832 |
| Sremska Mitrovica |  | 2，331，117 |  | 35，280 |  | 2，771 |  | 2，293，066 | Bujanovac |  | 743，825 |  | 13，197 |  | 427 |  | 730，201 |
| Stara Pazova |  | 1，063，947 |  | 24，667 |  | 2，601 |  | 1，036，678 | City of Vranje | 1，332，296 |  | 15，205 |  | 2，260 |  | 1，314，831 |  |
| Higher Court in Subotica | 3，388，282 | 2，065，910 | 109，418 | 649，315 | 16，905 | 4，194 | 3，261，960 | 1，412，400 | Presevo |  | 912，403 |  | 10，763 |  | 2，528 |  | 899，112 |
| Ada |  | 302，157 |  | 23，763 |  | 190 |  | 278，204 | Surdulica |  | 155，629 |  | 8，438 |  | 400 |  | 146，792 |
| Backa Topola | 1，007，653 |  | 34，580 |  | 738 |  | 972，336 |  | Trgoviste |  | 85，565 |  | 2，210 |  | 154 |  | 83，201 |
| Kanjiza | 1，025，558 |  | 34，729 |  | 13，594 |  | 977，235 |  | Vladicin Han |  | 229，026 |  | 2，888 |  | 611 |  | 225，526 |
| Mali Idos | 342，587 |  | 7，683 |  | 150 |  | 334，755 |  | Higher Court in Zajecar | 1，827，244 | 2，546，117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，827，244 | 2，546，117 |
| Senta | 1，012，483 |  | 32，426 |  | 2，424 |  | 977，634 |  | Boljevac |  | 383，630 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 383，630 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bor |  | 1，590，701 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1，590，701 |



|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 咨 } \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{E} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 出 } \\ & \underset{4}{4} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & E \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 出 } \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & E \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bor | 859 | 2.1 | 1，333，740din． | Batocina | 135 | 0.3 | 210，013din | Negotin | 1110 | 2.7 | 1，723，277din． |
| Bor | 859 | 2.1 | 1，333，740din． | Knic | 308 | 0.7 | 478，041din． | Kladovo | 222 | 0.5 | 344，655din． |
| Cacak | 1811 | 4.4 | 2，810，732din． | Kragujevac | 5179 | 12.5 | 8，037，519din． | Majdanpek | 342 | 0.8 | 531，538din． |
| Cacak | 1367 | 3.3 | 2，121，914din． | Raca | 88 | 0.2 | 135，844din． | Negotin | 546 | 1.3 | 847，083din． |
| Gornji Milanovac | 256 | 0.6 | 396，593din． | Topola | 525 | 1.3 | 814，203din | Nis | 6281 | 15.1 | 9，747，713din． |
| Lucani | 188 | 0.5 | 292，225din | Kraljevo | 2584 | 6.2 | 4，010，328din． | Aleksinac | 300 | 0.7 | 465，037din． |
| First Basic Court in Belgrade | 13850 | 33.3 | 21，494，554din． | Kraljevo | 2361 | 5.7 | 3，664，262din． | City of $\mathrm{Ni}_{\text {＿}}$ | 5066 | 12.2 | 7，861，528din． |
| Cukarica | 2204 | 5.3 | 3，420，044din． | Raska | 223 | 0.5 | 346，065din． | Doljevac | 472 | 1.1 | 731，962din． |
| Novi Beograd | 1273 | 3.1 | 1，975，653din | Krusevac | 2581 | 6.2 | 4，006，253din． | Gadzin Han | 240 | 0.6 | 372，045din． |
| Palilula（Belgrade） | 2991 | 7.2 | 4，642，171din． | Aleksandrovac | 225 | 0.5 | 349，248din． | Razanj | 23 | 0.1 | 35，523din． |
| Rakovica | 1162 | 2.8 | 1，803，808din． | Brus | 191 | 0.5 | 296，175din． | Svrljig | 181 | 0.4 | 281，618din． |
| Savski venac | 294 | 0.7 | 456，191din． | Cicevac | 44 | 0.1 | 68，316din | Novi Pazar | 2361 | 5.7 | 3，664，887din． |
| Stari grad | 374 | 0.9 | 580，092din． | Krusevac | 1684 | 4.1 | 2，612，914din． | Mali Zvornik | 179 | 0.4 | 278，185din． |
| Vozdovac | 643 | 1.5 | 997，948din． | Lapovo | 73 | 0.2 | 113，786din． | Novi Pazar | 1602 | 3.9 | 2，485，828din． |
| Vracar | 305 | 0.7 | 472，909din． | Trstenik | 309 | 0.7 | 480，201din． | Sjenica | 253 | 0.6 | 393，333din． |
| Zemun | 3167 | 7.6 | 4，914，966din． | Varvarin | 55 | 0.1 | 85，612din． | Tutin | 327 | 0.8 | 507，541din． |
| Zvezdara | 1437 | 3.5 | 2，230，771din | Leskovac | 4390 | 10.6 | 6，812，854din | Novi Sad | 10222 | 24.6 | 15，864，527din． |
| Jagodina | 1567 | 3.8 | 2，431，226din． | Bojnik | 221 | 0.5 | 342，235din． | Bac | 70 | 0.2 | 109，389din． |
| Jagodina | 1095 | 2.6 | 1，699，609din． | Crna Trava | 7 | 0.0 | 11，090din． | Backa Palanka | 361 | 0.9 | 559，812din． |
| Rekovac | 147 | 0.4 | 228，115din． | Lebane | 421 | 1.0 | 654，134din． | Becej | 1495 | 3.6 | 2，320，816din． |
| Svilajnac | 324 | 0.8 | 503，502din． | Leskovac | 2906 | 7.0 | 4，510，453din． | Beocin | 576 | 1.4 | 893，778din． |
| Kikinda | 2866 | 6.9 | 4，447，240din． | Medveda | 337 | 0.8 | 523，787din． | City of Novi Sad | 4523 | 10.9 | 7，019，705din． |
| Coka | 312 | 0.8 | 484，867din． | Vlasotince | 207 | 0.5 | 321，133din． | Srbobran | 452 | 1.1 | 700，782din． |
| Kikinda | 2044 | 4.9 | 3，172，804din． | Vrnjacka Banja | 290 | 0.7 | 450，022din． | Sremski Karlovci | 328 | 0.8 | 508，406din． |
| Nova Crnja | 142 | 0.3 | 219，759din | Loznica | 1081 | 2.6 | 1，677，717din． | Temerin | 134 | 0.3 | 207，211din． |
| Novi Knezevac | 367 | 0.9 | 569，810din． | Krupanj | 105 | 0.3 | 163，328din． | Titel | 475 | 1.1 | 736，452din． |
| Kragujevac | 7637 | 18.4 | 11，852，939din． | Ljubovija | 236 | 0.6 | 365，706din． | Vrbas | 1118 | 2.7 | 1，734，589din． |
| Arandelovac | 1403 | 3.4 | 2，177，318din． | Loznica | 740 | 1.8 | 1，148，682din． | Zabalj | 692 | 1.7 | 1，073，588din． |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of Total Target } \\ & \text { New Cases } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum of Total Target } \\ & \text { New Cases } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pancevo | 2779 | 6.7 | 4,312,285din. | Nova Varos | 64 | 0.2 | 99,058din. | Sombor | 964 | 2.3 | 1,495,525din. |
| Alibunar | 196 | 0.5 | 304,100din. | Priboj | 153 | 0.4 | 237,173din | Sremska Mitrovica | 6325 | 15.2 | 9,815,608din. |
| Kovacica | 298 | 0.7 | 463,084din. | Prijepolje | 624 | 1.5 | 968,310din. | Indija | 1031 | 2.5 | 1,600,164din. |
| Kovin | 551 | 1.3 | 855,826din | Prokuplje | 2369 | 5.7 | 3,677,049din. | Irig | 119 | 0.3 | 184,247din. |
| Opovo | 221 | 0.5 | 343,559din. | Blace | 249 | 0.6 | 386,395din. | Pecinci | 146 | 0.4 | 226,353din. |
| Pancevo | 1511 | 3.6 | 2,345,715din. | Merosina | 76 | 0.2 | 117,432din. | Ruma | 1993 | 4.8 | 3,093,614din. |
| Paracin | 1044 | 2.5 | 1,619,624din. | Prokuplje | 1438 | 3.5 | 2,232,288din. | Sid | 470 | 1.1 | 729,722din. |
| Cuprija | 86 | 0.2 | 133,354din. | Zitorada | 606 | 1.5 | 940,934din. | Sremska Mitrovica | 1511 | 3.6 | 2,345,260din. |
| Despotovac | 241 | 0.6 | 374,303din | Sabac | 1841 | 4.4 | 2,857,714din. | Stara Pazova | 1054 | 2.5 | 1,636,248din. |
| Paracin | 716 | 1.7 | 1,111,967din. | Bogatic | 206 | 0.5 | 320,386din | Subotica | 3227 | 7.8 | 5,008,202din. |
| Pirot | 1631 | 3.9 | 2,531,228din. | Koceljeva | 185 | 0.4 | 286,971din. | Ada | 117 | 0.3 | 181,600din. |
| Babusnica | 346 | 0.8 | 537,117din. | Sabac | 1379 | 3.3 | 2,140,484din. | Backa Topola | 436 | 1.0 | 677,135din. |
| Dimitrovgrad | 324 | 0.8 | 503,275din. | Vladimirci | 71 | 0.2 | 109,871din. | Kanjiza | 898 | 2.2 | 1,394,129din. |
| Pirot | 961 | 2.3 | 1,490,837din | Second Basic Court in Belgrade | 5708 | 13.7 | 8,858,220din. | Mali Idos | 128 | 0.3 | 199,044din. |
| Pozarevac | 2358 | 5.7 | 3,660,018din. | Barajevo | 247 | 0.6 | 382,942din. | Senta | 543 | 1.3 | 842,937din. |
| City of Po_arevac | 1592 | 3.8 | 2,471,413din. | Grocka | 306 | 0.7 | 475,518din. | Subotica | 1104 | 2.7 | 1,713,356din. |
| Golubac | 63 | 0.2 | 98,191din. | Lazarevac | 809 | 1.9 | 1,256,215din | Uzice | 575 | 1.4 | 891,609din. |
| Kucevo | 113 | 0.3 | 175,561din. | Mladenovac | 1151 | 2.8 | 1,786,862din. | Bajina Basta | 260 | 0.6 | 404,215din. |
| Malo Crnice | 18 | 0.0 | 27,799din. | Obrenovac | 1594 | 3.8 | 2,473,619din. | Cajetina | 203 | 0.5 | 314,430din. |
| Petrovac na Mlavi | 101 | 0.2 | 156,394din. | Sopot | 325 | 0.8 | 503,926din. | Uzice | 111 | 0.3 | 172,963din. |
| Veliko Gradiste | 316 | 0.8 | 490,173din. | Surcin | 1275 | 3.1 | 1,979,138din | Valjevo | 3056 | 7.4 | 4,742,933din. |
| Zabari | 125 | 0.3 | 193,657din | Smederevo | 2654 | 6.4 | 4,119,614din. | Lajkovac | 35 | 0.1 | 55,011din. |
| Zagubica | 30 | 0.1 | 46,830din. | Smederevo | 1006 | 2.4 | 1,561,429din. | Ljig | 31 | 0.1 | 48,290din. |
| Pozega | 313 | 0.8 | 486,461din. | Smederevska Palanka | 953 | 2.3 | 1,478,256din. | Mionica | 33 | 0.1 | 50,752din. |
| Arilje | 92 | 0.2 | 142,708din. | Velika Plana | 696 | 1.7 | 1,079,928din. | Osecina | 152 | 0.4 | 235,762din. |
| Ivanjica | 126 | 0.3 | 195,389din | Sombor | 3138 | 7.5 | 4,869,562din. | Ub | 462 | 1.1 | 716,974din. |
| Kosjeric | 19 | 0.0 | 29,120din. | Apatin | 584 | 1.4 | 905,682din. | Valjevo | 2343 | 5.6 | 3,636,143din. |
| Pozega | 77 | 0.2 | 119,245din. | Kula | 1110 | 2.7 | 1,723,412din | Vranje | 3130 | 7.5 | 4,858,390din. |
| Prijepolje | 841 | 2.0 | 1,304,541din. | Odzaci | 480 | 1.2 | 744,943din. | Bujanovac | 628 | 1.5 | 974,341din. |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 乲 } \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \\ & \underline{E} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Vranje | 1089 | 2.6 | 1,689,607din. | Plandiste | 233 | 0.6 | 361,178din | Zrenjanin | 4377 | 10.5 | 6,793,519din. |
| Presevo | 932 | 2.2 | 1,446,258din. | Vrsac | 906 | 2.2 | 1,406,521din. | Novi Becej | 1003 | 2.4 | 1,557,263din. |
| Surdulica | 176 | 0.4 | 273,382din | Zajecar | 2357 | 5.7 | 3,657,392din. | Secanj | 474 | 1.1 | 735,162din. |
| Trgoviste | 76 | 0.2 | 118,702din. | Boljevac | 187 | 0.4 | 289,968din. | Zitiste | 512 | 1.2 | 794,481din. |
| Vladicin Han | 229 | 0.6 | 356,099din. | Knjazevac | 425 | 1.0 | 659,867din. | Zrenjanin | 2388 | 5.7 | 3,706,614din. |
| Vrsac | 1859 | 4.5 | 2,884,609din. | Sokobanja | 84 | 0.2 | 130,643din. | Grand Total | 108782 | 261.7 | 168,826,564din. |
| Bela Crkva | 720 | 1.7 | 1,116,911din. | Zajecar | 1660 | 4.0 | 2,576,914din. |  |  |  |  |

Foundation Current Case Data for Projections

| Row Labels | Sum of Filed new |  |  |  |  | Sum of Resolved Total |  |  |  | Total New Total Resolved |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| Bor | 1207 | 1127 | 6385 | 1400 | 72 | 1463 | 1228 | 6320 | 1451 | 69 | 10191 | 10531 |
| Cacak | 6186 | 3966 | 11994 | 2760 | 196 | 6244 | 4728 | 3512 | 3260 | 229 | 25102 | 17973 |
| First Basic Court in Belgrade | 40506 | 22874 | 166762 | 23937 | 4318 | 50610 | 24037 | 733702 | 27536 | 4367 | 258397 | 840252 |
| Jagodina | 3514 | 1986 | 5641 | 3489 | 70 | 2921 | 1976 | 7808 | 3504 | 79 | 14700 | 16288 |
| Kikinda | 2461 | 2389 | 6413 | 2312 | 20 | 2408 | 2539 | 6208 | 2261 | 16 | 13595 | 13432 |
| Kosovo Mitrovika | 584 | 1503 | 1134 | 548 | 80 | 343 | 1171 | 379 | 475 | 67 | 3849 | 2435 |
| Kragujevac | 21251 | 4614 | 47599 | 7057 | 301 | 17941 | 5239 | 18134 | 7357 | 258 | 80822 | 48929 |
| Kraljevo | 5183 | 3482 | 18270 | 3680 | 164 | 6313 | 3490 | 8867 | 3356 | 150 | 30779 | 22176 |
| Krusevac | 7408 | 5800 | 13020 | 4458 | 149 | 6549 | 6371 | 3991 | 4537 | 139 | 30835 | 21587 |
| Leskovac | 11813 | 6864 | 11952 | 4324 | 105 | 7975 | 6844 | 9293 | 4332 | 120 | 35058 | 28564 |
| Loznica | 2569 | 2003 | 8120 | 2643 | 38 | 2871 | 2092 | 4162 | 2787 | 34 | 15373 | 11946 |
| Negotin | 2619 | 2331 | 2695 | 1471 | 73 | 2103 | 2252 | 2352 | 1174 | 68 | 9189 | 7949 |
| Nis | 14499 | 8951 | 28185 | 9653 | 430 | 17596 | 8185 | 27570 | 10765 | 318 | 61718 | 64434 |
| Novi Pazar | 4173 | 2010 | 9968 | 3319 | 166 | 3656 | 2758 | 4680 | 3222 | 149 | 19636 | 14465 |
| Novi Sad | 17435 | 10014 | 61486 | 12190 | 770 | 18523 | 10528 | 50844 | 12353 | 681 | 101895 | 92929 |
| Pancevo | 4399 | 3865 | 14130 | 5045 | 336 | 4951 | 4130 | 13402 | 5445 | 318 | 27775 | 28246 |
| Paracin | 2929 | 2705 | 7453 | 2519 | 83 | 3114 | 2577 | 4832 | 2635 | 67 | 15689 | 13225 |
| Pirot | 5953 | 3366 | 2971 | 1778 | 60 | 2456 | 4102 | 2699 | 1788 | 53 | 14128 | 11098 |
| Pozarevac | 5367 | 5581 | 7616 | 4776 | 115 | 5722 | 6281 | 9561 | 4846 | 98 | 23455 | 26508 |
| Pozega | 5181 | 2002 | 8146 | 1453 | 54 | 5123 | 2182 | 7181 | 1418 | 62 | 16836 | 15966 |
| Prijepolje | 3403 | 1170 | 7286 | 1083 | 48 | 2772 | 1040 | 5474 | 1043 | 44 | 12990 | 10373 |
| Prokuplje | 5126 | 2069 | 5864 | 2878 | 81 | 4973 | 1781 | 2250 | 2785 | 66 | 16018 | 11855 |
| Sabac | 3710 | 3032 | 14709 | 3528 | 0 | 3581 | 3467 | 9284 | 4079 | 0 | 24979 | 20411 |
| Second Basic Court in Belgrade | 7716 | 8491 | 33097 | 5204 | 188 | 6582 | 9189 | 10611 | 4277 | 176 | 54696 | 30835 |
| Smederevo | 5748 | 3824 | 12333 | 4313 | 199 | 5525 | 4685 | 4326 | 4100 | 207 | 26417 | 18843 |
| Sremska Mitrovica | 12385 | 13772 | 40542 | 10183 | 242 | 7662 | 6307 | 10249 | 5812 | 235 | 77124 | 30265 |
| Subotica | 3861 | 5475 | 10389 | 6594 | 69 | 4404 | 5883 | 12487 | 7409 | 65 | 26388 | 30248 |
| Uzice | 4185 | 3047 | 13207 | 2316 | 56 | 3192 | 3077 | 7959 | 2904 | 56 | 22811 | 17188 |
| Valjevo | 6969 | 5261 | 17335 | 4067 | 77 | 6817 | 5102 | 10411 | 4624 | 73 | 33709 | 27027 |
| Vranje | 8108 | 4579 | 11491 | 3035 | 147 | 6432 | 3142 | 7591 | 3391 | 92 | 27360 | 20648 |
| Vrsac | 1569 | 1799 | 5469 | 1859 | 31 | 1860 | 1538 | 6707 | 1816 | 28 | 10727 | 11949 |
| Zajecar | 5066 | 3792 | 7879 | 2776 | 119 | 5740 | 3821 | 9081 | 2872 | 116 | 19632 | 21630 |
| Zrenjanin | 3858 | 4274 | 17126 | 3524 | 52 | 3793 | 4842 | 11131 | 3776 | 55 | 28834 | 23597 |
| (blank) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 236941 | 158018 | 636667 | 150172 | 8909 | 232215 | 156584 | 1033058 | 153390 | 8555 | 1190707 | 1583802 |
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## Graphical Display

Relationship between Population and Aggregate Case Loads (using population, population squared, population cubed and density)










[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ These costs can be considered annual even though case representation cost are incurred across years, however, it will require a few years before full annual costs are realized. This is because the volume of cases resolved and new cases initiated mirror one another. As a set of cases are in the beginning stages, another set is in a middle stage and a third set is in the resolution stage. Realized costs from these cases should, then, mirror the total costs for representation of a case type on an annual basis, as, annually the cost coming due should reflect average annual case volume. This does have implications for start-up costs, as full annual costs will not be experienced until FLA case volumes move through a complete cycle. This will vary by cases type based on cases duration.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ However, the Statistical Office reports 5,912 individuals employed by legal entities in the legal and accounting profession in 2012.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The July 2012 Constitutional Court decision focused on Article 67 of the Constitution of the Republic of Serbia, and appears to have influenced the current Government's interpretation of Article 67 such that primary and secondary legal aid delivery to poor and vulnerable groups is now considered an obligation for both municipally-employed lawyers and private advocates belonging to the state Bar association. Thus, the Government has taken the position that, subject to Government regulation, other non-advocate legal professionals should be allowed to register as FLA providers, and represent clients before the courts and administrative bodies.
    ${ }^{4}$ In order to be eligible, such 'lawyers' will typically need to possess a law degree and a Bar exam.
    ${ }^{5}$ The intent of the draft law is unclear. The potentially implied eligibility is exceptionally generous and it appears that costs may be shifted to municipal Governments. Alternatively, it may be expected that municipal legal aid offices, NGO's or other providers would adopt strict eligibility standards in line with the State's.
    ${ }^{6}$ The draft FLA law tends to require providers to possess more advanced levels of legal credentials for more technically demanding aspects of legal representation. For example, the current draft of the law requires all providers of primary legal aid to have a law degree, allows providers with a law degree and a Bar exam to represent clients in most court and administrative proceedings, but allows only Bar members to represent clients in criminal matters. All providers must be registered in the MJPA's Registry of Providers (Art 12), and all providers are subject to the regulatory control of A Quality Control Commission.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ Definitions related to primary and secondary FLA that are very likely to consume lawyer/attorney hours are as follows:

    - "Drafting Legal Documents" represents the shaping of a legal affair, i.e. regulation of a legal relationship for which there is mandatory written form prescribed by law.
    - "Drafting Motions" represents the drafting of legal acts to initiate proceedings or to be submitted in a particular phase of proceedings in which a decision on a specific right, obligation, or interest based on law is to be brought, and especially the drafting of legal actions, requests, proposals, petitions, regular legal remedies, as well as the constitutional appeal;
    -"Representation" is any legal action that a representative undertakes, upon the given power of attorney, in the name and for the account of the FLA beneficiary in the proceedings of deciding on beneficiary's right, obligation, or interest based on law, especially drafting written legal acts and motions, legal counselling, as well as initiating or proposing initiation of certain procedural actions during hearings;
    - "Defence" is representation of the accused in the court proceeding, either criminal or misdemeanour;
    - "Implementation of Mediation Procedure" represents any action which is undertaken by a mediator, in line with the law regulating mediation, in the procedure of a peaceful dispute settlement;"

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ When read in conjunction with Article 10 of the draft FLA Law, Article 7 of the draft FLA Law makes it clear that FLA applicants considered not eligible for State-funded FLA, may still file applications for FLA without submitting evidence of economic need.
    ${ }^{9}$ Article 7 of the draft FLA Law States: If the cost for the provision of free legal aid is covered from the budget of the Republic of Serbia, in line with the provisions of this Law, this service can be used only by a natural person referred to in Para 1 of this article who is a domestic citizen, foreign citizen or stateless person who is a user of social services or the right to financial support in accordance with the law governing social protection, as well as a person who is a user of the right to child allowance in accordance with the law governing the financial support for the families with children.
    ${ }^{10}$ Constitution of the Republic of Serbia (by Referendum 29 Oct. 2006), Article 67: "Everyone shall be guaranteed right to legal assistance under conditions stipulated by the law. Legal assistance shall be provided by legal professionals, as an independent and autonomous service, and legal assistance offices established in the units of local self-Government in accordance with the law. The law shall stipulate conditions for providing free legal assistance."
    ${ }^{11}$ The pragmatic rational for this approach lies in the fact that MSW possesses data about the registered poor in Serbia, regularly determines social welfare eligibility of new applicants, and most importantly, maintains functional offices or "centers" located in 114 of Serbia's municipalities. When compared to the cost of funding the creation and staffing of nearly 100 additional legal aid offices throughout Serbia, the Government has determined that outfitting existing MSW Centers with legal staff will be a far less costly exercise in the short term.
    ${ }^{12}$ According to Article 30, if the MLSP Center refuses an applicants request for FAL (due to economic reasons) it shall "provide the applicant an

[^5]:    initial legal advice on the possibility of exercising the available rights and services."
    ${ }^{13}$ Legal aid is less susceptible to economic analysis intended to establish social costs and benefits and much more appropriately susceptible to an analysis intended to establish the relative cost effectiveness / fiscal impact of alternative methods of delivering the needed access.

[^6]:    ${ }^{14}$ Pursuant to Article 26 of the current draft Free Legal Aid (FLA) law, MLSP staff will determine subject matter eligibility in all cases, but economic eligibility only in those cases where the State will be obligated to pay for secondary representation.
    ${ }^{15}$ The current draft FLA law only places income-based eligibility standards on State-funded (art. 10.2) cases, and thus effectively shifts the burden of determining secondary FLA beneficiary eligibility to municipal or other providers who receive referrals.

[^7]:    ${ }^{16}$ Though this fee represents a $100 \%$ discount from the current fee schedule of 200 euro per appearance in criminal cases, the Government has traditionally struggled to keep pace with these costs. The SBA recently estimated that the Government is currently in arrears to individual Bar members for nearly 10 million euro of legal fees for criminal defense cases over the past few years.
    ${ }^{17}$ Article 8 of the current draft FLA law authorizes LAC lawyers to provide secondary FLA in all cases including criminal proceedings. The base salary of a FLA lawyer is approximately 500 euro per month, roughly equivalent to the services charged by a private attorney for criminal cases in which 5 appearances were necessary.

[^8]:    ${ }^{18}$ Barring the availability of individual case level data for court resource usage, court costs can be estimated in a manner similar to the estimates of per case costs for the Serbian Judicial Sector Public Expenditure and Institutional Review. This requires total operating spending and resolved cases by case type for each court at least annually for a period of several years. Quarterly expenditure data by court, coupled with quarterly cases resolved, can be used to refine the estimates.
    ${ }^{19}$ Demand (incidence) is likely to vary considerably by age and family composition. So, the best estimator for one case type may not be best for others. Family law demand is better represented by number of families, as is probate and possibly civil proceedings. Criminal law proceedings are likely more influenced by population and age distributions. Therefore if eligible populations are more heavily composed of teen and younger adult populations, there would likely be a higher level of criminal court cases.
    ${ }^{20}$ To estimate system-wide costs on the court infrastructure, it would also be necessary to estimate the portion of the cases from the legal aid eligible population that are "new" cases (i.e., that would not be brought if it were not for legal aid). It is also necessary to mention that if legal

[^9]:    aid results in significantly greater use of the courts by aid eligible populations, the incidence number from the general population will under estimate FLA usage (as they are suppress by the current absence of legal assistance).

[^10]:    ${ }^{21}$ This is in fact one of the intentions of FLA.
    ${ }^{22}$ The expected increase in demand could be estimated by identifying the different incident of court utilization by populations with similar socio-economic characteristics, but income levels above the income of the eligible population. Difference in demand based on difference in income could be used to establish and income elasticity and this could be used to project the effect of FLA on demand. This would require finer resolution of data on population characteristics and a longer series of observations or, most preferably, micro level data on court case activity coupled with the income characteristics of parties involved. These data are presently unavailable.

[^11]:    ${ }^{23}$ Policy decisions must be made regarding the payment of FLA reimbursements. Will compensation to attorneys be paid at the point of final resolution of a case or progressively as cases proceed through the court process? Obviously, delaying payment until the resolution of cases might present a serious disincentive for attorneys to accept free legal aid cases (given case duration). Costs for the FLA system will likely phasein. Here it is illustrative to consider current SBA estimates that the Government is typically 18 months in arrears to individual attorneys performing FLA services in criminal cases pursuant to Article 77 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The SBA estimates such unpaid legal fees to be $10,000,000$ euro statewide.
    ${ }^{24}$ The models estimated include one-way fixed effects for year. This removes systematic annual variation across all municipalities and allows the coefficients for other regressors to reflect their effects on the average across years.
    ${ }^{25}$ Four x $.21=1.05$.
    ${ }^{26}$ That is, $1 / .0594=16.8$ and $1 / .0083=120.48$.

[^12]:    ${ }^{27}$ Including population allows for only a straight line relationship. Including the first order polynomial (population squared) allows the relationship estimate to be an increasing or decreasing function of population and the third order polynomial (pop cubed), allows an S-curve relationship.

[^13]:    ${ }^{28}$ As in the previous models we incorporate year as a fixed effect.
    ${ }^{29}$ We are using these results for internal projections /estimations and not testing hypotheses, so tests of statistical significance are not of utmost concern in this analysis.

[^14]:    ${ }^{30}$ The average caseload of each municipal court between 2006 and 2008 within the territory of each Basic Court was used to calculate total historic Basic Court area case loads. The portion of this total of each of the five case types arising from each municipality was calculated to identify the expected municipal portion of the Basic Court cases load, in total and by case type. Below, that portion is multiplied by the 2011 Basic Court case type volume to estimate the total caseloads (per cases type) from municipalities. This number is multiplied by the estimated proportion of the cases demand of the municipal target (social service beneficiary population) for each case type to estimate the demand for legal aid services. This demand is then multiplied by cost factors to establish expected legal aid service costs.

[^15]:    ${ }^{31}$ First, the target (social benefit recipient) population's effect on cases within the municipality per 1000 populations (based on national averages effects) is estimated. This is done by multiplying the actual population distribution of each social beneficiary population age sub category in the municipal population by the regression coefficient for that population's sub category in table DM3b (separately for each case type). This provides the expected per 1000 population case effect (demand) of the target/beneficiary population. This result is then divided by the sum of the effect of each population age sub category (for both beneficiary categories and non-beneficiary categories) in each municipality by the respective regression coefficient for each population sub group. This results in the proportion of the total per 1000 case demand accounted for by the beneficiary population and -- because the coefficients on all population variables were restricted to equal a sum of zero -- this also provides an inclusive relative effect of this target population. This result was then weighted by the ratio of the models estimated (predicted) value for each case type divided by the total effect of population and then weighted again by the ratio of the models estimated (predicted) value for each case type by the mean actual case load average for each case type across 2006-2008 (positive values of each of the weight ratios were reset to their inverse). This has the effect of weighting by the population/demographic contribution to the prediction, and residual of the prediction in a manner that reduces extreme variations from the national average effect, producing more conservative estimates. Any remaining extreme estimates were adjusted to within a standard deviation of the mean. The result is a unique estimate of the effect of the target population on the expected demand for legal services based on the scale and characteristic of that population within each municipality and the municipality's general economic and demographic character.

[^16]:    ${ }^{32}$ The calculation for Aleksandrovac is straight forward. Because the Krusevac Higher Court covers the same set of municipalities as does the Krusevac Basic Court, the same proportion can be applied to the aggregate Higher Court totals. For municipalities in Higher Court territories which have a geographic composition that differs from the Basic Court, distributions have to be recalculated.
    ${ }^{33}$ Written submissions have been traditionally considered legal representation and, thus, secondary legal aid. The FLA draft law contains language in Article 8 that now appears to classify at least some submissions as primary legal aid. This may affect the distribution of FLA costs between levels of Government. However, for the purpose of theses cost estimates, they are inclusive of all costs for services to the beneficiary population inclusive of primary and secondary elements (but only for cases that reach the court docket, that is this analysis does not consider assistance rendered for legal advice that does not ultimately reach the court).

[^17]:    ${ }^{34}$ This assumes that relative court costs track advocate costs.
    ${ }^{35}$ The "average of averages" row takes the average of the cases categories. The average across cases categories is different than the average across cases because cases categories with the highest per case costs represent a smaller portion of total cases.
    ${ }^{36}$ This is because the volume of cases resolved and new cases initiated mirror one another. As a set of cases are in the beginning stages, another set is in a middle stage and a third set is in the resolution stage. Realized costs from these cases should, then, mirror the total costs for representation of a case type on an annual basis, as, annually the cost coming due should reflect average annual case volume. This does have implications for start-up costs, as full annual costs will not be experienced until FLA case volumes move through a complete cycle. This will vary by cases type based on cases duration.
    ${ }^{37}$ These cases estimates are consistent with the volume that would have been traditionally reflective of secondary legal aid. That is costs associated with legal assistance which resulted in submission and filing of motions and possibly court representation.

[^18]:    ${ }^{38}$ This is due to the absence of a significantly systematic pattern across cases to firmly attribute case volume to population groups. However, the unattributed volume can either be estimated by assigning it the average weighted cost across all cases or by distributing it across cases categories in a manner consistent with each case category's portion of the total of the category estimates. The second alternative is used to preserve the effects of the differential characteristics across communities. Unassigned volume was assigned up to a maximum of $50 \%$ of total expected new case volume, producing somewhat conservative estimates. It should be recognized that these volumes are reflective of total expected eligibility (based on prior usage of the legal system) and not necessarily reflective of the actual portions of the population that avail themselves to legal services.

[^19]:    ${ }^{39}$ This estimate is from the Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia for division 69 "Legal and Accounting Activities", October 2013 estimate, CA2010.
    ${ }^{40}$ An alternative set of expected compensation rates was provided by a sub-set of the Legal Aid committee. Under this scenario it was suggested that advocates are compensated at a rate of approximately 100 USD per hour (or 8360 dinarRSD). This is more than 186 times the hourly rate suggested by the average monthly wage for attorneys applied in the table above and would therefore inflate costs beyond the original estimates. The result (including court filing fees) was an estimated 819,280 dinarRSD for a civil case. It would appear that this level of compensation is excessive for a legal aid program in Serbia. However, it was introduced, in part to demonstrate the potential cost savings of a mediation program.

[^20]:    ${ }^{41}$ However, the Statistical Office reports 5,912 individuals employed by legal entities in the legal and accounting profession in 2012.
    ${ }^{42}$ This savings would be at least 124 million RSD as a departure from the full tariff.

[^21]:    ${ }^{43}$ Savings from the full tariff schedule would be 905 million RSD.

[^22]:    ${ }^{44}$ More precise estimates of the phase-in of costs could be made by examining the duration of different types of cases. The number used here are averages across all cases. Because different types of cases are expected to have different durations and because these different types of cases also have different costs, the expected costs would differ from the average duration of cases.
    ${ }^{45}$ A mid-year start date would further shift program cost to the future by an amount equal to the delay of the start.

[^23]:    ${ }^{46}$ If it is also determined that primary legal aid (inclusive of drafting documents and filing motions) is a universal right of the citizenry, it will potentially dramatically increase program costs. This potential effect is not included in the present estimates, however, as a supplemental analysis note considering these effects could be developed.

[^24]:    ${ }^{47}$ Due to the costing detail gleaned from the sample of tariff submissions provided by the courts, it is possible to directly estimate these elements of costs for tariff based compensation. However, the analysis above suggests that tariff based compensation schedules are unrealistic for determining the actual RSD value of required FLA compensation and, therefore, we focus on the hourly compensation results. Our expert panel results for hourly time commitments does not sufficiently breakdown time increments into units that would as well reflect the distinction between primary and secondary legal aid, so the proportion of total tariff costs that fall under each of the scenarios was used to estimate the respective portion of hourly compensation costs attributable to each scenario. This is predicated on a view that while the magnitude of tariff compensation rates is unrealistic, the relative distribution of costs between different elements reasonably reflects the relative time and resource commitments between those elements.

[^25]:    ${ }^{48}$ The tariff cost estimates leave a substantially greater share of Higher Court program costs with municipalities. This is due to the higher tariff rates associate with Higher Court cases. Our hourly rates do not differentiate and may overestimate cost distributions for Basic Courts and under estimate costs for higher courts.
    ${ }^{49}$ This is based on the assumption that some portion of submissions to the court do not ultimately reach the court docket as a filed case. Based on interview estimates, it was suggested that approximately two-thirds of submissions reach the court docket. Submissions are multiplied by .67 to estimate the actual case workload of legal aid providers. This ratio should be confirmed to refine staffing level estimates.

